Welfare benefits and labour supply: a review of the empirical evidence
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Assertions about the impact of welfare benefits on labour supply have often been made in claims that the New Zealand benefit system is in need of reform. This paper provides a review of empirical evidence of the relationship between labour supply decisions and the level, duration and eligibility requirements of unemployment benefits and income support provisions such as the Domestic Purposes Benefit. No clear cut conclusions emerge from the literature. In general the empirical link between disincentives to work in the benefit system and actual work behaviour is either insignificant or not substantial in terms of total labour supply.

Introduction

In the drive to achieve a more efficient "restructured" economy and to lower inflation, the current government has consciously allowed the number of unemployed to rise, and has deliberately sought to drive down real wages and increase the number of workers receiving low pay. Yet at the same time there is pressure to dismantle or reduce welfare provisions for those who are unemployed or in need of income support. The reasoning is that state provision for these people reduces their incentive to provide for themselves; the "unemployment trap", or alternately the "poverty trap" is sprung. Beneficiaries, it is argued, are caught in unemployment or in low income by financial incentives to not work. As a result labour supply in the economy falls to sub-optimal levels and the drain on the state budget becomes intolerable. In its most extreme form, this analysis lays the responsibility for unemployment at the door of social welfare benefits which make idleness attractive in comparison to work.

In a recently released policy proposal, the New Zealand Business Roundtable asserts that: "Labour market and income support reforms are linked" (New Zealand Business Roundtable, 1988). The Roundtable attributes unemployment to rigidity in the structure of wages, and to disincentives brought about by the interplay between benefits, the minimum wage, and family support provisions. It recommends that the Unemployment
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Benefit is allowed to fall in relation to wages and is limited to a maximum of 60 or 70 percent of previous earnings. In addition, the Roundtable proposes lowering the income level at which the benefit is withdrawn and raising the rate of its withdrawal.

Roger Douglas, the recently deposed Minister of Finance, endorsed moves to reform the benefit system and called for a "meaningful gap" between the incomes of those on wages and those not employed to give the unemployed incentive to work (Evening Post 5.12.87). Opposition MP and spokesperson for finance, Ruth Richardson, also adheres to the view that disincentives for self-provision in the current system should be reduced:

Growing dependency is ensured for the lifetime sometimes of groups, individuals and families because the tax rate at the margin when earned income replaces state benefits ... is so steep that it provides no incentive to forego state benefits for the earned income and thus to get off the treadmill (Address to Wellington Women Lawyers, 27 October 1988).

In particular she assails the Domestic Purposes Benefit for providing incentives to welfare dependence:

... people who sleep around careless of the consequences impose substantial costs leaving others to pick up the tab. We can't afford the human and financial costs of this sort of behaviour, yet we run ... welfare systems that "pay" people to behave in that way (address to the National Party Conference, 6 August 1988).

Underlying this apparently pervasive view of the need for reform is the assumption that labour supply is a function of benefit provision. Benefits are held to present disincentives which individuals act upon - it is assumed that benefits reduce labour supply. The purpose of this paper is to explore the empirical basis for this assumption. We review empirical studies conducted in New Zealand and overseas which test the strength of the relationship between benefits and labour supply. Clearly a host of social, economic, cultural and institutional factors shape and constrain any individual's decisions about how much labour to supply, but what do we really know about the relationship between benefits and labour supply? What weight, if any, do individuals give to the alleged financial incentives and disincentives of social welfare provision? If individuals do respond, how large is the response? Are there other factors to consider? How do different types of individuals respond? And which aspects of benefit provision do individuals respond to? These are important questions in policy design.

If individuals do not act on supposed disincentives to work, a basic contradiction in government policy is revealed. Policies to restructure the economy and to lower inflation have increased the need for unemployment assistance and income support. In removing provision or reducing the terms of assistance in the name of labour supply, government would be abdicating responsibility for the consequences of its actions.

What is labour supply?

