HUMAN RIGHTS AND THE ENVIRONMENT

Justice Susan Glazebrook*

Justice Glazebrook, in this article, addresses the basic questions whether there is a human right to an environment of good quality and whether that right should be part of a Pacific human rights mechanism. If there is no such right, the question of whether there should be is addressed.

Man is both creature and moulder of his environment, which gives him physical sustenance and affords him the opportunity for intellectual, moral, social and spiritual growth. In the long and tortuous evolution of the human race on this planet a stage has been reached when, through the rapid acceleration of science and technology, man has acquired the power to transform his environment in countless ways and on an unprecedented scale. Both aspects of man's environment, the natural and the manmade, are essential to his well-being and to the enjoyment of basic human rights, the right to life itself. ¹

Nature is an eternal storehouse of great mysteries and enchanting beauties. She is a sincere friend who embalms man when his heart is wounded. She is a great philosopher who answers many a question of men. So spell bound the men become by her overall beauties that he finds tongues in trees, books in brooks, sermons in stones and good in everything. Nature is a thing of beauty and being in the company of Nature means a joy forever.²

I INTRODUCTION

There are those who would challenge the coupling in the title to this article of "human rights" and "the environment". Are they right to do so? The first part of this article will examine whether there is already a human right to an environment of quality and, if not, whether existing human

- Judge of the New Zealand Court of Appeal. I would like to acknowledge the invaluable assistance of my law clerk, Jane Standage, in the research for and the writing of this paper. The views expressed are my own and not the views of the New Zealand Court of Appeal.
- Declaration of the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment UN Doc A/Con/48/14/Rev.1 (1973) Preamble, para 1 [Stockholm Declaration].
- 2 United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization [UNESCO] Small Islands Voice Voices in a Changing World (2004) 16 Coastal Region and Small Island Papers ch 4 www.unesco.org (accessed 16 August 2008).

rights adequately address environmental issues. The next question, should both these questions be answered in the negative, is whether there should be a right to an environment of quality and whether such a right should be part of any regional human rights mechanism for the Pacific.

The second part of the article examines a number of specific issues, starting with the dispiriting: climate change and the related topic of "environmental refugees". I then move to the more positive aspects for the Pacific, looking at indigenous rights, collective responsibility and the environment.³

II IS THERE CURRENTLY A RIGHT TO AN ENVIRONMENT OF A PARTICULAR QUALITY?

A International Human Rights Instruments

There is no explicit right to environmental quality in the Universal Declaration on Human Rights 1948 (UDHR),⁴ nor in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR).⁵ There is mention of some environmental issues in other international human rights instruments but attached to particular issues. For example, in the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR),⁶ the environment is mentioned in relation to hygiene⁷ and, in the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNCROC),⁸ the environment is discussed in terms of prevention of disease and malnutrition.⁹

- 3 There are a number of other issues of concern to the Pacific that could have been addressed, including biodiversity and biosecurity, coral reef protection, energy, environmental remedies, fish stocks, tourism, trade and agriculture, disaster prevention, water, and waste disposal.
- 4 Universal Declaration on Human Rights (10 December 1948) UN Doc GA/Res/217A (III).
- 5 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (16 December 1966) 999 UNTS 171.
- 6 International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (16 December 1966) 993 UNTS 3.
- 7 ICESCR Art 12 states "[t]he steps to be taken by the State Parties to the present Covenant to achieve the full realization of [the right to the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health] shall include those necessary for ... (b) the improvement of all aspects of environmental and industrial hygiene ..." ICESCR Art 7(b) also provides for safe and healthy working conditions. ICESCR Art 11(1) provides for the continuous improvement of living conditions. Both of these can be seen as requiring attention to the environment.
- 8 UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (20 November 1989) 1577 UNTS 3 (UNCROC).
- 9 Ibid, art 24(2) which records the obligation of State Parties to " ... pursue full implementation of [the right to the highest attainable standard of health] and, in particular, shall take appropriate measures ... (c) To combat disease and malnutrition, including within the framework of primary health care, through, inter alia, the application of readily available technology and through the provision of adequate nutritious foods and clean drinking-water, taking into consideration the dangers and risks of environmental pollution." For a general discussion on environmental rights and human rights treaties see Churchill "Environmental Rights in Existing Human Rights Treaties" in Boyle and Anderson (eds) *Human Rights Approaches to Environmental Protection* (1996) 89-108.

Article 28 of the UDHR provides, however, that everyone is entitled to "a social and international order in which the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration can be fully realised." This "order" can be seen as encompassing the environment. ¹⁰ Environmental stress has, since the *Brundtland Report*, ¹¹ been recognised as a key catalyst for threats to the international order through civil unrest and threats to security – in both the traditional sense and also in terms of the effects of inadequate resources supplies. ¹²

B Customary International Law

As international human rights instruments do not include a right to the environment, the other possibility is that such a right is part of customary international law. This is derived from consensus among states and can be deduced from the practice and behaviour of states. ¹³ It requires both a general and consistent state practice as well as a sense of legal obligation (*opinio juris sive necessitatis*). ¹⁴ In assessing the degree of state practice, it is relevant to look at treaties, domestic legislation and case law, decisions of international organisations and international judicial bodies,

- 10 United Nations Commission on Human Rights Sub-Commission on Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities *Human Rights and Environment: Final Report* Special Rapporteur Ksentini (6 July 1994) UN Doc E/CN.4/Sub.2/1994 para 34 [Ksentini Report].
- 11 United Nations Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development: Our Common Future UN Doc A/42/427 (1987) ch 11 [Brundtland Report].
- 12 Report of the United Nations Secretary-General's High-level Panel on Threats, Challenges and Change A More Secure World: Our Shared Responsibility (2004) www.un.org (accessed 1 August 2008); Dr King Environmental Security from a Security and Defense Analysis Perspective presented at NATO Security Science Forum on Environmental Security, Brussels (12 March 2008) www.nato.int (accessed 1 August 2008). The preamble to the Mauritius Strategy for the Future Implementation of the Programme of Action for the Sustainable Development of Small Island Developing States Report of the International Meeting to Review the Implementation of the Programme of Action for the Sustainable Development of Small Island Developing States (Port Louis, Mauritius, 10-14 January 2005) UN Doc A/CONF.207/11 [Mauritius Strategy] para 10 states that security for small island developing nations is a multidimensional concept involving environmental degradation, natural disasters, food security, water scarcity, small arms trafficking, narco-trafficking and terrorism.
- Statute of the International Court of Justice Art 38 defines international custom "as evidence of a general practice accepted as law". This is a separate category to what the Statute defines as "general principles of law recognized by civilized nations" see Ian Brownlie Principles of Public International Law (6 ed, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003) 6-12 and Malcolm Shaw International Law (5 ed, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003) 68-88.
- Evidence that states have acted in a certain way because there is a sense of legal obligation to do so. See Brownlie, above n 13, 6-12; Shaw, above n 13, 68-88 and North Sea Continental Shelf (Merits) [1969] ICJ Rep 44 para 77.

the statements of ministers or diplomatic representatives and the opinions of government lawyers and, perhaps more controversially, international declarations. ¹⁵

There are two approaches to determining the existence of *opinio juris*. Its existence is either presumed by the courts based on consistent practice, consensus in literature or on the previous determinations of the courts or other international tribunals; ¹⁶ or, according to the more strict approach applied in a minority of cases, positive evidence of the acceptance of the legal obligation is required. ¹⁷

In order to see if there is a right to a quality environment at customary international law, I first examine international declarations, starting with the Stockholm Declaration, ¹⁸ which is generally seen as the beginning of modern environmental law. ¹⁹ This Declaration evidences a clear view, at

- 15 Shaw, above n 13, 77-80 and Phillippe Sands *Principles of International Environmental Law* (2 ed, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003) 144-147. Rodriguez-Rivera "Is the Human Right to Environment Recognized Under International Law? It Depends on the Source" (2001) 12 Colo J Int'l Envtl L & Pol'y 1 40-44 argues that international declarations and other soft law instruments can be used as evidence of state practice since many international actors do in fact comply with soft law instruments. Rodriguez-Rivera argues that the validity of using these declarations as evidence of state practice ought to be based on the degree to which international actors comply with soft law instruments. See conclusions along the same lines as Rodriguez-Rivera in de Sadeleer *Environmental Principles: From Political Slogans to Legal Rules* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002) para 3.2.1. It is also argued by de Sadeleer at para 3.2.2 that the bright line between soft law and hard law is becoming more indistinct as traditionally soft law obligations are being incorporated into treaties and non-binding instruments include obligations usually found in hard-law agreements.
- 16 In the Gulf of Maine case [1984] ICJ Rep 293, paras 91-93 previous decisions of the International Court of Justice were the basis for consensus regarding international customary law.
- 17 Brownlie, above n 13, 8. In Case Concerning Military and Paramilitary Activities In and Against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v United States) (Merits) [1986] ICJ Rep 14, para 207 it was held that positive evidence that the state believed itself to be bound was required. Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, by contrast, suggested that state practice should be seen as arising from a sense of legal obligation unless proved otherwise see discussion in Sands, above n 15, 146-147. Dunworth suggests that a pedigree approach to international customary law ought to be applied which takes into account the extent to which the process by which the "rule" came about might be considered "democratic" see Dunworth "Hidden Anxieties: Customary International Law in New Zealand" (2004) 2 NZJPIL 67, 83.
- 18 The Stockholm Conference was organised in response to emerging international concern due to several environmental disasters including the grounding of the oil tanker Torrey Canyon off the coasts of France, England and Belgium. The Conference, which produced the Stockholm Declaration, was notable for its inclusiveness of both developing and developed countries see Alexandre Kiss and Dinah Shelton *Guide to International Environmental Law* (Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Dordrecht, 2007) 34-35.
- 19 Asia Pacific Forum of National Human Rights Institutions [APF] Human Rights and the Environment Background Paper (2007) www.asiapacificforum.net (accessed 7 April 2008) 13 [APF Background Paper]. Hill, Wolfson and Targ "Human Rights and the Environment: A Synopsis and Some Predictions" (2004) 16 Geo Int'l Envtl L Rev 359, 375 and Dinah Shelton "What Happened in Rio to Human Rights?" (1992) 3 Yb Int'l Env L 75.

that time, that there was a human right to an environment of quality. Principle One of the Stockholm Declaration states: 20

Man has the fundamental right to freedom, equality and adequate conditions of life, in an environment of a quality that permits a life of dignity and well-being, and he bears a solemn responsibility to protect and improve the environment for present and future generations.

However, that recognition did not last, at least in a pure form. The environment right became linked to the concept of sustainable development.²¹ The most influential expression of the sustainable development approach to environmental rights is set out in the Rio Declaration 1992, which states that human beings are at the centre of concerns for sustainable development and that they are entitled to a healthy and productive life "in harmony with nature".²² This focus on sustainable development came about because of a failure to reach a consensus on the inclusion of a

- 20 Principle One was not, however, acknowledged at the time to be an expression of international customary law - see Gunther Handl "Human Rights and Protection of the Environment" in A Eide, C Krause and A Rosas (eds) Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (2ed, Kluwer International, The Hague, 2001) 307 where he cites UNGA Res 2996 (XXVII) (15 December 1972) 42-43 regarding the International Responsibility of States in Regard to the Environment. The General Assembly did not endorse Principle One as part of customary international law but did state that Principles 21 and 22 of the Declaration reflected international customary law. Principle 21 provides that states have the sovereign right to exploit their own resources pursuant to their own environmental policies, and the responsibility to ensure that activities within their jurisdiction or control do not cause damage to the environment of other states or of areas beyond the limits of national jurisdiction. Principle 21 has been confirmed as a principle of international customary law – see Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons (Advisory Opinion) [1996] ICJ Rep 226, 241 [Nuclear Weapons case]. Principle 22 records that states shall co-operate to further develop international law regarding liability and compensation for the victims of pollution and other environmental damage caused by activities within the jurisdiction or control of such states to areas beyond their jurisdiction. Since the expression of this principle, limited progress has been made. The Rio Declaration on Environment and Development UN Doc A/CONF.151/26 (12 August 1992) [Rio Declaration] Principle 13 provides that "...states shall co-operate in an expeditious and more determined manner to develop further international law regarding liability and compensation for adverse effects of environmental damage caused by activities within their jurisdiction or control to areas beyond their jurisdiction". Sands argues that this new slant shows the reluctance of states to agree to international principles which may lead to significant expenditure; see Sands, above n 15, 870.
- 21 The World Commission for Environment and Development defined "sustainable development" as "development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. It contains within it two key concepts: the concept of 'needs', in particular the essential needs of the world's poor, to which overriding priority should be given; and the idea of limitations imposed by the state of technology and social organization on the environment's ability to meet present and future needs." *Brundtland Report*, above n 11, ch 2. However, the *Brundtland Report* did keep a full focus on the environment. *Brundtland Report* Annex 1 summary of proposed legal principles for environmental protection included a fundamental right to an environment adequate for health and wellbeing.
- 22 Rio Declaration, above n 20, Principle One, which was developed at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, Rio de Janeiro (1992). This marked the twentieth anniversary of the Stockholm Conference, which produced the Stockholm Declaration.

clause on the right to environment during drafting of the Rio Declaration.²³ In fact, there seemed to be a marked reluctance to use the rights lexicon at all, except in referring to the sovereign right to exploit natural resources in Principle Two and the right to development in Principle Three.²⁴

The World Summit on Sustainable Development 2002 in Johannesburg focus on sustainable development was affirmed in the Johannesburg Declaration. ²⁵ An anthropocentric approach was also a feature, as poverty eradication and the need to protect and manage natural resources for economic and social development were overarching objectives. ²⁶

Between Stockholm and Johannesburg therefore, the human right to a quality environment became a right to sustainable development with a reduced focus on the environment. Sustainable development is a concept with great internal tension between the need for international accountability and respect for a state's sovereignty, which may itself lead to subjugation of environmental protection.²⁷ The environment has also been relegated to only one factor of many to be taken into account. The focus is on humans and global disparity between peoples and their development, rather than the environment in its own right.²⁸ The assumption seems to be that the environment is only there for (proper) human use.

- 23 Shelton, above n 19, 82 also points out that the Working Group III of the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development considered a number of different suggestions for a right to environment. For example, in the Chairman's draft, Principle One stated "human beings are entitled to a healthy and productive life in harmony with nature." None of these proposals was adopted.
- 24 Rio Declaration, above n 20, Principle Two provides that "[s]tates have, in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations and the principles of international law, the sovereign right to exploit their own resources pursuant to their own environmental and developmental policies, and the responsibility to ensure that activities within their jurisdiction or control do not cause damage to the environment of other states or of areas beyond the limits of national jurisdiction." Principle Three provides that "[t]he right to development must be fulfilled so as to equitably meet developmental and environmental needs of present and future generations."
- 25 World Summit on Sustainable Development; Johannesburg Declaration on Sustainable Development A/CONF.199/20 (4 September 2002) [Johannesburg Declaration].
- 26 Ibid, para 11.
- 27 P Taylor "From Environment to Ecological Human Rights: A New Dynamic in International Law?" (1998) 10 Geo Int'l Envtl L Rev 309, 335. This is made clear in Principle Two of the Rio Declaration where it was declared that "states have, in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations and the principles of international law, the sovereign right to exploit their own resources pursuant to their own environmental and developmental policies..." Given the complexity and interconnected nature of the global environment, therefore this element of sustainable development may be at cross-purposes with concerted world-wide environmental protection.
- 28 See Johannesburg Declaration, above n 25, paras 11-15.

This is not a complete picture. A parallel progression, built primarily on the statements contained in the Stockholm Declaration rather than on the Rio Declaration, emerged. ²⁹ A connection between the environment and human rights was expressed in the Hague Declaration on the Environment 1989, ³⁰ where a fundamental duty to preserve the ecosystem was recognised and also the right to live in dignity in a viable global environment. In 1990, the United Nations General Assembly observed that environmental protection is indivisible from the achievement of full enjoyment of human rights by all. ³¹ This comment heralded the recognition of the right of all individuals to "live in an environment adequate for their health and well-being". ³² Further, in 1994 the Special Rapporteur to the Sub-Commission on the Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities proposed a set of Draft Principles providing for a stand-alone environmental right, described as the right "to a secure healthy and ecologically sound environment". ³³ Those Principles also recognised the interlinking of human rights, an ecologically sound environment, sustainable development and peace. ³⁴

These early expressions provided the foundation for more recent international discussions on environmental rights, which have focussed on the indivisibility of the right to an environment of quality and fundamental human rights. In 2002, a Joint Expert Seminar was convened by the United Nations Commission on Human Rights and the United Nations Environment Programme, to assess progress in promoting and protecting human rights in relation to environmental questions since the Rio Declaration. The conclusions of the experts were that national and international developments reflect the growing interrelationship between approaches to guaranteeing human rights and environment protection.³⁵ The experts also observed that sustainable development requires that different societal objectives, such as economic, environmental and human rights, be treated in an

²⁹ See World Charter for Nature (28 October 1982) 22 ILM 455 (1983) which has a conservation focus rather than a human focus on environmental protection.

³⁰ Hague Declaration on the Environment 1989, 28 ILM 1308, 1309, signed by 24 heads of state.

^{31 &}quot;Need to Ensure a Healthy Environment for the Well-Being of Individuals" UNGA Resolution 45/94 (14 December 1990).

³² Ibid, para 1.

³³ Draft Principles On Human Rights And The Environment E/CN.4/Sub.2/1994/9 Annex I (1994) [1994 Draft Principles]. Ksentini Report, above n 10, para 7; Handl, above n 20, 307. Such a strong expression of the right was perhaps made because the Ksentini process began in 1989 before the Rio Declaration had come into being.

^{34 1994} Draft Principles, above n 33, part 1 para 1.

³⁵ Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights Meeting of Experts on Human Rights and the Environment (2002) www.unhchr.ch para 3 (accessed at 4 August 2008) [2002 Experts' Report].

integrated manner.³⁶ There was also recognition of the role of environmental protection as a precondition for the effective enjoyment of human rights.³⁷

With regard to substantive rights, the experts agreed on the following points of action: that the link between human rights and environmental protection should be affirmed as an essential tool for the eradication of poverty and the achievement of sustainable development; and that the growing recognition of a right to a secure, healthy and ecologically sound environment, either as a constitutionally guaranteed right or as a guiding principle of national and international law, ought to be supported.³⁸ The experts emphasised the responsibility of private actors and the need to develop effective mechanisms to prevent and redress environmental degradation, including remedies for victims. Emphasis was also placed on marshalling existing human rights to assist in achieving environmental protection, with particular reference to the rights of indigenous peoples and other vulnerable groups.

