New Zealand Science Review

Vol 71 (1) 2014



The Marsden Fund
Teaching entrepreneurship
NZAS Conference 2014



New Zealand Science Review Vol 71 (1) 2014

Official Journal of the New Zealand Association of Scientists
P O Box 1874, Wellington
www.scientists.org.nz

A forum for the exchange of views on science and science policy.

	Edito	or: Allen	Petrey
Droduction	Editor:	Cooff C	regery

John Child	regory
n this issue	1
President's column	2
Article	
How the Marsden Fund has failed to achieve its full potential in the ESA panel: evidence of limitation scope, biased outcomes, and futile applications – <i>Karin R. Bryan and David J. Lowe</i>	
Short communication	
Achieving 'step changes' in science and innovation: Towards 'Pasteur's paddock'? – W. Troy Baisde	<i>?n</i> 10
Article	
Can you teach innovation and entrepreneurship? A new postgraduate programme – Kathryn M. McGrath	13
Abstract	
Disease associations between honeybees and bumblebees as a threat to wild pollinators –	
M.A. Fürst; D.P. McMahon; J.L. Osborne; R.J. Paxton; M.J.F. Brown	18
Perspective	
Explaining uncertainty: a scientist's perspective – Ken Baldwin	19
Abstract	
Why do academics blog? An analysis of audiences, purposes and challenges – Inger Mewburn and Pat Thomson	20
Conference report	
NZAS Conference 2014: Science and Society – Nicola Gaston	21
Book review	
Colin Jones – Teaching Entrepreneurship to Postgraduates – Reviewed by Kate McGrath	23
Press release	
Māori Research Development: a National Challenge	24

Cover: Presentation of the NZAS 2013 awards at the NZAS Annual Conference, University of Auckland, Nov 2013. From L to R: Dr Noam Greenberg (Research Medal), Professor Barry Scott (Marsden Medal), The Honourable Stephen Joyce, Dr Graham Nugent (Shorland Medal), Dr Simon Lamb (Science Communicator Award). Photograph by Hamish Campbell.

Instructions to Authors

Contante

New Zealand Science Review provides a forum for the discussion of science policy. It also covers science education, science planning, and freedom of information. It is aimed at scientists, decision makers, and the interested public. Readability and absence of jargon are essential.

Manuscripts on the above topics are welcome, and should be emailed to the editor (editor@scientists.org.nz).

As well as full papers, short contributions, reports on new developments and conferences, and reviews of books, all in the general areas of interest detailed above, are invited. The journal may also accept reviews of a general nature and research reports.

Full manuscripts (with author's name removed) will be sent for peer review, and authors will be sent copies of the reviewer's comments and a decision on publication. Manuscripts should not normally have appeared in print elsewhere, but already published results discussed in the different, special context of the journal will be considered.

Manuscripts should be accompanied by biographies of not more than 100 words on each author's personal history and current interests. Authors are also expected to supply a suitable high-definition passport-size photograph of themselves. This will be published with the article.

Articles may be submitted in MS Office Word, rich text format, or plain text. Diagrams and photographs should be on separate files (preferably eps, tif, jpg, at 300 dpi), not embedded in the text.

All tables and illustrations should be numbered separately — Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, etc., and Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, etc. — and be referred to in the text. Footnotes should be eliminated as far as possible. Diagrams and photographs will be printed in black and white, so symbols should be readily distinguishable without colour, and hatching should be used rather than block shading. However, colour may be used if the author or the author's institute is willing to pay for the added cost.

References should preferably be cited by the author–date (Harvard) system as described in the Lincoln University Press Write Edit Print: Style Manual for Aotearoa New Zealand (1997), which is also used as the standard for other editorial conventions. This system entails citing each author's surname and the year of publication in the text and an alphabetical listing of all authors cited at the end. Alternative systems may be acceptable provided that they are used accurately and consistently.