At the outset we need a definition of labour supply. Freeman (1979) conceptualises labour supply as comprising six elements which, taken together, define the total supply of paid human labour. They are: (1) The size and composition of the population; (2) The proportion of the population willing to work; (3) The number of hours worked each week; (4) The number of weeks worked compared to those spent out of paid employment, or on vacations or holidays; (5) The intensity of work effort; and

Methods of analysis

Empirical studies generally seek to establish a relationship between the existence and size of benefits and these two dimensions of labour supply. The key explanatory variable is usually the replacement rate - the extent to which the benefit compensates for lost or potential market wages. It is believed that people have an idea of the wage they are prepared to work for - they have a "reservation wage" below which they will not supply their labour. It is argued that higher benefit replacement rates raise people's reservation wages. In this way, it is hypothesised, more generous levels of benefit provision reduce labour supply and induce greater dependency on social welfare provision. In addition, it is hypothesised that high effective marginal tax rates imposed by the abatement of benefits with increasing income reduce work activity. Five main methods of analysis are used:

1. Surveys of beneficiaries. These ask contingency questions of benefit recipients, i.e. questions such as: "If you were to receive $X unemployment relief would you remain unemployed?" The results of this kind of research have often proved inaccurate and inconclusive and are considered less reliable than more sophisticated econometric work.

2. Time-series analyses. These use regression analysis of aggregate economic data to establish a relationship between indices of labour supply and changes in benefit provision over time. The problem with this type of study is that it proves difficult to control adequately for changes in general economic conditions and changes in the labour market which also impact on labour supply decisions.

3. Cross-sectional analyses. These are similar to time series analyses but usually take account of more variables. Predictive econometric models are constructed from examining patterns in participation and work activity across a sample of individuals with different benefit entitlements. The better studies also take account of the influence of factors such as health, age, sex, occupation, labour market and potential wages.

4. Longitudinal studies. These improve on cross-sectional studies by examining the same sample of individuals over time.

5. Experimentation. This final, most expensive method, is the least used. Experimental studies provide different benefit levels to a subject group and to a...
control group of beneficiaries and analyse subsequent variations in labour supply decisions. Research has tended to become very mathematical and heavily reliant on the results of regression analysis and other statistical procedures. Any statistical examination of data can only identify relationships of correlation. Results do not necessarily imply causality, although they are usually suggestive of such. Inevitably, the question arises as to whether such “mathematization” of what is essentially human and social behaviour is appropriate. The interaction between work disincentives of benefit programmes and other non-economic determinants of labour supply is complex. Studies control for other mediating factors to varying degrees but more qualitative determinants of labour supply tend to be neglected in any quantitative analysis. The simplifying assumptions, and arbitrary (and often inaccurate) specification of variables required abstract from reality to the extent that the results of analysis often cannot be applied realistically. Furthermore, aggregation of individual observations for the purpose of analysis loses the essence of the relationship on an individual level. There is also a need for caution in viewing the results of studies which are based on aggregate data. Louis et al. (1986) found a discrepancy of up to 20 percent when they compared survey data with data that had been independently followed up and checked. They suggested that this arose from distortions in the accuracy of responses, induced by financial incentives associated with false declaration.

In addition to the methodological problems of each individual study, results must be qualified by consideration of the efficacy of the key explanatory variable, the replacement rate of benefits to previous or potential income. Hillier (1985) notes that given a pre-existent replacement rate, an increase in benefits proportional to a rise in earnings would leave the rate unchanged, but it is likely that individual preferences and behaviour will have varied. Hillier suggests including earnings and benefit levels as separate variables in any analysis. Harrison and Hart (1983) claim the relevant variable is the nominal gap between earnings and the benefit. But again it is possible for individual behaviour to change while the earnings gap remains constant. Additional problems arise in the specification of the replacement rate. Aggregate data requires some averaging which is problematic if not all individuals receive the same benefit level. The studies in question tend to use a measure of average income for the earnings term, not representative of the unemployed who are mainly unskilled and low to middle income earners. Cross sectional data provides a fairly accurate measure of the benefit entitlement of each individual, but potential earnings were often imputed from limited information such as the industry the individual had left when becoming unemployed. These qualifications must be borne in mind when interpreting the results of empirical work. In this paper we review findings on the relationship between first, unemployment benefits and labour supply, and second, various forms of family income support, particularly provision for single parent families, and labour supply.

Unemployment benefits and labour supply

Underscoring recent empirical economic interest in the effects of unemployment benefits on the labour market is a fear that unemployment assistance causes higher unemployment than would otherwise be the case. According to orthodox economic theory, as we understand it, unemployment benefits have three possible effects on labour supply.