The explicit recognition of the link between human rights and the environment, and the recognition of the increased support for the right to an environment of quality was not, however, incorporated into the Johannesburg Declaration. The focus was squarely on development.³⁹

Sustainable development as a concept has also been embraced in the Pacific. It is included as one of the four goals in the Pacific Plan.⁴⁰ This Plan was endorsed by the leaders at the Pacific

- 36 Ibid, para 4.
- 37 Ibid, paras 5 and 12.
- 38 The experts also made recommendations regarding procedural rights and institutional arrangements ibid, para 18.
- 39 In the Johannesburg Declaration, above n 25, para 5 the representatives at the conference assumed "... a collective responsibility to advance and strengthen the interdependent and mutually reinforcing pillars of sustainable development economic development, social development and environmental protection at the local, national, regional and global levels." At para 6 a declaration was made assuming responsibility "to one another, to the greater community of life and to our children." Environmental issues were, however, relegated to a secondary status due to the emphasis on development: see Kiss and Shelton, above n 18, 44.
- 40 PIF Secretariat *The Pacific Plan: For Strengthening Regional Cooperation and Integration* (2007) www.pacificplan.org (accessed 5 August 2008). Some of the objectives of the plan are to: increase sustainable trade (including services and investment); develop National Sustainable Development Strategies; increase private sector participation in, and contribution to, development; develop and implement national and regional conservation and management measures for fishing, waste management, implementation of the Pacific Islands Energy Policy and the Pacific Regional Action Plan on Sustainable Water Management; reduce poverty; improve natural resource, environmental management and health; recognise and protect cultural values, identities and traditional knowledge; and improve transparency, accountability, equity and efficiency in the management and use of resources in the Pacific. Members of the PIF are Australia, Cook Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, Fiji, Kiribati, Nauru, New Zealand, Niue, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Republic of Marshall Islands, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Tuvalu and Vanuatu.

Islands Forum (PIF) meeting in October 2005 as a framework for achieving the four key goals of enhancement and stimulation of economic growth, sustainable development, good governance and security for Pacific countries through regionalism. As is a natural result of the concept of sustainable development, however, the main concentration in the Plan is on development rather than the environment in its own right.

Sustainable development has been considered by the International Court of Justice (ICJ) in the Case Concerning the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project (the Danube Dam case). ⁴¹ This concerned the construction of a system of locks on the Danube River, pursuant to a 1977 treaty between Hungary and Czechoslovakia. The primary purposes of the development were electricity generation, ease of navigation and protection against flooding in the Bratislava to Budapest section of the Danube. Work on the project began in 1978 but, by 1989, Hungary had become concerned about the ecological dangers of the project, including threats to ground water and wetlands. Slovakia wanted the development to continue and undertook a variation of its own, diverting the river through its territory to service a power station. Both Hungary and Slovakia in argument referred to sustainable development. Simplistically, Hungary stressed the environmental aspects of sustainable development, and Slovakia the development aspects. ⁴²

The majority of the Court held that there was a new "concept" of international law – sustainable development – that had to be taken into account by the parties in any interpretation of the 1977 Treaty and the obligations under the Treaty. The Court sent the parties away to negotiate in accordance with the treaty provisions and the new concept. While the majority recognised the "concept" of sustainable development in international law, it stopped short of saying that it was a norm of customary international law. ⁴³ The majority said: ⁴⁴

Owing to new scientific insights and to a growing awareness of the risks for mankind – for future and present generations – of pursuit of such interventions [with nature] at an unconsidered and unabated pace, new norms and standards have been developed, set forth in a great number of instruments during the last two decades. Such new norms have to be taken into consideration, and such new standards given

⁴¹ Case concerning the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project (Hungary v Slovakia) [1997] ICJ Rep 7 [Danube Dam case]. See P Taylor "The Case Concerning the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project: A Message from the Hague on Sustainable Development" (1999) 3 NZ J Envtl L 109 for a full discussion.

⁴² Sands, above n 15, 469-477.

⁴³ The concept of sustainable development was given practical legal consequences by the WTO Appellate Body in *United States – Import Prohibitions of Certain Shrimp and Shrimp Products*, Report of the Panel, WT/DS58/R (15 May 1998) (98-1710) [the *Shrimp/Turtle* case]. The Appellate Body characterised sustainable development (as contained in the Preamble to the WTO Agreement) as a concept which "has been generally accepted as integrating economic and social development and environmental protection" (1999) 38 ILM 121, para 129, note 107.

⁴⁴ Danube Dam case, above n 41, para 140.

proper weight, not only when states contemplate new activities but also when continuing with activities begun in the past. This need to reconcile economic development with protection of the environment is aptly expressed in the concept of sustainable development.

Vice-President Weeramantry, in a separate opinion, recognised sustainable development (and particularly the aspect relating to the protection of the environment) as a norm of customary international law.⁴⁵ Indeed he said that it was "one of the most ancient ideas in the human heritage".⁴⁶ Vice-President Weeramantry saw the protection of the environment as being very much a question of human rights. He said that it was:⁴⁷

A vital part of contemporary human rights doctrine, for it is a *sine qua non* for numerous human rights such as the right to health and the right to life itself. It is scarcely necessary to elaborate on this, as damage to the environment can impair and undermine all the human rights spoken of in the Universal Declaration and other human rights instruments.

Reference was also made to the value systems of various cultures which revealed the universal love of nature, the desire for its preservation and the need for human activity to respect the requisites for its maintenance and continuance.⁴⁸ As pointed out by Vice-President Weeramantry, the value systems of the Pacific region are especially in tune with environmental protection:⁴⁹

[The] Pacific tradition despised the view of land as merchandise that could be bought and sold like a common article of commerce, and viewed land as a living entity which lived and grew with the people and upon whose sickness and death the people likewise sicknesd and died.

An earlier decision of the ICJ, the Advisory Opinion in the *Nuclear Weapons case*, ⁵⁰ is also of significance. In that case, the ICJ recognised the importance of the environment in rather poetic terms. It said: ⁵¹

⁴⁵ See Separate Opinion of Vice-President Weeramantry in *Danube Dam case*, above n 41, 104. Or perhaps, seeing Vice-President Weeramantry did not discuss the second aspect needed for the formation of customary international law, legal obligation, he considered it a "general principle of law recognised by civilised nations" under the Statute of the International Court of Justice art 38(1)(c). See the discussion in Taylor, above n 41, 118.

⁴⁶ See Danube Dam case separate opinion of Vice-President Weeramantry, above n 41, 110.

⁴⁷ Ibid, 91-92.

⁴⁸ Ibid, 108-109.

⁴⁹ Ibid

⁵⁰ Nuclear Weapons case, above n 20.

⁵¹ Ibid, para 29.

The Court also recognizes that the environment is not an abstraction but represents the living space, the quality of life and the very health of human beings, including generations unborn.

However, the Court was not talking about a general human right to the environment. It was talking about the obligation of states to ensure that activities within their jurisdiction and control, respect the environment of other states.

Other indications that may evidence the existence of international customary law are the norms expressed in regional agreements and domestic constitutions. Some regional instruments do explicitly guarantee a right to the environment. The African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (the African Charter) guarantees all "peoples" the right to a "general satisfactory environment favourable to their development" and the San Salvador Protocol to the American Convention on Human Rights guarantees the right to a healthy environment and requires states to "promote the protection, preservation and improvement" of the environment. The Association of Southeast Asian Nations' new charter requires the promotion of sustainable development in order "to ensure the protection of the region's environment, the sustainability of its natural resources, the preservation of its cultural heritage and the high quality of life of its peoples". 56

- 52 The term is undefined in the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (27 June 1981) 1520 UNTS 217 [African Charter] but the collective nature of the term is an interesting precedent for any Pacific regional mechanism, given the strong community values in the Pacific. It is interesting, however, that the environmental right in the African Charter is confined to 'peoples' and not to communities or individuals. Other rights in the African Charter are not so confined. For example, all individual rights covered in ICCPR and ICESCR are also covered in the African Charter.
- 53 Ibid, Art 24. Note the linking of the right to the environment with development. It is not a stand-alone environmental right.
- 54 Additional Protocol to the American Convention on Human Rights in the Area of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (17 November 1988) OAS Treaty Series 69 art 15 [Protocol of San Salvador]. Like most of the economic and social rights in the Protocol, the right to the environment is, however, non-justiciable: see APF Background Paper, above n 19, 27.
- 55 American Convention on Human Rights (22 November 1969) 1144 UNTS 143 Art 11(2). Here I note the obligation to "improve" the environment. This links the environment right to improvement of the human quality of life, including presumably through the repair of man-made environmental degradation but also through improvements in sanitation for example. While this can be seen as human-centred, it must be an essential component of any human right to the environment as long as it is, as in this case, coupled with the obligation to protect and preserve the environment. This formulation would have resonance in the Pacific also.
- 56 Charter of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations 2007 Art 9 www.aseansec.org (accessed 14 August 2008). The link of the environment to culture as well as to a high quality of life is also likely to appeal to the framers of any Pacific mechanism. I note in this regard the Constitution of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, which provides in art I s 9 for a right to clean and healthful public environment in all areas, including the land, air, and water. Art XIV provides for the protection of marine resources, uninhabited islands and the preservation of places and things of cultural and historical significance.

There are also numerous constitutional provisions throughout the world which treat the environment as a right. Globally, by 2005, approximately 60 per cent of all states had constitutional provisions protecting the environment. ⁵⁷ Out of 109 constitutions which did recognise some protection for the environment, 56 recognised explicitly the right to a clean and healthy environment, 97 made it the duty of governments to prevent harm to the environment and 56 recognised the responsibility of citizens and residents to protect the environment. ⁵⁸ For example, the South African Constitution guarantees a right to an environment that is "not harmful to ... health or well-being". ⁵⁹ The Belgian Constitution puts it less negatively. It recognises the entitlement of "everyone to the protection of a healthy environment". ⁶⁰ The Philippines Constitution is my personal favourite, not just because of the evocative language but because of the recognition of the need for a balanced ecology and the emphasis on nature. It guarantees that the "[s]tate shall protect and advance the right of the people to a balanced and healthful ecology in accord with the rhythm and harmony of nature". ⁶¹

Turning to the Pacific, the Palau Constitution charges Parliament with the responsibility of taking positive action to attain the objective of "conservation of a beautiful, healthful and resourceful natural environment". 62 Under the Papua New Guinea Constitution, non-binding National Goal Four relates to sustainable development, and includes both the preservation of the environment for future generations but also preservation for its sacred, scenic and historical qualities. 63 The basic social obligations under the Constitution also oblige all persons to safeguard

- 57 APF Background Paper above n 19, Annex 3, 187. Out of 193 national constitutions, 109 recognised some right to a clean and healthy environment and/or the state's obligation to prevent environmental harm.
- 58 See Earthjustice *Environmental Rights Report Human Rights and the Environment* Materials for the 61st Session of the United Nations Commission on Human Rights (2005) www.earthjustice.org (accessed 14 August 2008) 37-38.
- 59 Constitution of the Republic of South Africa 1996, s 24.
- 60 Constitution of Belgium 1970, Art 23.
- 61 Constitution of the Republic of the Philippines 1987, Art II, s 16.
- 62 Constitution of the Republic of Palau 1979, Art VI. Further, the Constitution states that "[h]armful substances such as nuclear, chemical, gas or biological weapons intended for use in warfare, nuclear power plants, and waste materials therefrom, shall not be used, tested, stored, or disposed of within the territorial jurisdiction of Palau without the express approval of not less than three-fourths (3/4) of the votes cast in a referendum submitted on this specific question" see Art XIII s 6.
- 63 Constitution of the Independent State of Papua New Guinea 1975, National Goal Four: "We declare our fourth goal to be for Papua New Guinea's natural resources and environment to be conserved and used for the collective benefit of us all, and be replenished for the benefit of future generations. We accordingly call for (1) wise use to be made of our natural resources and the environment in and on the land or seabed, in the sea, under the land, and in the air, in the interests of our development and in trust for future generations; and (2) the conservation and replenishment, for the benefit of ourselves and posterity, of the environment and its sacred, scenic, and historical qualities; and (3) all necessary steps to be taken to give adequate protection to our valued birds, animals, fish, insects, plants and trees."

the national wealth, resources and environment in the interests not only of the present generation but also of future generations.⁶⁴

Similarly, in Vanuatu, duties of individuals are stressed. The Constitution provides that every person has the fundamental duty to themselves, their descendants and others to safeguard the national wealth, resources and environment.⁶⁵ The new Draft Federal Constitution of the Solomon Islands places a duty on the state to recognise its responsibility to future generations in safeguarding the environment and the biodiversity of the Solomon Islands and encouraging sustainable resources utilisation and management and also a duty on Solomon Islanders to "protect the environment and to conserve natural resources".⁶⁶ The Draft Constitution also accords environmental rights to indigenous Solomon Islanders both in relation to the environment as a whole and with regard to their customary lands and resources. There is also a right for all persons to an environment that is not harmful.⁶⁷

Despite the large number of constitutional provisions protecting the environment, it can be seen that there is wide diversity of descriptions of environmental rights (and duties) in constitutional provisions. This arguably makes it difficult to discern how any right might be constituted and thus establish the degree of consensus necessary for constituting international customary law. ⁶⁸ Further, in many constitutions, the provisions relating to the environment are simply non-justiciable guiding principles, albeit often backed up by national laws.

Moving on to treaties, there have been over 350 multilateral treaties since 1972 that deal with aspects of the environment and more than 1000 bilateral ones.⁶⁹ Examples of the types of conventions that might be thought to be of particular relevance to the Pacific are those on biological

- 64 Ibid, National Goal Five Basic Social Obligations para (d). This is an interesting precedent as it explicitly recognises duties placed on individuals and inter-generational rights.
- 65 Constitution of the Republic of Vanuatu, Art 7(d).
- 66 Draft Federal Constitution of Solomon Islands, ss 10 and 11 [Draft Constitution]. See Solomon Islands Development Administration Planning Programme website (which was a United Nations Development Programme, or UNDP, project) for text of the Draft Constitution www.peoplefirst.net.sb (accessed 21 August 2008).
- 67 Ibid, Under the Draft Constitution, s 17, indigenous Solomon Islanders are accorded the right to the conservation, restoration and protection of the total environment and the productive capacity of their customary lands and resources. The Draft Constitution, s 177 states "[e]very person has the right to an environment that is not harmful to his or her health or well being". The explicit recognition of indigenous peoples is likely to be a model for any Pacific mechanism but care will have to be taken to ensure the rights of minorities are not overshadowed.
- 68 I consider, however, that the differences can be exaggerated. The basic concept is common to most formulations of the right.
- 69 Rodriguez-Rivera, above n 15, 6: treaty numbers were correct as at 2001.

diversity and conservation,⁷⁰ prevention of desertification,⁷¹ hazardous waste,⁷² trade in endangered species,⁷³ highly migratory fish stocks and wild animals,⁷⁴ control of drift net fishing,⁷⁵ the prevention of marine pollution⁷⁶ and conventions on regional environmental

- 70 Convention on Biological Diversity (5 June 1992) 1760 UNTS 79. Pacific parties include Australia, Cook Islands, Fiji, Kiribati, Republic of Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, New Zealand, Niue, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tuvalu, and Vanuatu. See also Convention on Conservation of Nature in the South Pacific 1976 [Apia Convention].
- 71 United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification in those Countries Experiencing Serious Drought and/or Desertification, Particularly in Africa (4 July 1994) 1954 UNTS 3. Parties include Australia, Cook Islands, Fiji, France, Kiribati, Republic of Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, Nauru, New Zealand, Niue, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Tuvalu, Vanuatu, United States of America.
- 72 Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal (22 March 1989) 1673 UNTS 126 [Basel Convention]. Parties to this Convention include Australia, Cook Islands, Kiribati, Republic of Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, New Zealand, Papua New Guinea and Samoa. See also the Convention to Ban the Importation into Forum Island Countries of Hazardous and Radioactive Wastes and to Control the Transboundary Movement and Management of Hazardous Wastes within the South Pacific Region 1995, 2161 UNTS 93 [Waigani Convention]. Parties to this Convention include American Samoa, Australia, Cook Islands, Fiji, French Polynesia, Guam, Kiribati, Republic of Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, Nauru, New Caledonia, New Zealand, Niue, The Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands, Republic of Palau, Papua New Guinea, Pitcairn, Solomon Islands, Tokelau, Tonga, Tuvalu, Vanuatu, Wallis and Futuna, Western Samoa.
- 73 Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (3 March 1973) 993 UNTS 243 [CITES]. Parties include Australia, Fiji, New Zealand, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, and Vanuatu.
- 74 Agreement for the Implementation of the Provisions of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea of 10 December 1982 relating to the Conservation and Management of Straddling Fish Stocks and Highly Migratory Fish Stocks (4 August 1995) 2167 UNTS 3. Parties include Australia, Cook Islands, Fiji, Federated States of Micronesia, Nauru, New Zealand, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands and Tonga. Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (23 June 1979) 1651 UNTS 333 (CMS); Convention for the Conservation of Southern Bluefin Tuna (10 May 1993) 1819 UNTS 360. Parties include New Zealand and Australia. Convention on the Conservation Management of Migratory Fish Stocks in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean (5 September 2000) 40 ILM 278. Parties include Australia, Cook Islands, Fiji, France (extends to French Polynesia, New Caledonia and Wallis and Futuna), Kiribati, Republic of Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, Nauru, New Zealand (including Tokelau), Niue, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Tuvalu, United States of America and Vanuatu.
- 75 Convention for the Prohibition of Fishing with Long Driftnets in the South Pacific (23 November 1989) 1899 UNTS 3. Parties include Australia, Cook Islands, Fiji, Kiribati, Federated States of Micronesia, Nauru, New Zealand, Tokelau and the United States of America.
- 76 Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter (13 November 1972) 1046 UNTS 120. Parties include Cook Islands, New Zealand, Niue and Tokelau Islands. See also the International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments BMW/CONF/36 www.imo.org (accessed 12 August 2008) [Ballast Water Convention]. Parties include Kiribati and Tuvalu.

conservation as a whole. 77 In the main, however, these treaties are regulatory and are not couched in terms of human rights. 78

There are also numerous international and regional environmental programmes and declarations, which may evidence customary norms (although again they are not usually seen as human rights initiatives). For example, the United Nations facilitates the Mauritius Strategy for the sustainable development of small island developing states, ⁷⁹ which aims to create a framework to achieve the "millennium goals" which include ensuring environmental sustainability. ⁸⁰ The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO), in implementing the Mauritius Strategy, is involved in waste water management and coastal and marine resource management in the Pacific, and the UNESCO Pacific Renewable Energy Project. ⁸¹ Other programmes are run by the United Nations Environment Programme such as the Global Programme for Action for the Protection of the Marine Environment from Land-based Sources of Pollution. ⁸² Other international organisations provide an emergency assistance fund for natural disasters to member states, ⁸³

- 77 Convention for the Protection of the Natural Resources and Environment of the South Pacific Region (24 November 1986) 26 ILM 25 [Noumea Convention]. Parties include Australia, Cook Islands, Fiji, France, Kiribati, Republic of Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, Nauru, New Zealand, Niue Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Tuvalu, United Kingdom, United States of America and Vanuatu.
- 78 There may nevertheless be affinities between environmental protection treaties and international human rights law. Sands, above n 15, 112-120 notes that the level of involvement of scientists, NGOs, business and other organisations in the process of treaty making in the environmental area. He comments that the involvement of wider groups is unusual in the international area, apart from in the human rights field.
- 79 The small island developing states in the Pacific are Fiji, Kiribati, Republic of Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, Nauru, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Tuvalu, and Vanuatu. Other small island developing states in the Pacific who are not UN members are American Samoa, Cook Islands, French Polynesia, Guam, New Caledonia and Niue. See United Nations Division for Sustainable Development www.un.org (accessed 10 August 2008).
- Issues addressed in Mauritius Strategy, above n 12, include climate change and sea-level rise, natural and environmental disasters, management of wastes, coastal and marine resources, fresh water resources, land resources, energy resources, tourism resources, biodiversity, transportation and communication, science and technology, graduation from least developed country status, trade, sustainable capacity development, sustainable production and consumption, health, knowledge management and information for decision-making, and culture.
- 81 See UNESCO website: www.unesco.org (accessed 20 August 2008).
- 82 See UNEP website: www.unep.org (accessed 10 August 2008).
- 83 See International Monetary Fund website www.imf.org (accessed 10 August 2008). Member countries include Australia, Fiji, Kiribati, Republic of Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, New Zealand, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga and Vanuatu.

facilitate programmes relating to plant genetic resources⁸⁴ and create programmes to conserve and protect water resources.⁸⁵

In terms of purely regional initiatives, an example is the South Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP). This was established originally as a small programme attached to the South Pacific Commission in the 1980s, and is now the Pacific region's major intergovernmental organisation charged with protecting and managing the environment and natural resources of the Pacific. ⁸⁶ It was created to serve as the facilitator for regional environmental action and to signal the deep commitment of the Pacific governments to sustainable development. SPREP's mandate is to promote cooperation in the Pacific islands region and to provide assistance in order to protect and improve the environment and to ensure sustainable development for present and future generations. ⁸⁷

Projects co-ordinated by SPREP include those relating to climate change, coastal management, the coral reef initiative, dealing with hazardous waste, the strategic action programme for international waters, protecting against invasive species, the mangrove taskforce, preventing marine pollution, marine turtle conservation and the national biodiversity strategy action plan. ⁸⁸ The agreement establishing SPREP speaks of the importance of protecting the environment and conserving the natural resources of the South Pacific region and the responsibility of preserving the natural heritage of the region for the benefit and enjoyment of present and future generations. ⁸⁹ This terminology can be seen as supporting the existence of a human right to the environment, at least in the Pacific region.

