

# New Zealand Science Review

Vol 69 (4) 2012



## Association Awards

Māori Council claim to water management

Pluralism in scientific method



Official Journal of the New Zealand Association of Scientists

# New Zealand Science Review

## Vol 69 (4) 2012

Official Journal of the New Zealand Association of Scientists  
P O Box 1874, Wellington  
www.scientists.org.nz

A forum for the exchange of views on science and science policy.

### Contents

Editor: Allen Petrey  
Production Editor: Geoff Gregory

<b>In this issue</b> .....	81
<b>Articles</b>	
The New Zealand Māori Council claim to the Waitangi Tribunal and water management in New Zealand – <i>P.A. White</i> .....	82
For pluralism in scientific method – <i>John C. Ashton</i> .....	86
<b>Book review</b>	
Rebecca Priestley – Mad on Radium: New Zealand in the Atomic Age – <i>Review by Allen Petrey</i> .....	93
<b>Reports</b>	
The EMCR forum at the Australian Academy of Science: Do emerging scientists have a future in New Zealand ... or over the ditch? – <i>Nicola Gaston</i> .....	94
Wellington Early- and Mid-Career Researchers: A group for emerging researchers in the southern North Island – <i>Lara Shepherd</i> .....	95
<b>Media releases</b>	
New appointment delivers opportunities for New Zealand .....	96
Climate change predictions on track: Victoria University expert .....	97
New Zealand Association of Scientists stands behind Dr Mike Joy .....	98
<b>News</b>	
2012 NZAS Councillors honoured .....	98
<b>New Zealand Association of Scientists 71st Annual Report</b>	
President's Report for 2011/12 .....	99
The New Zealand Association of Scientists Awards for 2012 .....	100
Editorial Committee Report 2012 .....	102
Profit and loss, year ending 31 July 2012 .....	103
Balance sheet, year ending 31 July 2012 .....	104

**Cover: The Minister of Science and Innovation, Hon. Steven Joyce, presenting the Association's Science Communicator Award 2012 to Dr Siouxsie Wiles, University of Auckland. See information about all the awards on page 100.**

### Instructions to Authors

*New Zealand Science Review* provides a forum for the discussion of science policy. It covers science and technology in their broadest sense and their impacts on society and the environment, both favourable and adverse. It also covers science education, science planning, and freedom of information. It is aimed at all scientists and decision makers, and the interested public. Readability and absence of jargon are essential.

Manuscripts on the above topics are welcome, two copies of which should be sent to: The Editor, NZ Association of Scientists, PO Box 1874, Wellington, or e-mailed to [allen.petrey@xtra.co.nz](mailto:allen.petrey@xtra.co.nz)

As well as full papers, short contributions, reports on new developments and conferences, and reviews of books, all in the general areas of interest of the journal, are invited. The journal also accepts reviews of a general nature and research reports.

Full manuscripts (with author's name removed) will be evaluated and authors will be sent copies of the reviewer's comments and a decision on publication. Manuscripts should not normally have appeared in print elsewhere but already published results discussed in the different, special context of the journal will be considered. They should preferably not exceed 2500 words.

To facilitate anonymous review, author's names on manuscripts and any acknowledgement of assistance should be on a detachable cover page. Manuscripts should be accompanied by biographies

of not more than 100 words on each author's personal history and current interests. Authors are also expected to supply a suitable passport-size photograph of themselves.

Manuscripts should be typed double-spaced with wide margins on one side of the page. Articles may be submitted in Word for PC, rich text format, or plain text, by e-mail, or on floppy disk or CD-R. Diagrams and photographs should be on separate files (preferably eps, tif, jpg, all at 300 dpi), not embedded in the text.

All tables and illustrations should be numbered separately – Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, etc., and Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, etc. – and be referred to in the text. Footnotes should be eliminated as far as possible. Diagrams and photographs will be printed in black and white, so symbols should be readily distinguishable without colour, and hatching should be used rather than block shading.

References should preferably be cited by the author–date (Harvard) system as described in the Lincoln University Press *Write Edit Print: Style Manual for Aotearoa New Zealand* (1997), which is also used as the standard for other editorial conventions. This system entails citing each author's surname and the year of publication in the text and an alphabetical listing of all author's cited at the end. Alternative systems may be acceptable provided that they are used accurately and consistently.