Work disincentive effects:
(1) The level of unemployment may increase if unemployed workers fall into the “unemployment trap”; workers may be induced to leave employment, and may have no incentive to re-enter employment if they perceive the gains to be made from returning to employment as insufficient to offset loss of the unemployment assistance, as well as additional costs of transporting themselves to and from work, clothing themselves for work, and losing leisure time.
(2) The existence and level of benefits may also cause the duration of unemployment for any individual to increase. Without the financial incentive to return to work immediately, unemployed workers may choose to adopt more leisure time or spend more time searching for the right job rather than accepting the first offer.

The entitlement effect:
(3) In the United Kingdom, United States and Canada, eligibility and entitlement are largely determined by contributions to the social security system when in previous paid employment. In these countries, work disincentive effects may to some extent be offset by an increase in the number of people participating in the labour force, or individuals increasing their work activity in order to meet minimum eligibility requirements for unemployment relief and to increase the size of their entitlement. In New Zealand, to be eligible for the Employment Benefit, applicants must be seeking work. Labour market participation, or potential labour supply, may be greater than otherwise; as a result of benefit provision non-participants may be induced to declare themselves in the labour force. Empirical investigations are grouped in this section according to the relationship each examines; the studies reviewed seek to ascertain the relationship between unemployment benefits and (a) the rate of unemployment, (b) the duration of unemployment, or (c) the participation rate.

(a) The rate of unemployment

A number of studies use time-series analysis to examine the relationship between the level of benefits relative to average income, and the aggregate unemployment rate. In the United Kingdom, both the replacement rate and the unemployment rate have increased since 1948, which provided support, in early studies, for the hypothesis that higher unemployment benefits induce unemployment. But in the 1970s the relationship broke down; unemployment increased at an unprecedented rate while the replacement rate tended to fall. Further doubt was cast on the results of earlier studies when it was found that the outcome of time series analyses was sensitive to replacement rate specification; the choice of variables representing the level of benefits and measuring average income affected results (Hemming 1984, p.110).

Gregory and Paterson (1983) undertook the first Australian study of this kind. Working on data for the period 1970-1979 they found a significant association between the level of benefit provision and the number of benefit recipients. Rather than raising the total number of unemployed, however, the increase in the number of beneficiaries primarily occurred because of an increased propensity of the unemployed to take up benefits. Recognising that the measured impact of benefit levels shrank as a greater number of other explanatory variables were included in their regression, Gregory and Paterson concluded that in general, the response to benefit payment changes occurred within the total unemployment pool. The importance of other variables, particularly indicators of labour demand and other labour market conditions, is illustrated by the fact that the major increase in Australian unemployment in 1982-83 occurred when the ratio of benefits to average weekly earnings was falling (Social Security Review (SSR) Issues Paper No. 4).

Of the three relevant studies of unemployment in New Zealand (Braae, 1978; Hicks, 1984; Hyslop, 1987), two use the time-series approach. Braae (1978) tested the hypothesis of American economists Grubel and Maki (1976) that a rising replacement rate induces unemployment. Controlling for changes in the state of the economy, changes in labour supply (such as the increased participation of women) and the introduction of government work schemes and administrative changes, Braae found a positive relationship between the unemployment rate and replacement rate. This, however, was only...
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statistically significantly different from zero in one of the tests used, and likely to be biased in the other. Furthermore, the period under consideration by Braae was 1954-55 to 1972-73, when unemployment was low - much lower than the consistently high rates of unemployment in the mid to late 1970s and 1980s. A second study was presented in Hicks' (1984) PhD thesis. Hicks tested the same hypothesis but considered the period 1960-81, controlled for more variables and improved on Braae's specification of the replacement rate. Braae had used the ratio of a married person's benefit to average weekly earnings but most of the unemployed were single. To correct for this, Hicks used the single person's rate. Hicks' results directly contradicted Braae's findings and ran counter to the outcome of Grubel and Maki's study of unemployment in the United States. Several reasons for the insensitivity of unemployment in New Zealand to changes in benefit levels were suggested. In contrast to the United States, New Zealand has a flat-rate system of unemployment compensation where the size of the benefit is not related to previous earnings or contributions. The effective replacement rate facing each individual is much lower and provides less inducement to leave unemployed and stay unemployed than in the United States. New Zealanders do not contribute directly to a social security fund and because there is no qualifying period of employment or minimum level of contributions, the benefit is seen as a handout, not compensation as of right. As such it carries a stigma which may discourage workers from quitting employment even when benefit levels rise, and may discourage the involuntarily unemployed from claiming benefits to which they are entitled. Finally, coverage in New Zealand is limited by the income test. Eligibility is determined on the basis of the income of both the claimant and their spouse, which can exclude the most married women as they tend to be breadwinners. This tends to lower unemployment than in the United States. New Zealanders do not contribute directly to a social security fund and because there is no

probability of being unemployed. Osberg et al. analysed a data set that matched individual employee characteristics with detailed information about their respective employers. The variability of sales and hiring costs of a worker's employers were more important than Unemployment Insurance entitlements in determining his or her probability of being unemployed.

