The six articles that follow are contributions from eight people born after 1985. They represent a response to the invitation we published in the August 2019 issue of Policy Quarterly (Coleman and Karacaoglu, 2019). We hope that what follows is a valuable contribution to various intergenerational conversations that are taking place in New Zealand and around the world.

The purpose of this exercise was to let the contributors say what they wanted to say. Our feedback on the draft versions of these contributions was simply aimed at suggesting more effective ways of communicating with people of our age group. They were designed to encourage the contributors to be more direct, and to support their arguments and suggestions with plenty of examples, with a view to enhancing their impact. By way of setting the background and context for this exercise, we reproduce below two sections from our original invitation: ‘Exploration’ and ‘The invitation’.

**Exploration**
We wish to explore whether a society can design and implement public policies in an alternative way as its preferences evolve. One possibility is to find processes that enhance the voice of young people in the policy development process. Society may still apply a single policy for all people, but this policy will better reflect the preferences of young people. This type of approach is reflected, for example, in efforts to encourage higher voter participation by young people in national elections.

A different possibility that we wish to consider is a system of cohort-specific policies – policies that are designed to be different for one generation than for another. (In this context, a ‘cohort’ refers to a group of people born in a particular year, while a ‘generation’ is a related collection of cohorts. A person born in 1985 belongs to the 1985 cohort, the 1980s generation and generation Y.) Cohort-specific policies enable a country to adopt different policies for different cohorts, so that policies better reflect each generation’s changing preferences and changing circumstances.

Consider, for example, education. Traditionally, older generations have paid for the education of younger generations, but younger generations have received a
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funded by higher cohort-specific taxes, for example, or they might want higher student loans to pay for a better quality of education. A cohort-based policy would enable each cohort to choose the mix it wanted, while reducing the impact on other generations.

Retirement income policy is another example. New Zealand’s pay-as-you-go scheme requires working-age people to pay taxes that are transferred to older people. Younger people may wish to change the current system, not just because the benefits they can expect to receive are lower than the costs they expect to pay, but because the form of the system may not be suited to their circumstances. Young people may want a system of personal retirement accounts because it enables them to receive a pension if they spend a lot of their time working abroad, or because it provides them with a larger pension for the contributions they make. New Zealand’s current system makes change difficult as young people cannot reduce the amount they pay without reducing the amount older generations receive. But it may be possible to design and adopt a set of retirement policies that are different for different cohorts, enabling change to occur now, and enabling change to occur in the future should future cohorts want something different again. People born after 1980 could have a compulsory retirement saving scheme and low income taxes, for example, while those born before 1980 could retain the current system.

Other examples exist. Younger generations may want to live in cities amply supplied by busways, walkways and cycleways, for example. Older generations have had a preference for living in suburbs and driving cars. The architectural and environmental effects of these preferences will be borne by today’s younger generations as the use of land for roads and parking places prevents the expansion of other forms of transport. Some policies try to address these issues at the margin, by altering the incentives to use (say) bicycles and petrol-fuelled cars. However, young and future generations may want more radical solutions – for example, completely redesigned cities that enable people to live and work in close proximity so that there is far less need to travel. Is it possible to adopt cohort-based policies to reshape the cities of the future so they reflect what young people want? You can imagine a policy that prohibits people born after 1980 from owning petrol-fuelled cars, for example, but would it work?

We do not pretend to know what young people want. However, it seems clear that three conditions are necessary for cohort-based policies to be an effective method of enabling change. First, different cohorts must want different things. Second, it must be feasible to have different policies for different cohorts. A solution requiring people born after 1980 to drive on the left and people born before 1980 to drive on the right obviously would not meet this criterion. Third, some additional intergenerational transfers may be necessary to reach a practical political solution if cohort-based policies make some generations better off and others worse off. If these conditions hold, cohort-based policies may be possible to better enable society to change in the face of changing circumstances or changing preferences. Moreover, not only will cohort-based policies enable current cohorts to obtain policies that they want, but a great advantage of such policies is that they more easily accommodate continuous change as future generations make their own policy modifications.

The invitation
We would like to know if there is any demand for cohort-based or generation-based policies among young people. As a first step, we would like to know what young people want. Are there issues where their views are distinctly different than those of older people? Are there policies that they would really like changed to enable them to better live the lives they wish to live? Are there current policies that they think are antithetical to their interests? Are there cohort-based policies that might enable their children to make different choices from their own?

We are seeking essays from people born after 1985, coming from all kinds of background, to be published in Policy Quarterly. We are looking for examples of major systemic changes involving public policy that will have significant effects on their lives now and in the future.

To make a meaningful contribution to this intergenerational conversation, these examples need to involve policies where young people want very different options from the ones currently on offer and could be amenable to distinctive policies for current cohorts.

We are looking for thoughtful and structured contributions relating to specific examples that describe the changes...
that are desired, and the ways a new set of policies might enable these changes. Some thought should be given to how a feasible transition might be arranged and, if the policy imposes big changes on older cohorts, how the new policy options might be negotiated, funded and managed. Would you be willing to pay higher taxes, now or in the future, to adopt the policy? A possible test you could consider is whether you could imagine holding a referendum, or set of referenda, among people born after 1985 on a policy that applied only to people born after 1985. The policies can be about anything; indeed, our hope is that you come up with some issues that we do not normally think about.

An analogy may be helpful. Suppose your parents took you to a restaurant and said that as they were paying they would order for you. Would you eat differently if you could choose your own meal? How would you order if you could choose your own meal but also had to foot a big chunk of the bill? We are interested in whether there are policies that you would definitely like to be different from those chosen by your parents’ generation, and maybe how you might arrange to split the bill.
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