

New Zealand Science Review

Vol 74 (3) 2017



NZAS Awards 2017

Report on the Marsden Fund

Zealandia or Pacifica?

Antarctic research



Official Journal of the New Zealand Association of Scientists

New Zealand Science Review

Vol 74 (3) 2017

Official Journal of the New Zealand Association of Scientists
P O Box 1874, Wellington
www.scientists.org.nz

A forum for the exchange of views on science and science policy

Editor: Allen Petrey

Production Editor: Geoff Gregory

Contents

In this issue	53
President's Report 2016/17	54
Article	
A review of the new MBIE Report on the Marsden Fund – <i>Geoffrey K Chambers</i>	55
News	
OECD Science, Technology and Industry Scoreboard 2017 – The digital transformation	58
Articles	
The Zealandia continent: a worthy replacement for Pacifica? – <i>Peter Hodder</i>	59
K131 Antarctic sea ice science: A case study of infrastructure, strategies, and skills – <i>Craig Stevens, Natalie Robinson and Pat Langhorne</i>	66
Sleeping with the enemy: Science and the humanities still pass each other like ships in the night – <i>Roger Bradbury</i>	73
News	
The 2018 Rhodes Scholars-elect	75
Book review	
Richard Dawkins – Science in the Soul: Selected Writings of a Passionate Rationalist – <i>Reviewed by Geoff Gregory</i>	76
News	
Release of Stage 2 of Havelock North Drinking-Water Inquiry.....	77
The New Zealand Association of Scientists Awards for 2017	79, 80
NZAS Science Communicator Medal renamed in honour of botanist Lucy Cranwell	80

Cover: Recipients of NZAS Awards for 2017 (see pages 73–74): From left to right at back, Carolyn Burns, Colin Green, Alistair Gunn, Joanne Davidson, Justin Dean, Laura Bennet, Christian Hartinger, with NZAS President Craig Stevens; in front Hine Hou Alexandra Mercier (on behalf of Ocean Mercier)

Photo by Carl Anderson

Instructions to Authors

New Zealand Science Review provides a forum for the discussion of science policy. It also covers science education, science planning, and freedom of information. It is aimed at scientists, decision makers, and the interested public. Readability and absence of jargon are essential.

Manuscripts on the above topics are welcome, and should be emailed to the editor (editor@scientists.org.nz).

As well as full papers, short contributions, reports on new developments and conferences, and reviews of books, all in the general areas of interest detailed above, are invited. The journal may also accept reviews of a general nature and research reports.

Full manuscripts (with author's name removed) will be sent for peer review, and authors will be sent copies of the reviewer's comments and a decision on publication. Manuscripts should not normally have appeared in print elsewhere, but already published results discussed in the different, special context of the journal will be considered.

Manuscripts should be accompanied by biographies of not more than 100 words on each author's personal history and current interests. Authors are also expected to supply a suitable

high-definition passport-size photograph of themselves. This will be published with the article.

Articles may be submitted in MS Office Word, rich text format, or plain text. Diagrams and photographs should be on separate files (preferably eps, tif, jpg, at 300 dpi), not embedded in the text.

All tables and illustrations should be numbered separately – Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, etc., and Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, etc. – and be referred to in the text. Footnotes should be eliminated as far as possible. Diagrams and photographs will be printed in black and white, so symbols should be readily distinguishable without colour, and hatching should be used rather than block shading. However, colour may be used if the author or the author's institute is willing to pay for the added cost.

References should preferably be cited by the author–date (Harvard) system as described in the Lincoln University Press *Write Edit Print: Style Manual for Aotearoa New Zealand* (1997), which is also used as the standard for other editorial conventions. This system entails citing each author's surname and the year of publication in the text and an alphabetical listing of all authors cited at the end. Alternative systems may be acceptable provided that they are used accurately and consistently.