There are also, both around the Pacific and throughout the world, numerous national laws protecting aspects of the environment. While these might be seen as showing both state practice and the fact that states accept a legal obligation with regard to the protection of the environment, such laws are normally regulatory in nature, rather than being couched in human rights terms. There are

- 84 The Global Crop Diversity Trust: www.croptrust.org (accessed 10 August 2008) has helped to create the Pacific Regional Conservation Strategy in association with the Pacific Agricultural Plant Genetic Resources Network and the Asia Pacific Forest Genetic Resources Programme (in partnership with Biodiversity International). See www.bioversityinternational.org (accessed 10 August 2008).
- 85 See the Global Water Partnership website: www.gwpforum.org (accessed 10 August 2008).
- 86 See the SPREP website: www.sprep.org (accessed 10 August 2008).
- 87 Members of SPREP are American Samoa, Australia, Cook Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, Fiji, France, French Polynesia, Guam, Kiribati, Republic of Marshall Islands, Nauru, New Caledonia, New Zealand, Niue, Northern Mariana Islands, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tokelau, Tonga, Tuvalu, United States of America, Vanuatu and Wallis and Futuna.
- 88 SPREP website, above n 86.
- 89 Agreement Establishing the South Pacific Regional Environment Programme (Apia, Samoa, 16 June 1993) www.sprep.org (accessed 16 August 2008) Preamble.

obviously also numerous judicial decisions domestically on environmental issues but they too are not usually couched in human rights terms.

More common are laws giving participation rights with regard to decisions about the environment (a vital part of any right). This trend is supported by international declarations. As pointed out by Professor Shelton, the Rio Declaration constructed the link between human rights and environmental protection in the field of procedural rights (access to information and participation rights) and also in terms of ensuring access to judicial and administrative proceedings and the development of effective redress and remedies. ⁹⁰ In particular, the Rio Declaration reached out to secure the participation rights of women, youth, indigenous peoples and local communities. ⁹¹ The momentum behind procedural rights culminated in the Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters 1998 (Aarhus Convention), which is open for signature to any state. ⁹²

Instruments in the Asia Pacific region have also fostered procedural rights in the environmental context. For example, the Ministerial Declaration on Environmentally Sound and Sustainable Development in Asia and the Pacific (Bangkok Declaration) affirmed: 93

The right of individuals and non-governmental organizations to be informed of environmental problems relevant to them, to have the necessary access to information, and to participate in the formulation and implementation of decisions likely to affect their environment.

In the Pacific, however, a lack of resources has led to limitations on effective citizen participation and environmental decision-making, eliciting concerns that "participatory tokenism" is increasing. 94 Programmes such as the South Pacific Action Committee for Human Ecology and

- Rio Declaration, above n 20, Principle Ten. See Shelton *Human Rights and Environment Issues in Multilateral Treaties Adopted Between 1991 and 2001* Joint UNEP-OHCHR Expert Seminar on Human Rights and the Environment (Geneva, 14-16 January 2002) 1-2. World Charter for Nature, above n 29, para 23 also contains participatory rights and rights of redress. See Cameron and MacKenzie "Access to Environmental Justice and Procedural Rights in International Institutions" in Boyle and Anderson, above n 9, 129-152 for a discussion of international participation of NGOs in environmental matters.
- 91 Principles 20, 21, 22 respectively see Shelton, ibid, 2.
- 92 Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters (25 June 1998) 2161 UNTS 450 [Aarhus Convention]. The Aarhus Convention concentrates on access to information, public participation and access to justice. See *APF Background Paper*, above n 19, 48-54 and APF *Human Rights and the Environment: Final Report and Recommendations* (2007) www.asiapacificforum.net (accessed 12 August 2008) [APF Final Report] 15.
- 93 Ministerial Declaration on Environmentally Sound and Sustainable Development in Asia and Pacific (16 October 1990) A/CONF.151/PC/38 [Bangkok Declaration].
- 94 Michael Jeffery "Environmental Governance: A Comparative Analysis of Public Participation and Access to Justice" (2005) 9(2) Journal of South Pacific Law 1, 3.

Environment have been instrumental in filling this participation gap by educating communities about biodiversity and sustainable development. 95

C Assessment

So, is there a right to an environment of quality? There is no doubt, of course, that there is a body of international environmental law contained in numerous treaties and that some aspects are expressed as customary international law.⁹⁶ The question is whether there is, as part of international human rights law, a human right to an environment of a particular quality, either under human rights treaties or under customary international law.⁹⁷

Despite the general desirability of the development of such a right, the struggle to gain credence as a universally accepted right has been hampered by the fact that the right to environment is generally seen as falling within the perhaps less well accepted economic, social and cultural rights. It has also been hampered by the fact that it is premised primarily on "soft" law instruments. 99 As a result, most commentators consider that there is insufficient support for the

- 97 Rodriguez-Rivera, above n 15, 6. Ksentini Report, above n 10, para 7.
- 98 Less well accepted at least with regard to their justiciability.
- 99 Handl, above n 20, 303 and Rodriguez-Rivera, above n 15, 40-41. As noted above, soft law is not recognised by legal traditionalists as sufficient evidence of international customary norms.

⁹⁵ Ibid, 8.

⁹⁶ Such as the duty not to harm the rights of other states in terms of the environment (the no appreciable harm principle) – see Shaw, above n 13, 760 – 761 and de Sadeleer, above n 15, 62. Other norms have been touted as principles of customary international law such as the precautionary principle, polluter pays principle, the preventative principle – see de Sadeleer, above n 15, 25, 66, 92 and 97. However the precautionary principle and the polluter pays principle do not yet enjoy universal support as part of international customary law: see EC Measures Concerning Meat and Meat Products [Hormones] (13 February 1998) WT/DS26/AB/R, WT/DS48/AB/R para 123 where it was held that whether the precautionary principle had been accepted as a principle of customary international law was less than clear. Other principles suggested in the Brundtland Report, above n 11, Annex 1 included inter-generational equity; conservation and sustainable use; environmental standards and monitoring; prior environmental assessment; prior notification, access and due process; and sustainable development and assistance. Even if these are not principles of international customary law they may be "concepts" in the sense recognised by the majority of ICJ in the Danube Dam case, above n 41.

existence of such a right, either in international human rights instruments or in customary international law. 100

While I believe the matter is closely balanced, I agree with this assessment. One of the main difficulties, in my view, is that any right to the environment has become intermingled with the right to development, which is itself a so-called "third generation right", ¹⁰¹ with consequent uncertainty as to its existence as a stand-alone right.

In general, however, procedural rights enjoy greater support than any substantive right, in part because of their comparability with civil and political rights. ¹⁰² It may even be that procedural environmental rights, such as the right to receive information and to participate in decision-making processes, can be characterised as a refinement of established political or civil human rights. ¹⁰³ As

- 100 Handl, above n 20, 313. Shelton, above n 19, agreed. She said, at 81, "while there are a growing number of texts guaranteeing a right to environment, at present the state practice and opinio juris necessary to call a right to environment customary international law is lacking." In an article written in 1996 Professor Merrills stated that, although an articulation of environmental rights may have begun, that does not mean that a right to an environment of a particular quality has as yet emerged - see J G Merrills "Environmental Protection and Human Rights: Conceptual Aspects" in Boyle and Anderson, above n 9, 39. See also Professor Boyle's comments in "The Role of International Human Rights Law in the Protection of the Environment" in Boyle and Anderson, above n 9, 50-51 where he said that, given the inherent uncertainty surrounding attempts to postulate environmental rights in qualitative terms, it is difficult to say that there is international consensus on the topic. See also Hill, Wolfson and Targ, above n 19, 400. Even Special Rapporteur Ksentini merely stated that there is an evolving right to a healthy and flourishing environment: Ksentini Report, above n 10, paras 4-5. See also de Sadeleer, above n 15, 263 where the author states that post-modern law is characterised by the emergence of a new generation of human rights including the right to environmental protection. By contrast, see Segger and Khalfan (eds) Sustainable Development Law Principles, Practices, & Prospects (Oxford University Press, New York, 2004) 71-72 where a right to a healthy environment was argued as existing. Shaw, above n 13, 756 points out that many now agree that a right to a clean environment exists but does not comment on the validity of this view. For more discussion see APF Background Paper, above n 19, and APF Final Report, above n 92.
- 101 Third generation rights or "solidarity" rights (which include peace, development and a good environment) are generally accorded to groups rather than individuals and may contain an element of redistributive justice among states. See Boyle, ibid, 46. See also Rosas "The Right to Development" in Eide, Krause and Rosas Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: A Textbook (2 ed, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001) 119-120. The terminology 'third generation' is somewhat odd, suggesting that such rights are separate from and perhaps less important than first and second generation rights. Instead, they can be seen as the foundation, without which all other rights are under threat. In my view the categorisation of rights is best avoided as detracting from the principle of the indivisibility of all rights.
- 102 Rodriguez-Rivera, above n 15, 16; Handl, above n 20, 318.
- 103 Handl, above n 20, 318 and 321 points to ICCPR, above n 5, Art 25 which provides that "[e]very citizen shall have the right and the opportunity, without any of the distinctions mentioned in article 2 and without unreasonable restrictions (a) To take part in the conduct of public affairs, directly or through freely chosen representatives..." The freedom of expression right in the ICCPR Art 19 has been touted as necessary for the right to participate see *APF Background Paper*, above n 19, 49-50. However it is more controversial as to whether Art 19 includes a duty to provide information.

participation rights become more widespread, it may be more promising to postulate a right to participation as constituting customary international law than to argue for the substantive right. ¹⁰⁴

III DO EXISTING HUMAN RIGHTS COVER THE ENVIRONMENT?

The right to life and the right not to be arbitrarily deprived of life may provide a foundation for the right to a quality environment. This right is contained in UDHR, ¹⁰⁵ ICCPR, ¹⁰⁶ UNCROC, ¹⁰⁷ the African Charter, ¹⁰⁸ the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (ECHR), ¹⁰⁹ and is also guaranteed in other regional charters and most constitutions around the world. ¹¹⁰ It is considered to be a non-derogable right. ¹¹¹

- 104 Handl, above n 20, 318-328 argues that the rights to information, participation and access to remedies are gaining recognition as generally protected international entitlements as these rights rest on currently justiciable rights of international human rights law and are pivotal in the "trilateral relationship of human rights, democracy and environmental protection." See also Sands, above n 15, 118, APF Final Report, above n 92, 12 and Douglas-Scott "Environmental Rights in the European Union: Participatory Democracy or Democratic Deficit?" in Boyle and Anderson, above n 9, 112.
- 105 UDHR, above n 4, Art 3.
- 106 ICCPR, above n 5, Art 6.
- 107 CRC, above n 8, Art 6.
- 108 African Charter, above n 52, Art 4.
- 109 European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms 213 UNTS 221 s 1, Art 2.
- 110 The right to life is a principle of the common law as well as being contained in human rights instruments see New Zealand Law Commission Crown Liability and Judicial Immunity: A Response to Baigent's Case and Harvey v Derrick (NZLC R37 1997) 8 para 26. It is also a fundamental principle in civil jurisdictions. In Europe, for example, the rights which form the ECHR are said to be inspired by constitutional traditions common to member states: Internationale Handelsgesellschaft mbH v Einfur und Vorratsstelle für und Futtermittel [1970] ECR 1125 (Case 11/70).
- 111 See Shaw, above n 13, 256, where it is said that the fact that the right to life is non-derogable suggests that the right may form part of *jus cogens*. ICCPR, above n 5, Art 6(1): "[e]very human being has the inherent right to life. This right shall be protected by law. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his life." ICCPR Art 4(2) provides that there can be no derogation from Art 6, even in times of civil emergency.

The right to life has been regarded as a negative right *not* to be deprived of life, and courts have traditionally been reluctant to expand this right.¹¹² However, in a number of jurisdictions, the right to life has begun to be interpreted widely as including the right to pollution-free water and air, and to include positive obligations on the state to act to remedy threats to life.¹¹³

In terms of other established rights, the right to privacy and family life has been used in Europe, somewhat strangely in my view, to counter noise and industrial pollution. ¹¹⁴ The right to freedom of expression has been used to support the right to information on environmental matters and the right to judicial review has also been used to support participatory rights in environmental decisions. ¹¹⁵ The right to health, ¹¹⁶ culture, ¹¹⁷ property, ¹¹⁸ freedom from discrimination ¹¹⁹ and a number of other rights may also be relevant. ¹²⁰

- 112 See Starmer "Positive obligations under the Convention" in Jowell and Cooper (eds) *Understanding Human Rights Principles* (Hart Publishing, United Kingdom, 2001) 139-159 and *X and Y v Federal Republic of Germany* Application 7407/76, European Commission of Human Rights, Strasbourg (13 May 1976) where it was held that the right to life did not support nature preservation. However, the European Court of Human Rights has commented that the use of the right to life to protect against environmental damage has not been ruled out see *Öneryildiz v Turkey* (2005) 41 EHRR 20 para 72. The Human Rights Committee has also said that the right to life must not be interpreted narrowly see United Nations Human Rights Committee *General Comment No. 6: The Right to Life* UN Doc HR/GEN/1/Rev1 (30 April 1982) para 5: "it would be desirable for state parties to take all possible measures to reduce infant mortality and to increase life expectancy, especially in adopting measures to eliminate malnutrition and epidemics." In *APF Background Paper*, above n 19, 56 this was seen as broadening the right to life beyond imminent threats to more multifarious and less immediate threats to the right to life. See also Churchill, above n 9, 90-91.
- 113 Indian courts for instance have expanded the right to life to include the right to a healthy and clean environment: see Charan Lal Sahu v Union of India AIR 1990 SCF 1480 and Kumar v State of Bihar (1991) 1 SCC 598. See also Farooque v Bangladesh (1997) 49 Dhaka Law Reports (AD) 1. See the discussion in Razzaque Background Paper No 4 Human Rights and the Environment: the national experience in South Asia and Africa Joint UNEP-OHCHR Expert Seminar on Human Rights and the Environment (2002) www.unhchr.ch (accessed 12 August 2008). The right to life has also been extended in Paraguay beyond physical survival to include a right to dignified existence, health, food and access to clean water see Indigenous Community of Yakye Axa v Paraguay (6 February 2006) Judgment on the Merits paras 160 167
- 114 See Arrondelle v United Kingdom App No. 7889/77 (13 May 1983) 26 DR 5 where the construction of an airport and motorway was argued to be a nuisance and Powell & Rayner v United Kingdom App No. 9310/81 172 Eur Ct HR Ser A (1990) where it was argued that noise from Heathrow Airport breached their rights to privacy, home and property. The Court, however, held that there was no violation as the interests of the individuals had to be balanced against the competing interests of the community as a whole. See Taylor, above n 27, 341.
- 115 See APF Background Paper, above n 19, 49-52 and Taylor, above n 27, 343-345.
- 116 United Nations Committee on Economic and Social Rights General Comment 14: The right to the highest attainable standard of health: Art 12 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights UN Doc E/C.12/2000/4 (11 August 2000) refers expressly to the underlying determinants of health as including a 'healthy environment'. See also *Yanomami v Brazil* Case No 7615 (5 March 1985) OAS Doc OAE/Ser.L/VII.66.doc.10.rev.1, 24 (1985).