(b) The duration of unemployment

Most studies of the relationship between unemployment provision and labour supply are concerned not with the impact of benefits on the flow of labour into unemployment, but with the relationship between benefits and the flow of labour out of unemployment. It is usually hypothesized that higher replacement rates for unemployment assistance reduce the incentive to return to work, which lowers the probability of leaving unemployment and extends the duration of unemployment spells. Concern about the cost of such behaviour has stimulated substantial research interest. Reviewing research in general a 10 percentage point increase in the replacement rate leads to an increase in the duration of unemployment of about half a week on average when labour markets are tight. Danziger et al. (1981) makes a guestimate from Hamermesh's synthesis that Unemployment Insurance provision as a whole extends the duration of unemployment by about five weeks per recipient.

Moffit (1985) has estimated that, for males, a 10 percent increase in Unemployment Insurance entitlement extends the duration of unemployment by about one day. Terminations of unemployment spells were observed to cluster around the benefit disbursement point. Hamermesh (1979, 1980) and Burgess and Kingston (1981) also find evidence that the duration of benefit eligibility impacts on the length of unemployment. Indeed, on a cross-country comparison, Layard (1986) observed that countries with indefinite duration of eligibility for unemployment assistance have a more long-term unemployment than those that have an upper limit on duration. It must be recognised, however, that this is largely a reflection of varying benefit structures, not of great differences in labour supply behaviour. In many OECD countries, and in the United States, long-term unemployed for whom Unemployment Insurance eligibility has been exhausted tend to be transferred into work schemes or onto other benefits. This has less relevance in New Zealand since the Unemployment Benefit may continue indefinitely provided the beneficiary satisfies certain requirements.

In Britain, Narandranathan et al. (1985) found that the effect of the Unemployment Benefit on unemployment duration is significantly different from zero. The size of the effect is pronounced among teenage males and falls with increasing age. For long term unemployment the effect is reduced, and is only significant for teenage males. They found no strong evidence to support the view that the effect of benefits on duration is related to the size of the replacement rate for different individuals.

Why the labour supply behaviour of teenagers is the most sensitive to benefit provision is a question of some dissent in the literature. Nickell (1979) interprets his findings along these lines as reflecting a lack of job offers received by teenagers. Minford (1983) argues instead that the reservation wage below which teenagers are not prepared to supply their labour is higher than the wage typically offered to them by employers. But for this to hold in Minford's own study, most individuals would have to maintain reservation wages above the maximum wage offer in his sample, which is unlikely - 50 percent of his sample received benefits less than half of the average after tax wage, comparable to the income of the lowest paid 10 percent of manual workers and below average earnings for British teenagers. Hunter et al. (1986) report that, in fact, British teenagers' reservation wages are more likely to lie below the mean of likely job offers.

In general, the effect of unemployment assistance on unemployment duration is rather modest and, with the exception of Narandranathan et al. (1985), the statistical significance of results can be viewed as not particularly impressive (Atkinson, 1987, p.863).
Atkinson et al. (1984) challenge even this limited empirical conclusion by demonstrating that the size of the effect is sensitive to the method and the assumptions used in statistical analysis. By changing the benefit variables used, the specification of the replacement rate, the choice of time period, and by including or excluding family circumstances and indices of need, they proved that it is possible for the same data set to produce findings of no effect, yet they proved that it is possible for the same data set to produce findings of an individual's unemployment spell, as well as their probability of becoming unemployed, is not affected by the level of Unemployment Insurance if becoming unemployed, is not affected by the level of Unemployment Insurance if
variables which reflect the demand for their labour are included in the analysis.

