## **New Zealand Science Review**

Vol 71 (2) 2014



Bee research
Research and innovation
International science advice



## New Zealand Science Review Vol 71 (2) 2014

Official Journal of the New Zealand Association of Scientists
P O Box 1874, Wellington
www.scientists.org.nz

A forum for the exchange of views on science and science policy.

Editor: Allen Petrey

Contents	Production Editor: Geoff Gregory
In this issue	25
President's column Articles	26
More than just buzz: New Zealand bee research and its impact – <i>Petra N</i>	1 Dearden and Peter K. Dearden 28
Research and innovation – J.S. Rowarth, A.J. Parsons, and S. Rasmuss	
Abstracts	o,,
What young graduates earn when they leave study –	
Zaneta Park, Paul Mahoney, Warren Smart, Roger Smyth	43
What young graduates do when they leave study – New data on the des Zaneta Park	
DVD review	
Gillian Ashurst and John Campbell – Rutherford, the Life and Work, on D' <b>Book reviews</b>	VD – Reviewed by John Clare45
Dave Butler, Tony Lindsay and Janet Hunt – Paradise Saved: The remar wildlife sanctuaries and how they are stemming the tide of extincti Joan Cameron – Brian Shorland: Doyen of New Zealand Science – <i>Revi</i>	on – Reviewed by Neil Curtis47
Press release	
National Science Challenges under fire	49
News	
International Council for Science endorses open access to scientific reco	
Article	
Building the foundations for scientific advice in the international context - Yasushi Sato, Hirokazu Koi and Tateo Arimoto	
Annual conference	
Early notice of Going Public: Scientists speaking out on difficult issues	see inside of back cover

Cover: A stunning close-up photograph of a rare, bright yellow and blue aquatic creature has been voted the winner of this year's public choice category of NIWA's photography competition. This colourful nudibranch, *Tambja verconis*, was photographed by scientist Rachel Boschen at the Poor Knights Reserve and was one of hundreds of entries in NIWA's hotly contested annual competition. The competition is unique for the diversity of images entered by NIWA scientists for what a typical day 'at the office' can mean. The psychedelic sea slug proved immensely popular on social media channels during public voting during the 2014 NIWA photography competition. [Credit Rachel Boschen]

## **Instructions to Authors**

New Zealand Science Review provides a forum for the discussion of science policy. It also covers science education, science planning, and freedom of information. It is aimed at scientists, decision makers, and the interested public. Readability and absence of jargon are essential.

Manuscripts on the above topics are welcome, and should be emailed to the editor (editor@scientists.org.nz).

As well as full papers, short contributions, reports on new developments and conferences, and reviews of books, all in the general areas of interest detailed above, are invited. The journal may also accept reviews of a general nature and research reports.

Full manuscripts (with author's name removed) will be sent for peer review, and authors will be sent copies of the reviewer's comments and a decision on publication. Manuscripts should not normally have appeared in print elsewhere, but already published results discussed in the different, special context of the journal will be considered.

Manuscripts should be accompanied by biographies of not more than 100 words on each author's personal history and current interests. Authors are also expected to supply a suitable high-definition passport-size photograph of themselves. This will be published with the article.

Articles may be submitted in MS Office Word, rich text format, or plain text. Diagrams and photographs should be on separate files (preferably eps, tif, jpg, at 300 dpi), not embedded in the text.

All tables and illustrations should be numbered separately — Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, etc., and Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, etc. — and be referred to in the text. Footnotes should be eliminated as far as possible. Diagrams and photographs will be printed in black and white, so symbols should be readily distinguishable without colour, and hatching should be used rather than block shading. However, colour may be used if the author or the author's institute is willing to pay for the added cost.

References should preferably be cited by the author–date (Harvard) system as described in the Lincoln University Press Write Edit Print: Style Manual for Aotearoa New Zealand (1997), which is also used as the standard for other editorial conventions. This system entails citing each author's surname and the year of publication in the text and an alphabetical listing of all authors cited at the end. Alternative systems may be acceptable provided that they are used accurately and consistently.