The question is whether these existing rights provide adequate protection. The first point is that the focus is not specifically on the environment. This in itself is a limitation. As Professor Shelton notes, resource management, nature conservation and biological diversity can be difficult to bring under the human rights rubric in their own right. There are other limitations. For example, the right to life has been interpreted as needing a risk that is actual or imminent, with the applicant

- 117 The right to culture is protected by ICCPR, above n 5, art 27. Environmental damage which prevents the exercise of cultural activities may violate the right to culture. See *Ominayak and the Lubicon Lake Band v Canada* Communication No 167/1984 UN Doc CCPR/C/38/D/167/1984 (10 May 1990) para 33. In *Länsman v Finland* Communication No. 671/1995 UN Doc CCPR/C/58/D/671/1995 (22 November 1996) an Indigenous group in Finland brought a complaint alleging that a government contract allowing a private company to engage in logging in their traditional lands would disrupt their traditional reindeer herding activities. Once again, the UN Human Rights Committee acknowledged that social and economic activities may be a part of culture: see para 10.2.
- 118 The right to own property is expressed in the UDHR, above n 4, Art 17. Art 21 of the American Convention on Human Rights, above n 55, also provides for a right to own property. This right was used in the Inter-American Court of Human Rights in the case of *The Mayagna (Sumo) Awas Tingni Community v Nicaragua* (31 August 2001) Inter-Am Ct HR (Ser C) No 79 to hold that logging licences on the land of indigenous peoples violated their right to property. See *APF Background Paper*, above n 19, 41-42.
- 119 See *Mary and Carrie Dann v United States* (27 December 2002) Inter-Am Ct HR Report No 75/02 Case 11.140 where the state permitted gold prospecting on the lands of the indigenous peoples without a valid public purpose, giving notice to the indigenous peoples and providing compensation. This was held to constitute discrimination.
- 120 Merrills, above n 100, 39. *Ksentini Report*, above n 10, paras 161–234, discussed the link between environmental rights and the right to self-determination and sovereignty over resources, the rights to life, health, food, safe and healthy work conditions, and housing, freedom of association and cultural rights. See also P Dias (President for International Centre for Law in Development) "Human Rights, Environment and Development in South Asia: the Importance of International Human Rights Law" (2000) 6 ILSA J Int'l & Comp L 415, 418; P Qazilbash "Human Rights Environment and Development in South Asia" (2000) ILSA J Int'l & Comp L 423, 424; Handl, above n 20, 305, Rodriguez-Rivera, above n 15, 18 and Taylor, above n 27, 339-340.
- 121 D Shelton "Human Rights and the Environment: Jurisprudence of Human Rights Bodies" (2002) 32/3-4 Environmental Policy and Law 158, 162. This article provides an interesting survey of decisions, recommendations and comments of global and regional human rights bodies on environmental matters.

personally affected. 122 Usually, there will also be difficulties with the issue of causation and proof in environmental matters. 123

In relation to the so-called "second generation" ¹²⁴ economic, social and cultural rights, where the environment might more naturally fit (such as threats to health due to environmental damage), there are issues with justiciability. ¹²⁵ Further, economic, social and cultural rights are subject to progressive implementation in light of resources. ¹²⁶ This may severely limit the usefulness of such rights in the environmental field.

- 122 Aalbersberg v the Netherlands Communication No. 1440/2005 UN Doc CCPR/C/87/D/1440/2005 (14 August 2006) para 6.3. See APF Background Paper, above n 19, 61 and 89 and APF Final Report, above n 92, 19. It may be difficult to prove imminent or actual harm exists until after the harmful effects have actually occurred.
- 123 D Shelton Remedies in International Human Rights Law (Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999) 231 and 239 states that the burden of proof is usually on the claimant and the standard of proof is often high. Shelton opines that failure to prove causation is one of the most important factors in rejecting claims for pecuniary damage even where prima facie a causal link is present. Further, environmental damage may emanate from many different sources or may be generated by multiple acts which makes proof more difficult see de Sadeleer, above n 15, 53.
- 124 As noted earlier, I do not like this categorisation of rights. Classifying economic, social and cultural rights as second generation implies that they are somehow of secondary importance. Economic, social and cultural rights are included in the UDHR alongside civil and political rights and the preamble to the UDHR refers to the "recognition of inherent dignity and of the equal and inalienable rights of all members of the human family." Further, the obligations as to human rights set out in Arts 55 and 56 of the United Nations Charter 1945 do not distinguish between the different rights. Art 55(c) refers to the duty of the United Nations to promote "universal respect for, and observance of, human rights and fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, sex, language, or religion." Art 56 requires member states to take joint and separate action, in co-operation with the UN, for the achievement of the purposes in Art 55.
- 125 For a discussion of justiciability specifically in the environment context see Du Bois "Social Justice and the Judicial Enforcement of Environmental Rights and Duties" in Boyle and Anderson, above n 9, 153-175. It is often argued that the key reason why the justiciability of "second-generation" economic, social and cultural rights is less certain than for civil and political rights is that civil and political rights merely demand non-interference by the state whereas "second generation" rights may require significant state intervention and expenditure see Taylor, above n 27, 319-320. It is said that the enforcement of economic, social and cultural rights would require courts to engage in resource allocation, which is an illegitimate encroachment on the powers of government by an unelected body. This is a false dichotomy in my view. The protection of civil and political rights often requires positive action on the part of the state and possibly significant expenditure; for instance where a court orders the improvement of prison conditions or makes orders regarding legal aid. For further discussion on the justiciability of economic, social and cultural rights see APF Reference on the right to education: Final Report (2007) www.asiapacificforum.net (accessed 13 August 2008) 74. Accident Compensation Corporation v Ambros [2008] 1 NZLR 340 (CA) discusses causation difficulties in the medical context.
- 126 ICESCR, above n 6, Art 2. See Boyle, above n 100, 46.

IV SHOULD THERE BE A RIGHT TO A QUALITY ENVIRONMENT?

A The Arguments Against the Right to a Quality Environment

I turn now to the question of whether there should be a specific right to an environment of quality. The arguments against such a right include:

- (1) There should not be a proliferation of new rights; 127
- (2) There are difficulties in linking any such new right with other rights;
- (3) There is a lack of concentration on the environment for its own sake; ¹²⁸
- (4) It might devalue and confuse existing international environmental law; 129
- (5) It may lead to ambiguity regarding the identity of the rights holder; ¹³⁰
- (6) The focus on individual rather than collective rights and responsibilities is inappropriate in the environmental area;
- (7) The focus on rights rather than responsibilities is inappropriate in the environmental area;
- (8) There is general difficulty in characterising the right; 131
- (9) Human rights bodies are not appropriate organs to supervise environmental protection obligations; 132
- (10) The creation of a right to environment would result in duplication of remedies;
- 127 See discussion in Taylor, above n 27, 346.
- 128 Boyle, above n 100, 51. See also Handl, above n 20, 315. For a fuller discussion of this point see Redgwell "Life, the Universe and Everything: A Critique of Anthropocentric Rights" in Boyle and Anderson, above n 9, 71-87. The author acknowledges at 71-72 that international environmental law has also been criticised as anthropocentric but counters that contemporary environmental law does take account of the intrinsic value of the environment, including ecosystems and species. She also, in that chapter, discusses the animal rights movement and the wider approach of giving all natural objects rights, on a level of equality with human rights. Indeed, under one approach, benefit to humans should be irrelevant. See World Charter for Nature, above n 29, Preamble. The anonymous reviewer of this paper also raised the issue of whether all living creatures, including plants, as well as all natural objects, such as rivers and mountains, should have rights to be balanced against any human rights. This is a fascinating question but one that will, given the already inordinate length of this paper, have to be left to another day.
- 129 APF Background Paper, above n 19, 86.
- 130 Merrills, above n 100, 37-38.
- 131 APF Background Paper, above n 19, 86 88 and Handl, above n 20, 313-315.
- 132 Professor Boyle discusses this point which was originally raised by Handl, in Boyle and Anderson, above n 100, 49. See also Handl, above n 20, 313.

- (11) It would not add to environmental protection measures; 133 and
- (12) It draws attention away from the root causes of environmental degradation.

Now, a confession. I did not think that a specific right should be recognised until I took part in the consideration of this issue by the Advisory Council of Jurists (ACJ) of the Asia Pacific Forum of National Human Rights Institutions (APF). ¹³⁴ The arguments in favour of having a specific right to an environment of quality convinced me and I was happy to endorse the ACJ's recommendation that national human rights institutions should advocate for a right to a quality environment. ¹³⁵

B Counter-Arguments in Support of a Right to a Quality Environment

1 There should not be a proliferation of new rights

I agree that a proliferation of new rights could, in some circumstances, devalue existing rights. However, an unwillingness to adapt existing instruments and expand rights to meet changing circumstances would have the same effect. New rights should not be added if they are trivial but a right to an environment of quality can effectively be seen as one of the foundation stones on which all other rights depend.

2 There are difficulties in linking any such new right with other rights

There will always be difficulties balancing rights when they apparently conflict but that is the nature of human rights law. All rights are indivisible and those who are in decision-making roles are always engaging in an exercise of weighing rights. If a right is not articulated, however, it may not be considered at all. Equally, if an issue is seen as being outside a human rights framework, it may become too important and overshadow human rights altogether. ¹³⁶ In any event, given the

¹³³ This was an issue raised for consideration by the anonymous reviewer of this paper. The argument posed was that, if a right to the environment is not based on human needs but includes the protection of the environment for its own sake, then it adds nothing to the body of law for the protection of the environment (albeit consisting of a set of duties and regulations rather than a right).

¹³⁴ See APF Background Paper, above n 19. The APF was established in 1996 and its prime purpose is to support the establishment and strengthening of national human rights institutions. The Advisory Council of Jurists [ACJ], a body of jurists from the region, advises the APF on the interpretation and application of international human rights law. Since its establishment in 1998, the ACJ has considered a wide range of human rights related issues: the death penalty, child pornography, terrorism, prohibitions on torture and trafficking, the application of the right to education, human rights and the environment and is currently considering a reference on human rights and corporate responsibility. See APF website: www.asiapacificforum.net (accessed 13 August 2008).

¹³⁵ APF Final Report, above n 92.

¹³⁶ As Professor Joseph suggested at the Samoa Conference has become the case with trade – see Sarah Joseph "Human Rights and the WTO Issues for the Pacific" in this volume.

fundamental importance of the environment as a foundation for other rights, there may well be less difficulty with balancing than there is with some other rights.

3 There is a lack of concentration on the environment for its own sake

The concern is that the protection of the environment for its own sake would give way to the right for humans to use and abuse the environment to the detriment of other species and to the ecosystem. Any right to the environment would, however, have to include the right to biodiversity and the responsibility for the general protection of biodiversity and the ecosystem for its own sake. Rights do not have to relate only to physical needs and desires of humans. They can and should also relate to spiritual, cultural and aesthetic needs and desires. 138

4 It might devalue and confuse existing international environmental law

Any right to the environment should support international environmental law and not be incompatible or inconsistent. ¹³⁹ Indeed, the content of the right would be moulded by international environmental law and vice versa. ¹⁴⁰ It would also mean, as said earlier, that the environment would take its place as a right to be weighed against other rights and not be overlooked.

5 It may lead to ambiguity regarding the identity of the rights holder

There is no doubt that the right to a quality environment cannot be seen merely as an individual right. It must also be a right enjoyed by communities and peoples. This, however, strengthens rather

^{137 1994} Draft Principles, above n 33, included as Principle Six that all persons have the right to protection and preservation of the air, soil, water, sea-ice, flora and fauna and the essential processes and areas necessary to maintain biological diversity and ecosystems. See also the World Charter for Nature, above n 29, Preamble: "[e]very form of life is unique, warranting respect regardless of its worth to man ...".

¹³⁸ This concept is of course not at all alien to Pacific cultures. Indeed, it would be taken for granted.

¹³⁹ See de Sadeleer, above n 15, 275 where the author states that what he calls "environmental directing principles" such as the polluter-pays, prevention and precaution principles, may strengthen constitutional provisions that recognise environmental protection. See also *APF Background Paper*, above n 19, 85-89.

¹⁴⁰ As pointed out by Anderson "An Overview" in Boyle and Anderson, above n 9, 2, there are natural affinities between organisations such as Greenpeace and Amnesty International since both aim to reduce the reserved domain of domestic jurisprudence protected under the United Nations Charter Art 2(7). Anderson nevertheless at 3 realises the tension inherent in meeting the needs of a growing population with limited environmental goods which may pull the other way. Boyle, above n 100, 45-57, also stresses the growing recognition of the need to internationalise the global environment based on notions of common concern and interest and the recognition of the global interdependence of many environmental issues. He cites in particular the Convention on Biological Diversity, above n 70, as one example of this. Tying in the environment with the human rights framework may help to accelerate that trend.

than devalues it as a right.¹⁴¹ In any event, the interests of the individual, communities and people will, if the right is properly constituted to include the environment for its own sake, almost always coincide (which is not necessarily the case with a number of other rights).

6 The focus on individual rather than collective rights and responsibilities is inappropriate in the environmental area

The fact that there is an individual right does not mean that there is not a community right, ¹⁴² especially in respect of the so-called third generation rights like the right to the environment and the right to sustainable development. Indeed, they may be best understood as community or collective rights. ¹⁴³ The preambles of both the ICCPR and ICESCR ¹⁴⁴ and also article 29 of the UDHR recognise the notion of duties to the collective. ¹⁴⁵

7 The focus on rights rather than responsibilities is inappropriate in the environmental area

It is of course important to require everybody, including states, businesses, individuals and communities, to promote and protect the environment. In a number of contexts, concern is increasingly being expressed that the concentration on individual rights detracts from a focus on responsibilities and obligations. However, rights are not one-sided. Rights do impose requirements on individuals and communities to respect rights, as well as obligations on states to ensure that happens. 146

- 141 It is notable that, although Professor Boyle does not consider that a right to an environment of quality is needed at international law because this is already covered by existing international environmental law, he does concede that such a right may be necessary at national level to articulate to whom the right is owed: Boyle, above n 100, 64-65.
- 142 Sir Paul Reeves "Collective Human Rights of Pacific Peoples" in N Tomas and Haruru (eds) Collective Human Rights of Pacific Peoples (International Research Unit for Maori and Indigenous Education, University of Auckland, Auckland, 1998) 11, 15.
- 143 Professor K H Thaman "A Pacific Island Perspective of Collective Human Rights" in Tomas and Haruru, above n 142, 1, 3: "...we need to approach the issue of collective human rights for Pacific peoples with a commitment to, and understanding of, cultural diversity and its implications for collective problem solving. We need to talk not only about the role of 'custom' but also Pacific notions of community and group viability and consider an approach to human rights that recognises the duties and obligations of the individual to the group, as well as vice versa."
- 144 ICCPR, above n 5, and ICESCR, above n 6: "[r]ealizing that the individual, having duties to other individuals and to the community to which he [or she] belongs, is under a responsibility to strive for the promotion and observance of the rights recognized in the present Covenant."
- 145 UDHR, above n 4, Art 29(1) recognises explicitly that everyone has "duties to the community in which alone the free and full development of his [or her] personality is possible".
- 146 1994 Draft Principles, above n 33, Principle 21 required all persons individually and collectively to protect and preserve the environment. In the preamble to the UDHR it is stated that the Member States have pledged to achieve "the promotion of universal respect for and observance of human rights and fundamental

8 There is general difficulty in characterising the right

The right to the environment may need to be more fully textured than some other rights. For example, it must include the environment for its own sake, embrace communities, take into account inter-generational equity and stress responsibilities (of states, businesses, communities and individuals). Nevertheless, there is no reason why this cannot be encapsulated in the articulation of the right. The difficulties in terminology have, in my view, been exaggerated. The basic concepts are well understood. The application to particular situations will be a matter of interpretation for supervisory institutions and courts, in the same way as for other human rights. ¹⁴⁷

9 Human rights bodies are not appropriate organs to supervise environmental protection obligations

It may well be that current human rights bodies lack expertise relating to the environment. Equally, existing environmental bodies may lack human rights expertise. This may suggest the need for a combined body, which would provide a welcome opportunity to rationalise existing structures, both in the human rights and environmental fields.

The current multiplicity of international bodies can be seen as an inefficient use of resources and better synergies may be garnered by consolidating relevant expertise in one specialised body. A suggestion along similar lines was made with regard to environmental bodies in chapter 38 of Agenda 21 of the Environment and Development Agenda where it was recommended that a new commission should be created to ensure efficient implementation of the Rio Declaration. 148

10 The creation of a right to environment would result in duplication of remedies

At present, remedies for human rights violations are not particularly coherent. Hose in the environmental field are arguably better but by no means comprehensive. A right to environment

freedoms". See also the UN Charter Art 1(2) where one of the purposes of the United Nations is to "develop friendly relations among nations based on respect for the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples, and to take other appropriate measures to strengthen universal peace." The UN Charter also states that states must respect equal rights – see Arts 55 and 56. See also United Nations Human Rights Council (John Ruggie) Report of the Special Representative of the Secretary-General on the issue of human rights and transnational corporations and other business enterprises, Promotion and Protection of All Human Rights, Civil, Political, Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, Including the Right to Development Protect, Respect and Remedy: a Framework for Business and Human Rights UN Doc A/HRC/8/5 (7 April 2008) and APF Final Report, above n 92, para 1.2 where it discusses the responsibilities of states.

- 147 See comments of Boyle, above n 100, 50-51.
- 148 Agenda 21 2002: Environment and Development Agenda www.un.org para 38.11 (accessed 22 August 2008).
- 149 See Shelton, above n 123, 1 where the author stated that despite "revolutionary advances ... human rights law has yet to develop a coherent theory or consistent practice of remedies for victims of human rights violations."

may herald a welcome and necessary strengthening in human rights remedies generally. When developing new remedies relating to human rights and the environment, there will be the opportunity to ensure that they are complementary rather than inconsistent with or duplicative of existing environmental remedies and that the latter are, at the same time, strengthened (particularly with regard to victims of environmental degradation).

11 It would not add to environmental protection measures

At the risk of appearing trite, the immediate response is that every little bit helps. The more considered response rests partly in terminology and is partly systemic. As to terminology, there is likely to be more willing compliance if a person considers him or herself as enjoying a right rather than being subjected to regulation. In terms of systemic issues, as discussed below, framing environmental protection as a right also draws it into the more general rights framework, with consequent advantages for environmental protection.

12 It draws attention away from the root causes of environmental degradation

To the contrary. As humans are the cause of environmental degradation, a human rights approach, properly coupled with an emphasis on individual, collective, business and state responsibility, should provide impetus for addressing root (human) causes.

C Other Factors in Favour of Having a Right to a Quality Environment

1 A specific right would give greater prominence to the environment 150

It would help the dialogue and make it more personal by giving it a "human" face. ¹⁵¹ Without relating it back to people, "the environment" can, contrary to the words of the ICJ, ¹⁵² seem something of an abstraction. The importance of winning the hearts and minds of people and thus of the role of rhetoric in protecting the environment cannot be overemphasised. Such a right would

¹⁵⁰ Professor Marks suggests that the value of the right to development lies primarily in its rhetorical force: Marks "Human Right to Development: Between Rhetoric and Reality" (2004) 17 Harv Hum Rts J 137, 156. UNDP Human Rights and Human Development (2000) www.undp.org (accessed 21 August 2008) 22 recognised that, since the process of human development often involves great struggle, the empowerment involved in the language of claims can be of great practical importance. Similar comments can be made about any right to the environment. Anderson says that the power of an explicit environmental right lies in its ability to trump individual greed and short-term thinking – see Anderson, above n 140, 21. He also suggests, at 22, that it may stimulate political activism in the environmental area and provide a rallying ground for NGOs.

¹⁵¹ Australian Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission [HREOC] Human Rights and Climate Change: Background Paper (2008) www.humanrights.govt.au (accessed 13 August 2008) 12 [HREOC Climate Change Paper].