Findings on the impact of unemployment provision on the probability of leaving
unemployment are contradictory. In theory a high replacement rate will raise the
rate of job acceptance for Unemployment Insurance recipients in the United States
and remained lower over a longer spell, than for non-recipients. However, methodological
deficiencies mean that double counting of job offers may have occurred in the construction of
the data. Narandranathan et al. (1985) found that changes in benefit levels have no effect
on the probability of British long term unemployed leaving unemployment, except in the
case of teenagers. Nickell (1979) also found no evidence that benefits effect the
probability of leaving unemployment.

The empirical evidence available for New Zealand is concerned with the
duration of unemployment, not the probability of leaving unemployment. Hicks (1984),
regarding determinants of the unemployment rate, looked for a relationship
between the replacement rate and unemployment duration. He found a positive
relationship between the level of unemployment benefit payments and duration - it was
estimated that a 10 percent increase in the replacement rate would extend the average
time taken by those already unemployed, which is beneficial in the long run because
reduced turnover of labour reduces frictional unemployment, and lowers the unemployment
rate.

A more recent study of unemployment duration in New Zealand by Hyslop (1987) uses micro data from the Department of Social Welfare database for the period April 1981 - March 1985. Again a positive relationship between the replacement rate and
unemployment duration was found. Overall, however, unexplained variation in the data
led both Hicks and Hyslop to conclude that this relationship did not constitute a
satisfactory explanation of unemployment duration in New Zealand. In addition, Hyslop's
results were for a sample of unemployment beneficiaries who resumed employment on
leaving the register and thus ipso facto, were those unemployed who were the most likely
leaving the register do not resume employment but withdraw from the labour force. We now turn to

the empirical evidence on the impact of unemployment assistance on the decision to
participate in the labour force or to withdraw from participation.

(c) Labour force participation
Most of the studies reviewed so far assume that any increase in unemployment
duration occurs at the expense of work activity; the general conclusion is that any findings
of a relationship between benefit levels and unemployment illustrate the operation of work
disincentive effects. But work disincentive effects apply only when someone who
ordinarily would work is induced to remain unemployed. Some proportion of unemployed
people, however, choose between unemployment and non-participation in the labour force.
The link between unemployment duration and labour supply is not clear; a positive
relationship between benefit levels and unemployment duration does not provide an
appropriate measure of work disincentive effects because entitlement effects are also at
work (Capen et al., 1985).

Solon (1979) suggests four ways in which entitlement effects of unemployment
assistance on labour force participation can operate. They are: (1) by encouraging
continued job search by individuals who would otherwise become discouraged and quit
looking for work; (2) by encouraging workers who are not really interested in work
to pretend to be seeking work, in order to collect benefits; (3) by inducing individuals who
would be disininterested in work to seriously conduct job search in accordance with
Unemployment Insurance regulations; and (4) by inducing people who would be non-
participants in the labour force to accept seasonal or temporary jobs in order to raise their
entitlement (1979). Solon used data from a New York State Department of Labour Survey to examine the
behaviour of Unemployment Insurance claimants. Analysis of the number of weeks
recipients spent both in and out of employment and in and out of the work force showed
that extending Unemployment Insurance eligibility for one week raised unemployment
duration by about one day on average. But it was estimated that two thirds of this increase
was attributable to individuals choosing to delay leaving the work force. Extended
unemployment duration would be more at the expense of non-recipients than at the expense of work activity. However, the focus period of the study was only six months
and may not have been long enough to obtain reliable results. In addition, the sample
comprised claimants who had exhausted regular benefits and was not representative of
Unemployment Insurance claimants in general.

Nevertheless, similar findings resulted from a study by Barron and Mellow (1981). Using a national sample of unemployed workers from the United States Current
Population Survey, Barron and Mellow estimated that, in any given month, 19 percent of
recipients of statutory minimum weekly Unemployment Insurance for one week raised unemployment
duration by about one day on average. But it was estimated that two thirds of this increase
was attributable to individuals choosing to delay leaving the work force. Extended
unemployment duration would be more at the expense of non-recipients than at the expense of work activity. However, the focus period of the study was only six months
and may not have been long enough to obtain reliable results. In addition, the sample
comprised claimants who had exhausted regular benefits and was not representative of
Unemployment Insurance claimants in general.

