

# New Zealand Science Review

Vol 72 (3) 2015

Words such as growth, prosperity, business, market, competitiveness, productivity, and innovation littered the statements of scientists responding to [government].

Has science arrived at a moment where the only argument it feels can convince politicians is that science equals wealth, that science can only be measured in terms of money? Such a capitulation ... seems a betrayal of the origins of science. Science proved its value through the contributions it made to improving the lives of citizens, to strengthening the welfare of society, and to creating knowledge to refine individual and political decision making. These arguments are now secondary, if offered at all. A second reason for disappointment is the narrowness of the argument made by some scientists .... A wider responsibility is to speak about the state of the society in which those one is concerned about live.

*Richard Horton, 2015*



# New Zealand Science Review

## Vol 72 (3) 2015

Official Journal of the New Zealand Association of Scientists  
P O Box 1874, Wellington  
www.scientists.org.nz

A forum for the exchange of views on science and science policy

Editor: Allen Petrey  
Production Editor: Geoff Gregory

### Contents

<b>In this issue</b> .....	61
<b>President's column</b> .....	62
<b>Report</b>	
Exploring the ocean floor in northeast Zealandia: The 2015 VESPA voyage – <i>Hamish Campbell</i> .....	63
<b>Article</b>	
The policy worker and the professor: Understanding how New Zealand policy workers utilise academic research – Karl Löfgren and Donatella Cavagnoli .....	67
<b>Book review</b>	
Simon Nathan – James Hector: Explorer, Scientist, Leader – <i>Reviewed by Geoff Gregory</i> .....	74
<b>Article</b>	
Policies for achieving science excellence – <i>Jacqueline S. Rowarth</i> .....	76
<b>President's report 2014/15</b> .....	80
<b>Book reviews</b>	
Peter Doherty – The Knowledge Wars – <i>Reviewed by James Renwick</i> .....	81
Matthew Wright – Living on Shaky Ground: The science and story behind New Zealand's earthquakes – <i>Reviewed by Hamish Campbell</i> .....	82
<b>The New Zealand Association of Scientists Awards for 2015</b> .....	83
<b>NZAS Annual Conference</b> .....	see inside of back cover

Cover: Editorial comment by Richard Horton in *The Lancet*, Vol 386 (10010), 5 December 2015, p. 2238.

### Instructions to Authors

*New Zealand Science Review* provides a forum for the discussion of science policy. It also covers science education, science planning, and freedom of information. It is aimed at scientists, decision makers, and the interested public. Readability and absence of jargon are essential.

Manuscripts on the above topics are welcome, and should be emailed to the editor (editor@scientists.org.nz).

As well as full papers, short contributions, reports on new developments and conferences, and reviews of books, all in the general areas of interest detailed above, are invited. The journal may also accept reviews of a general nature and research reports.

Full manuscripts (with author's name removed) will be sent for peer review, and authors will be sent copies of the reviewer's comments and a decision on publication. Manuscripts should not normally have appeared in print elsewhere, but already published results discussed in the different, special context of the journal will be considered.

Manuscripts should be accompanied by biographies of not more than 100 words on each author's personal history and current interests. Authors are also expected to supply a suitable

high-definition passport-size photograph of themselves. This will be published with the article.

Articles may be submitted in MS Office Word, rich text format, or plain text. Diagrams and photographs should be on separate files (preferably eps, tif, jpg, at 300 dpi), not embedded in the text.

All tables and illustrations should be numbered separately – Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, etc., and Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, etc. – and be referred to in the text. Footnotes should be eliminated as far as possible. Diagrams and photographs will be printed in black and white, so symbols should be readily distinguishable without colour, and hatching should be used rather than block shading. However, colour may be used if the author or the author's institute is willing to pay for the added cost.

References should preferably be cited by the author–date (Harvard) system as described in the Lincoln University Press *Write Edit Print: Style Manual for Aotearoa New Zealand* (1997), which is also used as the standard for other editorial conventions. This system entails citing each author's surname and the year of publication in the text and an alphabetical listing of all authors cited at the end. Alternative systems may be acceptable provided that they are used accurately and consistently.