¹⁵² See the comments in the Nuclear Weapons case, above n 20, 241.

also provide a focus on the rights of indigenous peoples and recognise the importance of the environment for such peoples, culturally, spiritually and economically. ¹⁵³

2 A specific right would address the needs of the vulnerable more clearly 154

Poor and developing nations are more at risk from environmental degradation. ¹⁵⁵ Having a right to a quality environment would concentrate attention on their plight. However, it would at the same time be necessary to ensure that any environmental protection measures that were taken were not unduly onerous on those who could afford it least, both between and within states. ¹⁵⁶ Between states, this is encapsulated in the principle of common but differentiated responsibility. ¹⁵⁷ The underlying rationale for this principle was expressed by Dr French as recognising the historical responsibility of developed countries for current environmental degradation; recognising the respective capacities of developing and developed worlds to remedy problems; taking into account

- 153 See 1994 Draft Principles, above n 33, Principles 13 and 14. Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (2 October 2007) 328 UNTS 247 art 25. International Labour Organisation Convention (No. 169) concerning Indigenous and Tribal Peoples in Independent Countries 1989, 28 ILM 1382 [ILO Convention (No. 169)]; Agenda 21 ch 26 "Recognizing and Strengthening the Role of Indigenous People and Their Communities" also focuses on participation rights of indigenous peoples.
- 154 1994 Draft Principles, above n 33, Principle 25 required special attention to be given to vulnerable persons and groups.
- 155 A Dupont and G Pearman Heating Up the Planet: Climate Change and Security (Lowy Institute Paper 12, Lowy Institute for International Policy, Double Bay, New South Wales, 2006) 27; World Bank "Not if but when: Adapting to natural hazards in the Pacific Islands Region A Policy Note" (2006) www.web.worldbank.org (accessed 18 August 2008); L Scott Chronic Poverty and the Environment: a Vulnerability Perspective (CPRC Working Paper 62, Overseas Development Institute, 2006) www.chronicpoverty.org (accessed 18 August 2008) and UNDP Human Development Report 2007/2008 Fighting climate change: Human solidarity in a divided world: Links between Natural Disasters, Humanitarian Assistance and Disaster Risk Reduction a Critical Perspective Human Development Report Office Occasional Paper www.undp.org (accessed 18 August 2008) [Human Development Report].
- 156 HREOC Climate Change Paper, above n 151, 14-15 points out that adaptation measures to respond to climate change are likely to exacerbate already existing social disparities of vulnerable groups. For instance, the pricing of carbon into energy will mean that costs will rise and, as the magnitude and frequency of natural disasters increases, the cost of insurance and infrastructure will increase. HREOC also comments that the effects of climate change in the Pacific will be disproportionate and affect those who contributed least to global warming the most.
- 157 The Rio Declaration, above n 20, recognised the concept of "common but differentiated responsibility" in Principle Seven. Developed countries acknowledged, "the responsibility that they bear in the international pursuit of sustainable development in view of the pressures their societies place on the global environment and of the technologies and financial resources they command."

specific needs and circumstances of developing worlds and emerging principles regarding the need for states to assist each other to achieve a sustainable environment. 158

3 It would empower participants to participate in decisions affecting the environment

Participation and information rights were an important part of the 1994 Draft Principles, Agenda 21 and also the 2002 principles set out in the *United Nations Experts' Report*. Increased participation would encourage transparency and accountability in policy decisions. Further, serious damage to the environment is often linked to repression of affected groups and denial of access to information. On the other hand, procedural rights alone may not suffice. Without being attached to an explicit right to environment, participation rights may exist in somewhat of a vacuum.

4 The environment could be balanced as a separate right against other rights, rather than being isolated in its own legal framework

For example, it would allow a proper rights-based balancing of the relationship between biofuels and food supply. ¹⁶² It would also give it some priority over non-rights-based objectives.

5 Having a separate right would also allow the environment right to be balanced explicitly and properly against the right to sustainable development

In my view, it is better to have two separate rights (both sustainable development and the environment) and then weigh them against one another when they are apparently in conflict. This

- 158 French "Developing States and International Environmental Law: The Importance of Differentiated Responsibilities" (2000) 49 ICLQ 35, 46. There is also a focus on capacity building for developing countries – see Mauritius Strategy, above n 12, para 6.
- 159 See 2002 Experts' Report, above n 35, para 18 where the recommendations expressed the need to: increase public awareness regarding environmental protection especially in the corporate sector; ensure greater certainty and consistency at the national and international levels respecting procedural (participatory) rights by adopting new mechanisms to implement Principle Ten of the Rio Declaration, above n 20, facilitating rights to information, effective participation in decision-making and access to justice and other remedies in national and international fora; and create greater awareness of the need to avoid merely pro forma provisions on participation. See the Aarhus Convention, above n 92.
- $160\,$ See discussion by Anderson, above n 140, 5.
- 161 Participation rights may still result in a concentration on the short rather than long term. Anderson, above n 140, 10 says that democracies may even be structurally predisposed to unfettered consumption. See also APF Background Paper, above n 19, 52.
- 162 Concentration on bio-fuels may mean that the world's food supply will be at risk. This will obviously have more of an impact on those in developing nations than on those in developed nations. See APF Newsletter "Food Shortages a 'silent tsunami'" (May 2008) www.asiapacificforum.net (accessed 18 August 2008). At the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations the Declaration of the High-Level Conference on World Food Security: The Challenges of Climate Change and Bioenergy 2008 was made see www.fao.org. This Declaration linked the right to food to sustainable development see para 7(f).

allows a proper focus on the environment for its own sake as well as on its relationship with human development. Given that the concentration must be on long-term sustainable development in any development right, this should mean that the two rights are not often in conflict. Two separate rights, however, enable any residual conflicts to be identified rather than masked. ¹⁶³

For those who still need convincing of the need for a right to environment, I refer to the following data: 164

- (1) Worldwide, 13 million deaths (23 per cent of all deaths) could be prevented each year by making our environment healthier. 165
- (2) For children under fourteen, over one third (36 per cent) of all disease is caused by environmental factors such as unsafe water or air pollution. There are more than four million environmentally caused deaths of children each year. 166
- (3) Better environmental management could prevent 42 per cent of deaths from malaria, ¹⁶⁷ 41 per cent of deaths from lower respiratory infections ¹⁶⁸ and 94 per cent of deaths from diarrhoeal disease. ¹⁶⁹ These are three of the world's biggest childhood killers.
- (4) The overall economic benefits of halving the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water by 2015 (one of the Millennium Development Goals) would outweigh the investment costs by a ratio of 8:1.¹⁷⁰

V SUGGESTED CONTENT OF THE RIGHT

In 1985, LAWASIA initiated a programme to encourage the development of a regional human rights body in the Pacific. A seminar was held in Fiji in April 1985 and a drafting committee appointed to produce a Draft Charter. This was duly produced and consultation on the draft took

¹⁶³ The aim of a stand-alone environment right must be to preserve the environment, both for its own sake and for the long-term development of current and future generations. That is not such a clear-cut focus of a development right, even couched as a sustainable development right, hence the possible conflict.

¹⁶⁴ World Health Organisation Preventing Disease through Healthy Environments: Towards an Estimate of the Environmental Burden of Disease (2006) www.who.int (accessed 18 August 2008).

¹⁶⁵ Ibid, 82.

¹⁶⁶ Ibid, 6, 9 and 82.

¹⁶⁷ Ibid, 10.

¹⁶⁸ In developing countries. The figure is 20 per cent in developed countries – see ibid, 9.

¹⁶⁹ Ibid.

¹⁷⁰ Ibid, 67.

place at a number of other seminars. A final draft was produced in 1990.¹⁷¹ The initiative did not progress further, however, largely due to it not being seen as a priority by governments in the region. Given the amount of work that was done on the Draft Charter, it is reasonable, however, to assume that it may provide a base for any Pacific Charter that might now be considered.

The Draft Charter included a right to development as well as a right to environment. Article 22 contained the development right: ¹⁷²

Article 22 Right to Economic, Social and Cultural Development

- 1) All peoples have the right to their economic, social and cultural development with due regard to their freedom and identity and in the equal enjoyment of the common heritage of humankind.
- 2) Parties shall have the duty, individually or collectively, to ensure the exercise of the right to development.

The environment right was in Article 24:173

Article 24 Right to a Safe Environment

All peoples shall have the right to a clean, healthful and safe environment favourable to their development.

In my view, the draft environment article suffers from a number of defects. It defines the right to environment in terms of human needs, rather than also for its own sake. ¹⁷⁴ Further, it links the environment right to that of development, which is already a separate right in the Draft Charter. This makes it impossible to balance the environment for its own sake against the right to development.

Both the right to development and the right to an environment in the Draft Charter could be improved by explicitly recognising inter-generational equity. Indeed, Article 22 would be improved by being expressed in the modern way as a right to sustainable development. The rights in Articles 22 and 24 should be expanded to apply not only to peoples but also to communities and

^{171 &}quot;Appendix 1: Report on a proposed Pacific Charter of Human Rights prepared under the auspices of LAWASIA, May 1989" (1992) 22(3) VUWLR Monograph 4, 99.

¹⁷² This Art was taken directly from the African Charter, above n 52.

¹⁷³ African Charter, above n 52, Art 24: "All peoples shall have the right to a general satisfactory environment favorable to their development." The words chosen for the Draft Charter (as against those in the African Charter) were chosen because they were thought clearer. The addition of the word "safe" was borne out of the Bhopal disaster and the experience in the Pacific of nuclear testing.

¹⁷⁴ This is not to suggest that it is inappropriate to relate the right to human needs. That is one of the reasons for having it as a right – so people can relate to it. The human needs must, however, be balanced with express recognition of the right to biodiversity and a balanced ecosystem.

¹⁷⁵ Rio Declaration, above n 20, Principle Three states that "[t]he right to development must be fulfilled so as to equitably meet developmental and environmental needs of present and future generations."

individuals and, perhaps even to families, given the importance of kinship in the Pacific. The explicit recognition of the relationship with land and resources of indigenous peoples and their rights in relation to the environment would also be essential, as would the recognition and protection of the rights of minorities.

Draft Article 24 also does not explicitly contain a duty for individual and collective action to protect, promote and improve the environment. 176 It is true that there are, in the Draft Charter, specific provisions related to duties of individuals, expanding on the notion of personal responsibility contained in Article 29 of UDHR, 177 but these are not specifically directed towards the environment nor, indeed, towards the promotion and protection of any of the other Charter rights. Neither do they place obligations on communities and businesses. Draft Articles 27-29 provide:

Article 27 Duties Towards Family, Society and Communities

Individuals shall have duties towards their families and society, the Parties and other legally recognized communities and the regional and international community.

Individuals shall exercise their rights and freedoms with due regard to the rights of others, collective security, morality and common interest.

Article 28 Duty to Respect Other Individuals Without Discrimination

Individuals shall have the duty to respect and consider their fellow beings without discrimination, and to develop and maintain relations aimed at promoting, safeguarding and reinforcing mutual respect and tolerance.

Article 29 Certain Specific Duties of Individuals Individuals shall have the duty:

1) To preserve the harmonious development of the family and to work for its cohesion and respect.

¹⁷⁶ There needs to be some care with the concept of improving the environment. It should certainly, as noted earlier, include remedying environmental degradation. It should also include improvements in terms of better sanitation for example but any such measures need to limit any resulting damage to the environment. It must also recognise the need to preserve biodiversity and a balanced ecosystem – the protection of the environment and flora and fauna for their own sake. The other limbs of "protect and promote" must always be given at least equal, if not greater, weight.

¹⁷⁷ UDHR, above n 4, Art 29 states that "(1) Everyone has duties to the community in which alone the free and full development of his personality is possible. (2) In the exercise of his rights and freedoms, everyone shall be subject only to such limitations as are determined by law solely for the purpose of securing due recognition and respect for the rights and freedoms of others and of meeting the just requirements of morality, public order and the general welfare in a democratic society. (3) These rights and freedoms may in no case be exercised contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations."

- 2) To work to the best of their abilities and competence, to use their skills and abilities for the betterment of their communities, and to pay taxes imposed by law in accordance with their means in the interests of society.
- 3) To preserve and strengthen positive Pacific cultural values in their relations with other members of the society, in the spirit of tolerance, dialogue and consultation and, in general, to contribute to the promotion of the well-being of society.

These should be expanded to deal specifically with the duty on individuals, families and communities and (in particular) businesses to promote, respect, preserve, protect and fulfil all of the rights in the Charter (and in particular the environmental right).

The other issue that it would be essential to deal with in any Charter would be participation and procedural rights with regard to decisions relating to the environment. Procedural rights include the right to information concerning the environment, including all information necessary to enable effective public participation in environmental decision-making, and the right to participate in planning and decision-making activities (this includes the right to a prior assessment of the environmental, developmental and human rights consequences of proposed actions). 181

- 178 Ksentini Report, above n 10, para 70. Rio Declaration, above n 20, Principle Ten provides that "[e]nvironmental issues are best handled with participation of all concerned citizens, at the relevant level. At the national level, each individual shall have appropriate access to information concerning the environment that is held by public authorities, including information on hazardous materials and activities in their communities, and the opportunity to participate in decision-making processes. States shall facilitate and encourage public awareness and participation by making information widely available. Effective access to judicial and administrative proceedings, including redress and remedy, shall be provided." Principle 20 deals with participation of women and Principle 22 on participation of indigenous peoples. Procedural rights are "fundamental to the ability of people to protect themselves from environmental harms." see APF Final Report, above n 92, 15. The ACJ stated that procedural rights must be available to all people without discrimination; the subject matter and scope of procedural rights should be construed expansively; procedural rights should be accessible and effective and must be consistently enforced; if procedural rights are refused by the state reasons should be provided; to the degree possible, states should provide the necessary resources to ensure that environmental procedural rights are implemented and enforced see APF Final Report, above n 92, 56-57.
- 179 1994 Draft Principles, above n 33, Art 15. Art 9(1) of the Draft Charter sets out a general right to receive information and the right to "express and disseminate their opinions". ICCPR, above n 5, Art 19(2) in comparison gives the right to "receive and impart" information.
- 180 1994 Draft Principles, above n 33, Art 15.
- 181 Ibid, Art 18. Art 13(4) of the Draft Charter provides for the right to participate effectively in decision-making affecting the citizen in relation to economic and social development in the country. There is no equivalent to Art 13(4) of the Draft Charter in the ICCPR. Art 13(1) provides a right to participate freely in the government of their country, either directly or through freely chosen representatives. This mirrors art 25(a) of the ICCPR.

Participation rights are of prime importance in the context of environmental rights and must include the right to judicial or administrative remedies for environmental harm or threat of such harm. ¹⁸²

Many of these procedural rights are covered in a general manner in the Draft Charter but it would be preferable for there to be procedural rights targeted towards environmental rights, given the importance of procedural rights to securing any such substantive rights. Furthermore, the participation rights of indigenous peoples and minorities ought to be dealt with specifically. ¹⁸³ There is also no specific remedies clause in the Draft Charter, and this is a major shortcoming which ought to be remedied, at least in the environmental area.

As noted above, the ACJ considered in its final report on human rights and the environment whether any additional value would be gained from having a specific right to environment. The ACJ concluded that it would. The content of the right to environment as devised by the ACJ provides some answers to the criticisms relating to the Draft Charter. The ACJ recommended that any definition of a human right to environmental quality must address: 185

The right of all persons, communities and peoples to a safe, secure, healthy and ecologically sound environment that is protected, preserved and improved both for the benefit of present and future generations, and in recognition of the inherent value of ecosystems and biodiversity.

This definition of the right acknowledges several elements which were lacking in the draft Charter: the focus not only on individuals but on communities and peoples, the inclusion of the intrinsic value of the environment for its own sake and also the notion of guardianship and the need to preserve the environment for future generations.

The ACJ also suggested that individuals, communities and non-state actors ought to have the right to full information about environmental issues, the right to participate in decision-making and the right of access to remedies. ¹⁸⁶ This covers all the procedural rights set out in the 1994 Draft Principles and the 2002 recommendations of the United Nations Meeting of Experts. I now move to a discussion of a number of specific topics of particular concern or interest in the Pacific, starting with climate change.

^{182 1994} Draft Principles, above n 33, Art 20. Art 7 of the draft Charter relates to access to justice. There is no specific remedies clause in the Draft Charter. This is a major shortcoming.

¹⁸³ See the Aarhus Convention, above n 92, on participation rights and see APF Final Report, above n 92, 15.

¹⁸⁴ Ibid, 35.

¹⁸⁵ Ibid, 38.

¹⁸⁶ Ibid, 38.

VI CLIMATE CHANGE

Climate change is a function of global warming, which is in turn related to greenhouse gases. Greenhouse gases, including water vapour, carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide, chlorofluorocarbons, ozone and methane, allow the sun's energy through to the earth's surface but, instead of allowing the radiation to be retransmitted out to space, they absorb thermal radiation. The process occurs naturally, causing a warming of the atmosphere and allowing sufficient heat to be retained to sustain current life on Earth. However, the theory is that the rate of increase in temperature is being exacerbated by human-induced increases in greenhouse gases. Is In turn, this is causing changes in the world's climate.

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), ¹⁹⁰ in its 2007 report, assessed the probability that human activities are causing global warming as very likely (over 90 per cent likelihood). The IPCC also found that the rate of warming was almost twice as fast in the last 50 years as in the last 100 years, with the warmest eleven years since 1850 experienced in the last twelve years. ¹⁹¹ It projects that the world's average temperature could in future rise by 0.2 degrees Celsius per decade. ¹⁹² Further, sea levels rose more from 1993 to 2003 than in the previous thirty years. ¹⁹³ Emissions of carbon dioxide, seen as the main cause of global warming, have increased annually between 1970 and 2004 by 80 per cent. ¹⁹⁴ These emissions come mainly from burning

- 189 The Intergovernmental Panel Climate Change Fourth Assessment Report Climate Change 2007 Synthesis Report: An Assessment of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change www.ipcc.ch (accessed 18 August 2008) [IPCC Report 2007].
- 190 The IPCC Report 2007, above, is based on the work of some 2,400 scientists and 193 member governments of the IPCC – see Annex V.
- 191 Ibid, para 1.1.
- 192 Ibid, para 3.2. The Stern Review on the Economics of Climate Change (20 October 2006) www.hmtreasury.gov.uk (accessed 19 August 2008) [Stern Review] states that, if pre-industrial levels of greenhouse gases are doubled, the mean global temperature of the Earth will rise between 2-5°C. The Report states that this level of greenhouse gases is likely to be reached between 2030 and 2060 if no action is taken to reduce emissions. It also states that several new studies suggest up to a 20 per cent likelihood that the increase in temperature will exceed 5°C. The Stern Review was commissioned by the British Government. Sir Nicholas Stern is Head of the Government Economic Service and Adviser to the Government on the economics of climate change and development.
- 193 Ibid, para 1.1.
- 194 Ibid, para 2.1.

¹⁸⁷ New Zealand National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research [NIWA] Climate Change, Global Warming and Greenhouse Gases www.niwa.cri.nz (accessed 18 August 2008).

¹⁸⁸ Ibid.

fossil fuels¹⁹⁵ and deforestation.¹⁹⁶ There have also been significant increases in other greenhouse gas emissions such as methane and nitrous oxide.¹⁹⁷

There does seem to be consensus that the Earth's average temperature is increasing but some still argue that this is part of a natural cycle rather than being related to human-induced increases in greenhouse gases. ¹⁹⁸ I think it is fair to say that those who believe in the natural cycle theory are becoming more and more isolated in the scientific community. ¹⁹⁹ Whether it is a natural cycle or not, however, the Pacific will have to deal with the effects and so, to a degree, the debate as to cause is irrelevant, except that, if it is a natural cycle, the outlook may be more bleak because if the cause is human-induced there at least remains a prospect of reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

A Effects

There are many projected effects of climate change²⁰⁰ that are of particular relevance to the Pacific. It is projected that there will be changes in rainfall patterns.²⁰¹ This in turn will affect food supply and drinking water, which is already an issue in a number of Pacific nations. While earlier reports were that rainfall would increase in Pacific states, it is now thought that it is likely to decrease (at least in summer).²⁰² It is also thought that there will be a change in the pattern of rainfall.²⁰³ This will mean more floods in the wet season and drought in the dry season.

- 195 See Stern Review, above n 192, para 1.2.
- 196 IPCC Report 2007, above n 189, Figure 2.1.
- 197 Ibid, Figure 2.3. Emissions of ozone depleting substances have declined significantly, however, due to the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer (16 September 1987) 1522 UNTS 28.
- 198 See C Sunstein Worst Case Scenarios (Harvard University Press, Cambridge, 2007) 182 and 218.
- 199 IPCC Third Assessment Report Change Climate Change 2001: Synthesis Report Summary for Policymakers www.ipcc.ch (accessed 21 April 2008) 31. It was stated that it is now "virtually certain" that increasing carbon dioxide concentrations over the twenty-first century are mainly due to fossil fuel emissions. "Virtually certain" means greater than 99 per cent chance that the statement is true see p 5.
- 200 Of course there is also debate as to likely effects and the severity of these.
- 201 Increases in temperature cause changes in rainfall because warmer air retains more moisture. Also the uneven increase in temperature due to climate change around the globe will result in changes in weather patterns see *Stern Review*, above n 192, para 1.5.
- 202 Nobuo Mimura "Small Islands" in Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability Contribution of the Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report to the IPCC Cambridge University www.ipcc.ch (accessed 21 April 2008) 845: in 2001, an increase in rainfall in the 2050s of 0.3 per cent and 0.7 per cent for the 2080s was predicted in the Pacific. By contrast, in 2007 reductions in summer rainfall in the Pacific were forecast: Mimura, 689. The IPCC Report 2007, above n 189, stated that under most climate change scenarios, water resources in small islands are likely to be seriously compromised as many islands already have limited water resources and will be harmed if rainfall reduces. Turning to Australia and New Zealand, it is predicted that regional decreases in rainfall in south-west and inland Australia and eastern New Zealand are likely to make agricultural activities particularly vulnerable see Hennessy and Fitzharris

Storms and extreme weather patterns such as cyclones are already occurring increasingly and with greater ferocity. ²⁰⁴ This is obviously an issue for the Pacific given the economic and social cost of those disasters, including threats to food supplies. It is projected that global warming will lead to a rise in sea level. Glacial ice melting in Greenland and of the ice sheets in Antarctica²⁰⁵ will contribute to this rise. The IPCC predicts that there could be a rise in sea level of between 18 and 59cm. ²⁰⁶ Sea-level rises could significantly reduce land surface of many South Pacific islands²⁰⁷ and there is a real prospect of a loss of islands or parts of islands in Kiribati, ²⁰⁸ Tuvalu, Marshall

"Australia and New Zealand" in Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability Contribution of the Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report to the IPCC Cambridge University www.ipcc.ch (accessed 19 August 2008). In Australia changes in rainfall patterns are thought likely to cause up to 20 per cent more droughts by 2030 and up to 80 per cent more droughts by 2070 in South Western Australia: see HREOC Climate Change Paper, above n 151, 56. Friends of the Earth International predict that, as a result of climate change, more than 100,000 people in northern Aboriginal communities will face serious health risks from malaria, dengue fever, and heat stress, as well as loss of food sources from floods, drought and more intense bushfires – see HREOC Climate Change Paper, above n 151, 7.

- 203 Ibid, 694. The change in pattern of rainfall is not thought to be dramatic (an increase or decrease of approximately ten per cent) but where islands are struggling with scarce water resources a decrease of ten per cent may be of major significance.
- 204 Based on a range of models, it is likely that future tropical cyclones (typhoons and hurricanes) will become more intense, with larger peak wind speeds and more heavy precipitation associated with ongoing increases of tropical sea-surface temperatures see *IPCC Report 2007*, above n 189, 46. Since 1950, natural disasters have directly affected more than 3.4 million people and led to more than 1,700 reported deaths in the Pacific region (excluding Papua New Guinea). Ten of the fifteen most extreme events reported over the last half century occurred in the last fifteen years. The number of hurricane-strength cyclones in the Southwest Pacific has also increased to a current average of four events a year. Significant wave heights of recent cyclones have exceeded even climate change model predictions. Cyclones are expected to increase in intensity by about 5-20 per cent by the end of the century. See World Bank, above n 155, viii and 6.
- 205 In January British researchers found annual ice loss in Antarctica had increased by almost 80 billion tonnes in a decade: see Easton "Antarctica has warmed before" *Dominion Post* (13 May 2008) 1.
- 206 IPCC Report 2007, above n 189, table 3.1 (or in imperial terms 7-23 inches).
- 207 Mimura, above n 202, 696 and 855 856 predicted that with a one-metre rise in sea level, 10.3km² of the land in the Tongatapu island in Tonga would be lost. Yap Island in the Federated States of Micronesia is predicted to lose 9 to 96 metres if the sea level rises by one metre and on Majuro Atoll, in the Marshall Islands, land loss is expected to reach nearly 65 hectares of dry land from a one metre rise in sea level. Furthermore, in some islands in Fiji the coasts have retreated by more than 30 metres in the past 70 years. It is also estimated that up to 8,000 Torres Strait Islanders (Islands situated off the coast of Australia) could lose their homes if sea levels rise by one metre: *HREOC Climate Change Paper*, above n 151, 7-8.
- 208 The engulfment in 1999 of Tebua Tarawa and Abanuea, two uninhabited islands on Kiribati, was a siren sounding the charge of climatic change see Jacobs "Treading Deep Waters: Substantive Law Issues in Tuvalu's Threat to Sue the United States in the International Court of Justice" (2005) 14 Pac Rim L & Pol'y J 103, 104.

Islands, Tonga and Papua New Guinea, ²⁰⁹ (and maybe the loss of the whole of the territory of some countries). The highest point in Tuvalu for instance is less than three metres above sea level. ²¹⁰

An island may, of course, become uninhabitable before the total submergence of the island, as the island may no longer sustain the population due (for example) to damage to reefs, the consequential depletion of fishing stocks, and fresh water supplies being contaminated by salt water. ²¹¹ The submergence or destruction of a geographical land mass due to climate change will not only result in the loss of land mass but may also result in the loss of culture and history. ²¹²

The mangrove loss due to sea level rises is predicted to be up to fifty per cent on some islands. ²¹³ Many commercially important fish species breed and raise their young among mangrove roots. Mangroves are also sources of timber and medicines for local communities and protect shorelines from storms and tidal surges. ²¹⁴

Warmer temperatures, increased nutrient loading and chemical pollution, damage from tropical cyclones and increased carbon dioxide concentrations will result in lower growth of coral and coral

- 209 I refer to the short Greenpeace video about the Carterets, an atoll of the Autonomous Region of Bougainville, Papua New Guinea. This illustrates quite starkly the human effects of rising oceans see *Islands Going Under* www.greenpeace.org (accessed 19 August 2008). Looking at that video brings it home that climate change is a very real threat to real people trying to retain their traditional way of life. I note that they are projected to start evacuation of the Carterets in 2008 "Papua New Guinea: The World's First Climate Change 'Refugees'" http://worldpress.org (11 June 2008) and Working Group on Climate Change and Development *Up in Smoke? Asia and the Pacific: The threat from climate change to human development and the environment Fifth Report* (2007) www.iied.org (accessed 19 August 2008) 84 [*Up in Smoke? Asia and the Pacific*].
- 210 Asian Development Bank Tiny Tuvalu Fights for Survival (2007) www.adb.org (accessed 19 August 2008).
- 211 A C Warnock "Small Island Developing States of the Pacific and Climate Change: Adaptation and Alternatives (2007) 4 NZYIL 245, 264 (referring to speech of Hon Issac V Figir (Federated States of Micronesia) (Berlin 30 March) COP 11 reprinted in Climate Change Secretariat (UNFCCC) Climate Change: Small Island Developing States (2005) www.unfccc.int (accessed 21 August 2008) 24 where the Hon Issac V Figir said "I have no doubt that at current levels of emissions of greenhouse gases (or even at levels where there is only a nominal decrease in the level of emissions of greenhouse gases) submergence is a possibility. The primary point is, however, that a long, long time before that point is reached, our reefs could be dead, our fishes fleeing, our groundwater completely salinated, our food crops depleted and our islands made inhabitable. Needless to say, our economies destroyed." See www.unfccc.int (accessed 19 August 2008).
- 212 Warnock, ibid, 265.
- 213 Mimura, above n 202, 696, predicted that islands such as American Samoa are likely to experience a 50 per cent loss in mangrove area due to sea level rise and that there will be a twelve per cent reduction in mangrove areas in fifteen other Pacific Islands.
- 214 Up in Smoke? Asia and the Pacific, above n 209, 82.

bleaching.²¹⁵ Coral bleaching makes coral more vulnerable to disease. Coral reefs may comprise less than 0.5 per cent of the ocean floor, but it is estimated that more than 90 per cent of marine species are directly or indirectly dependent on coral reefs.²¹⁶ The coral reefs in the Pacific also provide economic, cultural and social benefits (including food, pharmaceuticals and tourism), as well as providing protection to islands from the effects of cyclones. Coral reefs absorb as much as 90 per cent of the impact of wind-generated waves which protect islands from weathering.²¹⁷

The rising temperatures of the ocean will have an effect on fish stocks. Despite the clear need to protect the marine species of the Pacific, the United Nations Environment Programme has reported a reduction in fish stocks due to pollution, over-fishing, destruction of habitats, including coral reefs, ²¹⁸ declining transboundary marine species and climate change. ²¹⁹

There will be a rise in salination of water and soil. This will affect water supplies and the ability to grow food. Water access and management issues include: scarcity, storage of water, water pollution and "saline intrusion" which may be heightened by sea level rise. Purther, environmental degradation has resulted in poor water quality, and, for more vulnerable populations, in reduced access to safe drinking water such as in Fiji, Kiribati, Papua New Guinea and Vanuatu. 222

- 215 Mimura, above n 202, 689. IPCC predictions for a temperature increase above 2 degrees Celsius means it is also highly likely that Australia's Great Barrier Reef will suffer severe coral bleaching: Up in Smoke? Asia and the Pacific, above n 209, 35.
- 216 United Nations Environment Programme World Environment Day: Wanted! Seas and Oceans: Dead or Alive? Fifty Key Facts about Seas and Oceans www.unep.org (accessed 19 August 2008) para 19.
- 217 J C Sylvan "How to Protect a Coral Reef: The Public Trust Doctrine and the Law of the Sea" (2006) 7 Sustainable Dev L & Pol'y 32.
- 218 Due to loss of coral reefs many smaller fish species will be threatened which will have flow-on effects up the food chain to apex predators Mimura, above n 202, 689.
- 219 UN Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific State of the Environment in Asia and the Pacific 2005: Economic Growth and Sustainability www.unescap.org (accessed 5 April 2008) 252 [ESCAP Report].
- 220 A Gillespie "Small Island States in the Face of Climatic Change: the End of the Line in International Environmental Responsibility" (2003/2004) 22 UCLA J Envtl L & Pol'y 107, 114. See also Barnett "Food security and climate change in the South Pacific" (2007) Pacific Ecologist 32.
- 221 United Nations Report of the International Meeting to Review the Implementation of the Programme of Action for the Sustainable Development of Small Island Developing States UN Doc A/CONF.207/11 (10-14 January 2005) para 33 [UN Report of Meeting for Implementation of Programme for Action for SIDS].
- 222 Kiribati, Papua New Guinea and Vanuatu have the highest unmet need for safe water see ESCAP Report, above n 219, 251.

Global warming will cause a decline in biodiversity. Approximately 20 to 30 per cent of plant and animal species assessed by the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) Secretariat are likely to have an increased risk of extinction if the global temperature increases more than 1.5-2.5 degrees Celsius. ²²³

It is also predicted that global warming will lead to an increasing prevalence of tropical or subtropical disease and change the distribution of disease vectors.²²⁴ This would have obvious implications for Pacific Island health services. An indirect effect of climate change could be major threats to security and stability in the region as the economic and social effects of climate change are felt.²²⁵

Negative impacts of climate change will not occur everywhere or have the same impact even where they do. The extent of any negative impact depends both on exposure to climate change effects and the capacity to adapt. Exposure is partly determined by environmental factors, such as location in low lying areas, but also depends on population density and infrastructure. The ability to adapt to climate change will, in turn, depend on the society's wealth, education, institutional strength and access to technology. High exposure and low adaptive capacity occur mostly in developing countries, ²²⁶ making them very vulnerable to the negative impact of climate change. Most Pacific Island nations come within this group. ²²⁸

- 223 IPCC Report 2007, above n 189, para 3.3.1.
- 224 It is predicted, for example, that Fiji could experience an increase in dengue fever cases of between 20-30 per cent. Further, outbreaks of cholera have been associated with inadequate water supplies during El Niño events in certain Pacific Island States: see *Up In Smoke? Asia and the Pacific*, above n 209, 84. Globally it is predicted that 200-400 million more people could be at increased risk of malaria: see *Human Development Report*, above n 155, 10.
- 225 HREOC Climate Change Paper, above n 151, 8. See also German Advisory Council on Global Change World in Transition: Climate Change as a Security Risk Summary for Policy Makers (2007) www.wbgu.de (accessed 19 August 2008) and J Smith and D Vivekanando (International Alert) A Climate of Conflict: The Links Between Climate Change, Peace and War (2007) www.international-alert.org (accessed 19 August 2008) 44. In that report, the authors identify 46 countries facing a high risk of armed conflict as a consequence of climate change. In the Pacific, the Solomon Islands are on that list. There is also a list of 56 countries facing a high risk of political instability as a consequence of climate change. The authors have Fiji, Kiribati, Papua New Guinea, Tonga, and Vanuatu on that list. See also Dupont and Pearman, above n 155.
- 226 The effects in those states will also be disproportionately felt by the poor. See Scott, above n 155.
- 227 Up in Smoke: Asia and the Pacific, above n 209, 21; ESCAP Report, above n 219, 239; HREOC Climate Change Paper, above n 151, 16; Mimura, above n 202, para 16.5.4 and the Pacific Islands Framework for Action on Climate Change 2006-2015: see SPREP website, above n 86, II.
- 228 The small island developing states in the Pacific are Fiji, Kiribati, Republic of Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, Nauru, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Tuvalu, and Vanuatu. Other small island developing states in the Pacific who are not UN members are American Samoa,

It is generally agreed, however, that overall there will be a negative economic impact worldwide. Professor William Nordhaus, a leading economic expert on climate change, has estimated the cost of climate change at \$4 trillion. ²²⁹ Some challenge this figure as too low because of the discount rate used and/or the fact that it may be based on wrong assumptions. ²³⁰ Other estimates of cost have been much higher. For example, the *Stern Review* estimated the overall annual cost of climate change to be between five per cent and twenty per cent of global GDP, as compared to a cost of one per cent of GDP to avoid the worst effects. ²³¹

B Response Needed

Climate change is one of the more challenging issues for the Pacific as it is not merely a national issue, nor even merely a regional one. It is a global issue which needs a global solution. This has been recognised in the UNFCCC²³² and the Kyoto Protocol.²³³ The Kyoto Protocol requires industrialised countries to reduce their emissions to specified targets in the period 2008 – 2012. A core principle of the UNFCCC is to protect the climate system "for the benefit of present and future

Cook Islands, French Polynesia, Guam, New Caledonia and Niue. See United Nations Division for Sustainable Development www.un.org (accessed 10 August 2008).

- 229 Sunstein, above n 198, 214.
- 230 Richard Posner would argue that the debate is essentially irrelevant. His concern is with the dangers of abrupt warming (because of very rapid changes in both temperatures and sea levels) the evolution and migration of deadly pests and the possibility of a runaway greenhouse effect through melting tundras. At worst this could lead to catastrophic and irreversible results. Posner's argument is that making emissions cuts now gives flexibility to reduce warming in the future and may drive innovation. The cost of making cuts now is essentially an option fee. See discussion in Sunstein, above n 198, 205-215. Sunstein argues for a principle of inter-generational neutrality which requires members of one generation to give equal weight to the interests of those who follow: see 244-274 and 285.
- 231 Summary of Conclusions of the Stern Review www.hm-treasury.gov.uk (accessed 19 August 2008) vi. See L Peskett "Climate Change and Development: Threat and Opportunity" in Overseas Development Institute Annual Report 2007 www.odi.org.uk (accessed 19 August 2008).
- 232 United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (9 May 1992) 1771 UNTS 107 [UNFCCC]. The UNFCCC devised a framework for intergovernmental action against climate change. The Convention enjoys near universal membership, with 192 countries having ratified. The Convention obliges governments to set national strategies for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and building adaptive capabilities.
- 233 Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change 1998, 37 ILM 22. The Protocol established the first global mechanisms for the trading of carbon credits. It also sets binding targets for 37 industrialised countries and the European Community for reducing greenhouse gas emissions. These amount to an average of five per cent reduction against 1990 levels over the five-year period (2008-2012): see www.unfccc.int (accessed 19 August 2008). Australia, Cook Islands, Fiji, Kiribati, the Marshall Islands, the Federated States of Micronesia, Nauru, New Zealand, Niue, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Tuvalu, and Vanuatu have all ratified or acceded to the Convention and all the same parties have ratified or acceded to the Protocol.

generations of humankind, on the basis of equity and in accordance with their common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities". ²³⁴

Under the UNFCCC commitment, states have undertaken to stabilise greenhouse gas concentrations to prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system.²³⁵ This obligation has been given a concrete target by the provisions of the Kyoto Protocol, which requires reduction in emissions. No emissions targets have, however, been set for developing nations.²³⁶ There remains a difficulty in mobilising the globe to take urgent and effective action to reduce emissions. One impediment to action may be that those likely to be most affected are not major emitters of greenhouse gases and those countries which are the greatest emitters are not projected to suffer as severely as others.²³⁷

The latest conference of the parties to the UNFCCC, held in Bali in 2007, resulted in the adoption of the Bali Road Map. This is a collection of decisions on issues that are crucial to achieving a "secure climate future".²³⁸ The Bali Road Map includes the Bali Action Plan, which charts the course for a new negotiating process designed to tackle climate change, with the aim of implementing this by 2009 and creates a shared vision for long term co-operative action.²³⁹ It establishes goals for enhanced national and international action including measurable, reportable and verifiable mitigation commitments and reduction objectives.²⁴⁰ It recognises the need for consideration of economic and social consequences of response mechanisms and the need to devise ways to encourage multilateral bodies, public and private sectors and civil society to mobilise their resources to mitigate and reduce climate change. It also highlights the need to create positive incentives for reducing emissions from deforestation in developing countries.

- 236 Ibid, Art 3.1.
- 237 For example the United States and China, two of the most serious sources of greenhouse gases, are not projected to be among the world's largest losers from climate change: New Zealand Ministry for the Environment "Climate Change: Our Climate is Changing and it is Going to Keep Changing" (2006) Gentle Footprints: Boots 'n' All www.mfe.govt.nz (accessed 20 August 2008) 3. Small islands emit less than one per cent of global greenhouse gases: see Mimura, above n 202, 690.
- 238 See latest trade talks UNFCCC Bali Action Plan www.unfccc.net (accessed 9 April 2008). See United Nations Report of the Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change on its Thirteenth Session held in Bali 3-15 December 2007 Addendum Action Taken by the Conference of the Parties at its Thirteenth Session UN Doc FCCC/CP/2007/6/Add.1 (14 March 2008) www.unfccc.int (accessed 19 August 2008) [UNFCCC Bali Report]. There has been criticism of this Conference for not going far or fast enough: see for instance Greenpeace "Bali climate talks back from the brink, but missing substance" (15 December 2007) www.greenpeace.org (accessed 21 August 2008).

²³⁴ See HREOC Climate Change Paper, above n 151, 13-14 for measures in Australia.

²³⁵ UNFCCC, above n 232, Art 2.

²³⁹ UNFCCC Bali Report, ibid, para 1(a).

²⁴⁰ Ibid, para 1(b).

Some, of course, say it is already too late for remedial measures to halt the effects of climate change so preparation and adaptation is essential. UNFCCC requires the implementation of national and regional adaptation programmes. The Bali Action Plan calls for enhanced adaptation by utilising vulnerability assessments, response strategies and increased capacity-building; taking into account the urgent and immediate needs of developing countries which are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change. ²⁴¹ Other adaptive measures include risk reduction strategies, disaster reduction strategies and economic diversification to build resilience. Another objective of the Action Plan is to enhance technology development and co-operation between nations and faster transfer of this technology to developing countries. ²⁴²

The marshalling of financial and technical resources is also a priority of the Action Plan. These resources would be used to support action on mitigation, adaptation and technology co-operation, including concessional funding for developing countries. Increased mobilisation of public and private sector funding and investment including climate-friendly investment choices is also supported. Under UNFCCC certain financing mechanisms have been set up to fund adaptation, in particular for developing nations. At the Bali Conference, a special Board was set up to supervise the Least Developed Countries Fund. These initiatives are welcome but they need to be carried through with urgency and expanded upon. It is essential that developed states provide urgent economic and technical assistance to other states in need of such help. As stated by Archbishop Desmond Tutu of South Africa: 246

No community with a sense of justice, compassion or respect for basic human rights should accept the current pattern of adaptation. Leaving the world's poor to sink or swim with their own meagre resources in the face of the threat posed by climate change is morally wrong. ... We are drifting into a world of "adaptation apartheid".

A strong co-ordinated regional approach is also essential. Action has been taken in the Pacific region through the Pacific Island Framework for Regional Action on Climate Change 2006 – 2015. ²⁴⁷ This framework focuses mostly on increasing the adaptability of the islands to the effects

²⁴¹ Ibid, para 1(c) – especially the least developed countries and small island developing states.

²⁴² Ibid, para 1(d).

²⁴³ Ibid, para 1(e).

²⁴⁴ See UNFCCC website www.unfccc.int (accessed 19 August 2008).

²⁴⁵ As to the general obligation to provide assistance see L Gostin and R Archer "The Duty of States to Assist Other States in Need: Ethics, Human Rights, and International Law" (2007) 35 Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics 526-533.

²⁴⁶ Human Development Report, above n 155, 166.

²⁴⁷ See SPREP website, above n 86.

of climate change rather than reducing the greenhouse gas concentrations.²⁴⁸ In implementing adaptation measures, individual nations and the region as a whole should endeavour to adopt "no regrets" measures, such as planting mangroves to stabilise coastal land. This can go a long way towards reducing vulnerability. Both top-down action and community involvement are essential, and any action should be culturally appropriate.²⁴⁹

C Disaster Reduction and Relief

Realistically, however, even if there is a major thrust to increasing adaptation measures, climate change will still mean more frequent disasters and there will likely be an increased need for measures to reduce the impact of disasters. In 2005, the United Nations World Conference on Disaster Reduction was held. 250 As a result of this conference, the Hyogo Declaration and corresponding Hyogo Framework for Action 2005-2015 was adopted. 251

- 248 Other initiatives include improving understanding of climate change, setting national sustainable development strategies and developing partnerships to combat climate change see Pacific Island Framework for Regional Action on Climate Change at IV and Principle Two. One of the goals of the Framework is to reduce greenhouse gas emissions but it is pointed out that the Pacific Islands contribute an insignificant amount to greenhouse gas emissions Framework Principle Five. See also G Sem "Climate Change and Development in Pacific Island Countries" in M Powles (ed) *Pacific Futures* (Pandanus Books, Canberra, 2006) 164 for more general information on climate change in the Pacific.
- 249 World Bank, above n 155, viii. At 28, a major mangrove replanting programme by the Yadua community (a settlement on low lying land on Vitu Levu) in Fiji, is mentioned. The initial response had been to construct a sea wall but this had repeatedly collapsed. The mangrove solution was more long term but also much more likely to be effective. There are obligations under UNFCCC and the Kyoto to provide assistance (although many would say set at too low a level). See also the recommendations of the United Nations Economic and Social Council Permanent Forum of Indigenous Issues Report on the Seventh Session (21 April-2 May 2008) Official Records Supplement No 23 www.un.org (accessed 19 August 2008) para 6.
- 250 United Nations Report of the World Conference on Disaster Reduction UN Doc A/CONF.206/6 (16 March 2005) para 2 [Disaster Reduction Conference Report]. Red Cross and Red Crescent have also been instrumental in setting up a framework designed to reduce the risk and impact of disasters and to put in place proper measures to deal with the aftermath of those disasters. The work on this is still in progress and is not couched in terms of binding obligations. The Agenda for Humanitarian Action adopted by the International Conference of the Red Cross and Red Crescent aims to "reduce the risk and impact of disasters and improve preparedness and response mechanisms" by incorporating risk reduction as part of national development plans and poverty reduction strategies see Red Cross and Red Crescent Agenda for Humanitarian Action 28th International Conference (2003) www.icrc.org (accessed 21 April 2008) 21-22. D Fidler "Disaster Relief and Governance After the Indian Ocean Tsunami: What Role for International Law" (2005) 6(2) Melb J Int'l L 458, 473 notes the policy shift away from an attempt to deal with natural disasters through international law and treaties. He sees this as regrettable as it limits the possibility of strategic responses.
- 251 Hyogo Declaration (Resolution 1) and Hyogo Framework for Action 2005-2015 (Resolution 2) UN Doc A/CONF.206/6 (22 January 2005).

The Hyogo Declaration acknowledged the urgent need to build the capacity of disaster-prone countries such as small island developing states in limiting the impact of disasters by increasing bilateral, regional and international cooperation. The Hyogo Framework sets out a unified, multi-hazard approach to disaster risk management for disaster prone countries such as small island developing states. A gender perspective, as well as plans and policies which consider cultural diversity, age and the vulnerability of certain groups are integrated within the Framework. Most importantly for the Pacific, small island developing states were identified as requiring particular attention due to their vulnerability, which is disproportionate to their ability to respond and rebuild after disasters. The Priorities for Action also note that planning and rebuilding after a disaster provides an opportunity to rebuild in a way that increases resilience and reduces vulnerability to disasters.

There remains the concern that disaster aid continues to concentrate on short-term relief rather than prevention and capacity building. The World Bank has expressed concern that, despite the fact that prevention is more cost effective than short-term disaster relief, there is a perverse incentive not to take preventive measures. This is because donors keep responding generously to immediate disaster relief, whereas funding for prevention is more constrained. A "wait and see" approach will, however, be more expensive and less effective in the long term than immediate preventive action. ²⁵⁷ If effective preventive action were taken this would certainly mitigate the effects of disasters but it will not eliminate totally the need for disaster relief. Proper planning for disaster reduction and response remains essential, within countries, regionally and internationally. It should certainly be a priority in the Pacific region.

²⁵² Ibid, para 4.

²⁵³ Disaster Reduction Conference Report, above n 250, 8.

²⁵⁴ Ibid, 10.

²⁵⁵ Ibid.

²⁵⁶ Ibid, 16. Hospitals, schools, water and power plants, communication facilities, disaster management sites are to be rebuilt or retrofitted to ensure they are protected as far as possible from hazards.

²⁵⁷ See Summary of Conclusions of the Stern Review, above n 231, vi.

D "Environmental Refugees" 258

During the course of any disaster, there will likely be (at least temporary) displacement of peoples. It also seems unlikely that cuts in emissions and climate change adaptation measures will avert the loss of parts of, and even some entire, islands in the Pacific. This will also obviously result in displacement of peoples. I turn to that topic next.

The extreme case would be where rising sea levels have caused all of the land mass of a state to disappear. This raises the very real question whether statehood would be retained in such a case. The Montevideo Convention on the Rights and Duties of states requires a state to have a permanent population, a defined territory, a government and the capacity to enter into relations with other states. ²⁵⁹ It is unclear what status a state or its citizens would possess at international law or what the scope of the right to self-determination would be if all a state's land mass were permanently lost under the sea. There is some precedent for states which have failed to meet one of the Montevideo criteria such as "effective government" to be regarded as continuing to exist as states. ²⁶⁰ In such cases, some rights, such as the right to non-interference, may be withheld until such time as the missing criterion is satisfied. ²⁶¹ It is unclear how this would apply if all the defined territory of a state disappeared.

Moving to the plight of those who are displaced, the first question is whether such environmental refugees fall within the Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees (Refugee Convention). ²⁶² The short answer is probably not, except in very unusual circumstances. ²⁶³ There

²⁵⁸ This is in inverted commas because of the uncertainties regarding the status of such persons as refugees. The better term is probably "environmentally displaced persons" but some still prefer the term "refugee" as it emphasises the lack of choice and distinguishes them from economically motivated migrants. In 2004 there were an estimated ten million environmental refugees worldwide: see Keane "The Environmental Causes and Consequences of Migration: A Search for the Meaning of 'Environmental Refugees'" (2004) 16 Geo Int'l Envtl L Rev 209. See also Brown Climate Change and Forced Migration Observations, Projections and Implications: Background paper for the 2007 Human Development Report Office Occasional Paper United Nations Development Programme www.undp.org (accessed 20 August 2008) 5. There, it is noted that Professor Myers of Oxford University projects that there will be 200 million climate migrants by 2050 and that this has become the accepted figure. If accurate, this means that one in forty-five persons in the world will have been displaced by climate change. See also N Myers "Environmental refugees in a globally warmed world" (1993) 43(11) Bioscience 752 and Piguet Climate Change and Forced Migration New Issues in Refugee Research United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees [UNHCR] Research Paper 153 (2008) www.unhcr.org (accessed 20 August 2008).

²⁵⁹ APF Background Paper, above n 19, 46-47. Montevideo Convention on the Rights and Duties of States 1933, 165 UNTS 19.

²⁶⁰ See Brownlie, above n 13, 71, where the author points out that Poland, Burundi and Rwanda were admitted to membership of the UN despite the fact that effective government did not exist. Shaw, above n 13, 179 states that Palestinian organisations did not have possession of the territory they claimed.

²⁶¹ Brownlie, above n 13, 71.

²⁶² Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees (28 July 1951) 189 UNTS 150.

have been some suggestions that the definition of "refugee" under the Convention ought to be changed to include environmentally displaced persons but this is probably not likely to occur in the short term.²⁶⁴ In any event, the framework of the Refugee Convention requires that the person be outside his or her country of origin and most rights under the Refugee Convention only adhere once a refugee is lawfully present in an asylum country.²⁶⁵ This is not likely to be well suited to environmentally displaced persons.

Even if such displaced persons do not fall within the Refugee Convention, however, the sheer scale of possible displacement may force some other international solution. It would certainly be better if this could be properly planned in advance of a disaster scenario. The first priority must be to protect from displacement but, if unsuccessful, there must be plans for relocation in a way that does least damage to the way of life of displaced persons. There are significant issues that arise in any relocation to another country. These include risks of loss of culture and language and the traditional way of life, which is likely to be difficult to duplicate elsewhere.

Such issues will arise even with displacement within a state. In this regard, I refer to the United Nations Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement.²⁶⁶ These principles cover the displacement of people as a result of natural or man-made disasters and include provisions relating to non-

- 263 An example of where individuals affected by environmental damage may fall within the Convention definition is the destruction of the marshes in southern Iraq in the early 1990s. This is often portrayed as a race-related campaign against the Marsh Arabs who were unwilling to support Saddam Hussein. For further discussion, see *APF Background Paper*, above n 19, 48. See Keane, above n 258, 215 where he concludes that an environmental refugee does not fall within the Convention grounds and Black *Environmental refugees: myth or reality?* New Issues in Refugee Research Working Paper No 34 provided for UNHCR www.unhcr.org (accessed 19 August 2008) 11; Aminzadeh "A Moral Imperative: the Human Rights Implications of Climate Change" (2007) 30 Hastings Int'l & Comp L Rev 231, 257; Kozoll "Poisoning the Well: Persecution, the Environment, and Refugee Status" (2004) 15(2) Colo J Int'l Envtl L & Pol'y 271, 279; Lopez "The Protection of Environmentally-Displaced Persons in International Law" (2007) 37 Envtl L 365; Harvard "Seeking Protection: Recognition of Environmentally Displaced Persons Under International Human Rights Law" (2007) 18 Vill Envt L J 65, 75.
- 264 Warnock, above n 211, 269 274 and Keane, above n 258, 215 suggest that the Refugee Convention should be brought into line with the right to seek safety in Art 14 of the UDHR; and Black, above, 11. See also the Cartagena Declaration on Refugees (22 November 1984) OAS Doc. OEA/Ser.L/V/II.66/doc.10, rev. 1 para 3 which noted the need to "consider enlarging the concept of a refugee" to include "persons who have fled their country because their lives, safety or freedom have been threatened by generalized violence, foreign aggression, internal conflicts, massive violation of human rights or other circumstances which have seriously disturbed public order." The Declaration was adopted by a group of governmental experts and eminent jurists from Central America. It focussed on the legal and humanitarian problems affecting Central American refugees. I also refer to Conisbee and Simms Environmental Refugees: The Case for Recognition New Economics Foundation (2003) www.neweconomics.org (accessed 20 August 2008).
- 265 Hathaway The Law of Refugee Status (Butterworths, Toronto, 1991) 29 and Hathaway The Rights of Refugees Under International Law (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005) 160-161.
- 266 UN Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement (17 April 1998) UN Doc E/CN.4/1998/53/Add.2.

discrimination, ensuring that those displaced have the ability to earn their living and a guarantee of their right to culture.²⁶⁷ The reality, however, is that, in a disaster scenario, the country itself is likely to be under major pressure. This applies in particular to small developing states. Even if generous aid is available, it may nevertheless not be of sufficient magnitude to deal properly with displaced persons.

In the Pacific, whether all or only part of the land mass of any nation disappears, the reality is that there could be a large number of displaced persons and the capacity of their countries to accommodate them may be limited. Countries such as New Zealand and Australia²⁶⁸ may find themselves as the focus of the hopes for a place to re-settle for those displaced in the Pacific by climate change.²⁶⁹ A regional plan, made well in advance, to deal with displacement issues is clearly needed.

E Conclusion on Climate Change

There is a need for urgent and concerted world action to reduce emissions.²⁷⁰ There is also urgent need for expedited action on adaptation to climate change. Any adaptation measures must be environmentally friendly, culturally appropriate and introduced with the involvement and participation of the local community. In this regard, developed nations must provide assistance, both financial and technical, to states that need such assistance.

There is, however, also a pressing need to deal with the possibility that those actions are too little and too late or that any measures to reduce emissions are misplaced because global warming is

- 267 HREOC Climate Change Paper, above n 151, 14 also points to other human rights standards that should be met. For example, reference is made to the Howard and Bartram WHO Paper Domestic Water Quantity, Service Level and Health: Executive Summary (2003) WHO/SDE/WSH/03.02, 3 which states that the minimum daily requirement of fresh water per person is 7.5 litres.
- 268 The current Australian Government, when in opposition, developed a policy discussion document on climate change proposing, among other things, a more effective system for dealing with environmental 'refugees'. See Australian Labour Party Our Drowning Neighbours: Labour's Policy Discussion Paper on Climate Change in the Pacific: see reference in HREOC Climate Change Paper, above n 151.
- 269 Cook Islanders, Niueans and Tokelauans are covered in any event as they have the right to migrate to New Zealand and Australia as they are New Zealand citizens by birth see New Zealand Human Rights Commission Human Rights in New Zealand Today: New Zealand Action Plan for Human Rights Nga Tiki Tangata o Te Motu (2005-2010) www.hrc.co.nz (accessed 20 August 2008). Cook Islanders, Niueans and Tokelauans have free right of access to Australia by virtue of being New Zealand citizens see C Stahl Migration and Development in the Pacific Islands: Lessons from the New Zealand Experience Australian Agency for International Development Paper (2007) www.ausaid.gov.au (accessed 20 August 2008) 40.
- 270 Lord Robert May, in the 2007 Lowy Lecture Series, set out a number of other actions needed to halt climate change, including tackling population growth and reducing the average ecological footprint, including not building on flood plains, reducing deforestation, and creating more ecologically-efficient buildings see May Relations Among Nations On a Finite Planet (2007) Lowy Lecture on Australia in the World www.lowyinstitute.org (accessed 19 August 2008).

a natural phenomenon. This means that disaster plans must be put in place, including for the prevention of the permanent displacement of peoples where possible. Where not possible, there must be a focus on their relocation in a manner sensitive to culture and human rights. The recognition of a specific human right to a quality environment can only aid that process.

VII INDIGENOUS PEOPLES, COLLECTIVE RIGHTS AND THE ENVIRONMENT

I move now to a topic which is slightly more cheerful in the sense that it covers issues over which there can be some control by individual nations and certainly by the Pacific as a region: indigenous peoples, collective rights and the environment.²⁷¹

A International Recognition of Indigenous Rights

The unique relationship of indigenous peoples with the land and other natural resources has been recognised in several international instruments. International Labour Organisation Convention (No. 169)²⁷² Article 4 places an obligation on states to protect indigenous peoples' environment from exploitation. Article 13 recognises the crucial nature of the interconnectedness between the environment and indigenous culture. Article 15 identifies the right of indigenous peoples to "participate in the use, management and conservation of resources" and Article 23 recognises the importance of traditional activities, such as hunting and fishing. It provides that:

... Subsistence economy and traditional activities of the [indigenous] peoples ... such as hunting, fishing, trapping and gathering, shall be recognised as important factors in the maintenance of their cultures and in their economic self-reliance and development.

Two Pacific agreements have also recognised the rights to traditional harvest.²⁷³ It is notable too that the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) instructs states parties to:²⁷⁴

²⁷¹ For a general discussion, see A Xanthaki *Indigenous Rights and United Nations Standards: Self-Determination, Culture and Land* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2007).

²⁷² International Labour Organisation Convention concerning Indigenous and Tribal Peoples in Independent Countries No. 169 (27 June 1989) [ILO Convention No. 169]: Nineteen states have ratified the Convention. The only party from the Pacific that has ratified this Convention is Fiji. Therefore ILO Convention (No 169) has not gained the same level of acceptance as the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. See C Charters "The Rights of Indigenous Peoples" [2006] NZLJ 335.

²⁷³ Apia Convention, above n 70, art VI articulates a general conservation objective for all endangered species with an exception for use of an endangered species in accordance with traditional cultural practices. The Memorandum of Understanding on the Conservation and Management of Marine Turtles and Their Habitats of the Indian Ocean and South-East Asia 2001 also allows for a sustainable customary harvest by traditional communities and provided such practices do not undermine conservation efforts – Concluded under the Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals – see Conservation and Management Plan www.cms.int (accessed 21 August 2008) para 1.5.

²⁷⁴ Convention on Biological Diversity, above n 70, Art 8(j).

... Respect, preserve and maintain knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous and local communities embodying traditional lifestyles relevant for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity ...

The Secretariat of the CBD has developed voluntary guidelines for conducting environmental impact assessments on land or resources owned by indigenous peoples.²⁷⁵ It is suggested that a cultural impact assessment be undertaken whereby the possible impacts on all aspects of culture, including sacred sites, customary use of biological resources, traditional knowledge, and customary law, should be considered.²⁷⁶ National environmental impact assessment legislation should recognise indigenous rights to land and other resources including identification of particular species important for affected indigenous or local community as nutrition, clothing or building materials, medicine, and spiritual purposes.²⁷⁷ In terms of social impact assessment, it is advocated that evaluation of changes to traditional economies ought to be examined.

The UN Human Rights Committee has recognised the link between the right to culture in ICCPR Article 27 and the use of land and sea resources, including fishing and hunting. In *General Comment No 23: the Rights of Minorities* the Committee stated:²⁷⁸

With regard to the exercise of the cultural rights protected under article 27 [of the ICCPR], the Committee observes that culture manifests itself in many forms, including *a particular way of life associated with the use of land resources*, especially in the case of indigenous peoples. *That right may include such traditional activities as fishing or hunting* and the right to live in reserves protected by law. The enjoyment of those rights may require positive legal measures of protection and measures to ensure the effective participation of members of minority communities in decisions which affect them.

More recently the Declaration on Rights of Indigenous Peoples, ²⁷⁹ although not binding, has articulated the connection between the right of self-determination for indigenous peoples and the use

- 276 Ibid, 13.
- 277 Ibid, 16.
- 278 Emphasis added. See also *Ominayak and the Lubicon Lake Band v Canada*, above n 117. Public participation of indigenous peoples was considered by the UNHRC in *Apirana Mahuika v New Zealand* Communication No. 547/1993 CCPR/C/70/D/547/1993 (15 November 2000). This decision considered whether the Treaty of Waitangi (Fisheries Claims) Settlement Act 1992 constituted a violation of ICCPR Art 27 (the right to enjoy culture). The settlement was held to be compatible with Art 27: para 9.8. UN Human Rights Committee *General Comment No 23: The Rights of Minorities* UN Doc CCPR/C/21/Rev.1/Add.5 (8 April 1994) para 7.
- 279 Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, above n 153. Parties to this resolution include Fiji, Kiribati, Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, Nauru, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Tuvalu and Vanuatu. Those against included Australia, Canada, New Zealand and the United States.

²⁷⁵ Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity Akwé: Kon Guidelines (2004) www.cbd.int (accessed 20 August 2008).

of land and resources. It also recognises indigenous peoples' relationship to their lands, waters, coastal seas and other resources. Article 25 provides that:

Indigenous peoples have the right to maintain and strengthen their distinctive spiritual relationship with their traditionally owned or otherwise occupied and used lands, territories, waters and coastal seas and other resources and to uphold their responsibility to future generations in this regard.

Importantly, Article 29 states that indigenous peoples have the right to the conservation and protection of the environment and the productive capacity of their lands or territories and resources. Article 32 states that indigenous peoples should have the right to determine and develop strategies for the development or use of land and resources.

A number of international instruments have highlighted the right of indigenous peoples to participate in environmental decision-making. Under Article 5 of the Declaration on Indigenous Peoples, indigenous peoples have the right to maintain and strengthen their distinct political, legal, economic, social and cultural institutions while still retaining the right to participate fully in the political, economic, social and cultural life of the state. Article 18 also provides that indigenous peoples have the right to participate in decision-making in matters which would affect their rights, through representatives as well as to maintain their own indigenous decision-making institutions.

Article 15 of the ILO Convention (No. 169) identifies an environmental right of indigenous peoples to "participate in the use, management and conservation of ... resources". ²⁸⁰ The Aarhus Convention has also highlighted the desirability of these rights with three broad themes: access to information, public participation and access to justice, all of which are expressed as rights.

Some commentators have criticised this focus on participation of indigenous peoples arguing that this obscures more important issues, such as property rights and self-determination.²⁸¹ This seems to be a valid concern, as the mere existence of a right to be heard will not necessarily be sufficient to assure rights are not breached. Individuals have differing abilities to access justice in terms of social, economic and educational attributes. Therefore, procedural rights are necessary but not sufficient of themselves. Substantive rights are also required, including (I would argue) a positive right to environment.

B Pacific Cultures and the Environment

There is a very important spiritual and cultural connection to the environment in all Pacific cultures and a long history of recognition that resources are held on trust for future generations. The need to maintain and strengthen indigenous culture, customs and spiritual beliefs is increasingly

²⁸⁰ ILO Convention (No. 169), above n 272, Art 15.

²⁸¹ Jeffery, above n 94, 11.

being recognised at international law.²⁸² Since cultural practices and spiritual beliefs are often rooted in the indigenous peoples' relationship with the land, waters, coastal seas and other resources, environmental law and indigenous cultural rights are fundamentally connected.²⁸³

One homogenous characteristic of Pacific islands cultures is that territorial and resource "ownership" is based on collective customary interests. ²⁸⁴ In the South Pacific as at 1990, more than 90 per cent of land was held in customary tenure. ²⁸⁵ The desirability and utility of traditional communal land ownership was recognised by the United Nations in its report on *Sustainable Development and Small Island Developing States* and also in the *Beijing Statement on Combating Desertification and Promoting Sustainable Development*. ²⁸⁶ The virtues that result from customary management of resources include: more appropriate and workable regulations, increased reliability of data, increased compliance with regulations, minimisation of enforcement costs and enhanced commitment of stakeholders due to recognition of the right to self-determination. ²⁸⁷ As Vegter puts it: ²⁸⁸

As a form of relational property that creates obligations to current and future relationships, customary ownership curbs unsustainable practices and accommodates changing circumstances.

This leads to the other theme that is a hallmark of Pacific cultures: collective responsibility and collective decision-making. ²⁸⁹ The Pacific tradition intrinsically links a healthy environment to the

- 282 Gillespie, above n 220, 69; United Nations Report of the World Summit on Sustainable Development UN Doc A/CONF.199/20 (4 September 2002) para 5 [World Summit Report] and Johannesburg Declaration para 25.
- 283 New Zealand Law Commission Converging Currents: Custom and Human Rights in the Pacific Study Paper 17 (2006) 66, para 5.27; New Zealand Human Rights Commission and PIF Secretariat National Human Rights Institutions: Pathways for the Pacific States Pacific Human Rights Issues Series One (2007) www.hrc.co.nz (accessed 21 August 2008) 24.
- 284 P Manus "Sovereignty, Self-Determination, and Environment-Based Cultures: The Emerging Voice of Indigenous Peoples in International Law" (2005) 23 Wis Int'l L J 553, 566; ESCAP Report, above n 219, 252.
- 285 L Sutton "Custom, Tradition and Science in the South Pacific: Fiji's New Environmental Management Act and Vanua" (2005) 9(2) Journal of South Pacific Law 1, 2.
- 286 UN Report of Meeting for Implementation of Programme for Action for SIDS 15 and Beijing Statement on Combating Desertification and Promoting Sustainable Development (2008) www.un.org (accessed 20 August 2008) 3.
- 287 Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Creating legal space for community-based fisheries and customary marine tenure in the Pacific: issues and opportunities (2004) www.fao.org (accessed 20 August 2008) 2 [FAO Report].
- 288 A Vegter "Forsaking the Forests for the Trees: Forestry Law in Papua New Guinea Inhibits Indigenous Customary Ownership" (2005) 14 Pac Rim L & Pol'y J 545, 570.
- 289 The rights of minorities both participatory and substantive must not, however, be lost sight of.

collective well being of the people.²⁹⁰ Further, the guardianship of resources for future generations is a key tenet of most Pacific value systems. Within Pacific cultures, therefore, the right to an environment of quality will find fertile ground, which may aid the rapprochement of jurisprudence regarding human rights and international and national environmental law.

C Experience in the Pacific

One of the problems identified in the *State of the Environment Report* undertaken by the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific was the failure to link national administrative systems with community level leadership. ²⁹¹ Traditional knowledge and customs are, however, increasingly utilised as pivotal tools in developing environmental schemes and achieving sustainable development. ²⁹² This is because traditional customary laws are habitually created in harmony with environmental sustainability. Statutory codification can help to strengthen traditional customary environmental structures and the enforcement powers of authorities, while the use of other types of formal recognition such as regulations or bylaws can be used to maintain the flexible quality of customary law that is needed to adapt to ever-changing environmental problems. ²⁹³

To illustrate the effect of a misalignment of national frameworks with local indigenous communities, it is apposite to look at the experience of two Pacific Island nations where the differing treatment of customary ownership structures in environmental decisions might be seen as having led to different effects. I refer as one example to the linking of the Village Fono Act 1990 and the Fisheries Act 1988 in Samoa and the integration of decisions made by the fono (village counsel) on fishing within the national framework.²⁹⁴ I then contrast this with the experience in Papua New Guinea with forestry.²⁹⁵

- 290 See Sir Paul Reeves, above n 142, 11-16.
- 291 ESCAP Report, above n 219, 245.
- 292 FAO Report, above n 287, 9. Rio Declaration, above n 20, Principle 22 also states that "Indigenous people and their communities ... have a vital role in environmental management and development because of their knowledge and traditional practices. States should recognize and duly support their identity, culture and interests and enable their effective participation in the achievement of sustainable development". M Jaksa "Putting the "Sustainable" Back in Sustainable Development: Recognizing and Enforcing Indigenous Property Rights as a Pathway to Global Environmental Sustainability" (2006) 21 J Envtl L & Litig 157.
- 293 FAO Report, above n 287, 37.
- 294 There are similar structures in other Pacific islands.
- 295 L Armitage "Customary Land Tenure in Papua New Guinea: Status and Prospects" Queensland University of Technology Australia www.anu.edu.au (accessed 21 August 2008); F Talao "Papua New Guinea Human Rights Report" Strategies for the Future: Protecting Rights in the Pacific; L K Kalinoe "Incorporated Land Groups in Papua New Guinea" [2003] MLJ 4 and Weiner "The Foi Incorporated Land Group: Group

Looking at the two examples in more detail, in Samoa, traditional ways of living, or fa'a Samoa, still hold a very strong place in society. ²⁹⁶ Most land is held according to customary title and this method of ownership is preserved by the Constitution of Samoa 1960. ²⁹⁷ The village fono is responsible for making decisions for the governance of the community. ²⁹⁸ Decisions must be reached by consensus which involves debate with all interested parties. ²⁹⁹ In terms of the environmental aspects of customary law, a strong spiritual connection with the environment and the land is part of Samoan culture. ³⁰⁰ Due to the need for sustainability, traditional Samoan conservation mechanisms were developed such as no-take zones where resources such as fish or shellfish were dwindling, with punishment for breach. ³⁰¹ Some animals are also seen as sacred (i'a sa), and are protected. ³⁰²

Management of the fisheries is shared. The Samoan Government controls commercial fishing licences outside reef areas and devolves management of local fishing to the village fono. 303 In order to give formal recognition to customary law, the Director of the Department of Agriculture, Forests and Fisheries may "in consultation with fishermen, industry and village representatives [village fono], prepare and promulgate bylaws not inconsistent with this Act for the conservation and management of fisheries". 304 Village bylaws include rules regarding fish size, restrictions on particular types of fishing equipment, and no-catch restrictions during breeding season. 305 The use of bylaws seems to suit the dynamic and changing needs of the environment and also customary law

Definition and Collective Action in the Kutubu Oil Project Area, Papua New Guinea" www.anu.edu.au (accessed 20 August 2008).

- 296 FAO Report, above n 287, 21.
- 297 Customarily owned land cannot be alienated except by Act of Parliament: Constitution of the Independent State of Western Samoa art 102; E Techera "Samoa Law Custom and Conservation" (2006) 10 NZ J Envtl L 361, 368.
- 298 Techera, ibid, 364-365 and 368. The Village Fono Act 1990 was enacted to "validate and empower the exercise of power and authority by Village Fono in accordance with custom and usage of their villages." Long Title of the Village Fono Act 1990 and s 3.
- 299 FAO Report, above n 287, 22.
- 300 Techera, above n 297, 365.
- 301 Ibid.
- 302 Ibid, 365 and 368. Village Fono Act s 5.
- 303 Ibid, 374.
- 304 Fisheries Act 1988, s 3(3)(d).
- 305 FAO Report, above n 287, 24.

itself. 306 Under these bylaws, the control of enforcement is often given to the fono to impose fines as the fono sees fit.

The amalgamation of customary law and practices with central fisheries law and policy to create a pluralist system has led to a strong participatory-based regime with greater legitimacy and more efficient enforcement of environmental controls.³⁰⁷ This example shows the benefits to be gained in the Pacific of blending government control and traditional indigenous governance models.

A contrasting example, where customary ownership has been overlooked in favour of environmental exploitation, is the application of forestry law in Papua New Guinea. The majority of the indigenous peoples of Papua New Guinea depend on a subsistence lifestyle based on sustainable environmental practices. ³⁰⁸ Under customary law, which is recognised by the Constitution, the indigenous peoples of Papua New Guinea own 99 per cent of all forested land. ³⁰⁹ The Constitution requires the recognition of customary law, provided that it does not conflict with the Constitution itself or principles of humanity. ³¹⁰ There are also overriding principles in the Constitution on sustainable development and the environment and various national environmental and planning laws.

Poor governance and failure to enforce forestry laws, together with a failure to involve traditional owners have, however, led to environmental degradation of the unique biodiversity of Papua New Guinea and further impoverishment of the indigenous peoples. ³¹¹ Logging has contaminated food and water supplies, destroyed cultural sites, as well as excluded the traditional landowners from informed participation in decision-making. Had there been a proper integration of indigenous communities into the process many of the worst excesses may have been avoided. ³¹²

The lesson from the contrasting experience of Samoa and Papua New Guinea is that there needs to be a strong national framework that is enforced and fair to all, including minorities. This should be married to local structures that ensure the participation of local indigenous communities, drawing strength and innovation from their traditional knowledge and relationship with the environment.

³⁰⁶ Ibid, 31.

³⁰⁷ Techera, above n 297, 366; N Hicks "Environmental Protection in the Age of Economics – The Niue Experience" (1998) 3(2) Journal of South Pacific Law 1.

³⁰⁸ Vegter, above n 288, 545.

³⁰⁹ Ibid, 546.

³¹⁰ FAO Report, above n 287, 40.

³¹¹ Vegter, above n 288, 545.

³¹² Armitage, above n 295, 1 and Talao, above n 295.

VIII CONCLUSIONS

The environment is an important and pressing issue in the Pacific, particularly in light of the likely effects of climate change. Pacific Island nations have been referred to as the "canaries in the mine", the first to show the effects of climate change. The Pacific Islands are particularly prone to adverse climatic events and the effects of global warming – a problem which the islands themselves have not created. 313

Given this, I would suggest that the right to a quality environment must be included in any regional human rights instrument. I would go further. It may even be that the region should start with a right to the environment and move on from there. There are capacity issues in most countries in the Pacific in "going it alone". Combined pressure is needed to convince the rest of the world to act to reduce greenhouse emissions. This points to a need for regional co-operation to apply this combined pressure. The articulation of a human right to the environment in a regional mechanism would support that combined action.

A regional approach is essential too for adaptation measures and for the planning for disaster recovery and relief, including the responsibility for the relocation of displaced persons in the region and ensuring the promotion and protection of their rights, including their economic, social and cultural rights. The articulation of a human right for the environment can only help to reinforce and support the moral imperative for regional and world action in that area.

In making the suggestion that the Pacific region begin with a right to the environment, it is important to remember that the right to environment fits in well with Pacific cultures, which recognise the cultural and spiritual value of the environment in its own right. Conservation for future generations is a key concept for Pacific peoples. Indeed, it is the foundation of many Pacific cultures. Issues of collective participation and responsibilities that arise with respect to any right to a quality environment also fit in very well with Pacific values. The right to a quality environment can be seen as a quintessentially Pacific right. It is logical therefore, for it to take pride of place in any Pacific human rights mechanism.

³¹³ L Cordonnery "Implementing the Pacific Islands Regional Ocean Policy: How Difficult is it Going to Be?" (2005) 36 VUWLR 723, 724, and Gillespie, above n 220, 107.

³¹⁴ Small island states have recognised the need to combine their efforts in combating and publicising the effects of climate change. This has been demonstrated by the creation of the Alliance of Small Island States which acts as a lobby group for 43 small island developing states www.sidsnet.org (accessed 20 August 2008).