Responsiveness, in terms of participation, to changes in unemployment assistance
is greatest among women. Men tend to have a stronger attachment to the labour force with
higher participation rates and longer work hours at all ages. Women's participation and
work activity is more responsive to changes in benefit levels. Hamermesh (1979) examined, in addition to the work
disincentive effect, the entitlement effect for white women aged between 30 and 54. Under
the United States systems, individuals have the incentive to enter the work force or
increase their work hours to meet the eligibility requirements or raise the level of insurance to which they are entitled. Using the Michigan Panel Study of Income
Dynamics for 1971, Hamermesh discovered that women acted on these incentives; a 20
percent increase in statutory minimum weekly Unemployment Insurance entitlement was
estimated to raise the participation rate of women by 1.1 percent and annual work time by
17 hours. Increased participation and work activity from entitlement effects almost
exactly offset work disincentive effects. Hamermesh concluded that the net effect of Unemployment Insurance benefits on employment of prime age females was small.

In a second study, Hamermesh (1980) examined sample data for married women aged 25-54 from the 1970 United States Census. Hamermesh found that increased potential duration of benefits and easier eligibility requirements induced a greater average number of weeks to be worked by women. Women who worked only part of the year in seasonal or temporary work increased their work activity to become eligible for benefits the rest of the year. Women responded primarily to the terms of eligibility. The level of benefits had only a slight effect on labour supply behaviour.

New Zealanders with benefit entitlement (i.e. single people and persons without a primary income earner spouse) need only register as being unemployed and seeking work to qualify for the Unemployment Benefit. This provides incentive for non-participants to declare themselves in the labour force. Conversely, just as a proportion of unemployment beneficiaries may be disguised non-labour market participants, many women outside the workforce may represent disguised unemployment because they have no incentive to register as labour market participants out of work. The Household Labour Force Survey reports that the numbers in this latter category are substantial (Household Labour Force Survey; Table 6.2). Similarly administrative procedures for other benefits impact upon the measured incidence of unemployment and non-participation. Brosnan (1987) argues that much of the increase in usage of the Invalid's Benefit in New Zealand since the early 1970s represents a disguised increase in unemployment. Disabled workers are at the end of the queue for jobs in order of increasing disability. Rising unemployment means that even those with minor disabilities have difficulties finding work. Of the assistance options, for disabled unemployed, the Invalid's Benefit is more attractive financially than the Unemployment Benefit and is less subject to medical review than the Sickness Benefit. In addition, a change in administrative policy to transfer long term unemployed off the Unemployment Benefit in 1972-73 marked the beginning of a steep upward trend in Invalid's Benefit numbers which has continued throughout the 1970s and 1980s with worsening unemployment.

In Australia too, despite incentives for non-participants to disguise themselves as unemployed in order to collect the Unemployment Benefit, it would appear that a much greater problem is disguised unemployment. Stricker and Sheahan (1981) compared recent changes in participation rates with the long run trend and estimated that recorded unemployment of 374,000 in 1980 was cushioned by the withdrawal of 340,000 hidden unemployed from the labour force, mainly males over 55 and married women. The implication for the welfare budget was, therefore, not limited to a rise in demand for unemployment assistance. The recession also induced rises in usage of service, invalid and supporting income support, and to a lesser extent the Unemployment Assistance and the Invalid's Benefit. The low level of the Unemployment Benefit relative to these alternative forms of income support, and the general ineligibility of married women for unemployment relief had, in this case, the effect of reducing potential labour supply as measured by the participation rate.

(d) Unemployment benefits and labour supply: a summary

There is no consistent evidence that rising replacement rates of unemployment assistance induce more people into unemployment, or raise the probability of being unemployed. It is generally found that once in unemployment the existence, level and terms of unemployment assistance has an impact on the duration of unemployment spells, though there is no conclusive empirical evidence of an impact on the probability of leaving unemployment. The effect of benefit provision on unemployment duration is not necessarily indicative of substantial labour supply disincentive effects. First, the effect found is generally small in relation to total labour supply and its size and significance is sensitive to the specification variables and to the inclusion of variables reflecting demand side influences. Second, longer duration may facilitate a more extensive job search and reduce the rate of frictional unemployment and increase work activity in the long run. Third, longer duration is not necessarily at the expense of work activity. A significant proportion of those receiving unemployment assistance would be non-participants in the absence of assistance. For these people, the Unemployment Benefit has no impact on work activity. Finally, under an unemployment insurance system, unemployment provision can have an offsetting effect of increasing the work activity of those, particularly women, who want to become eligible for benefits or raise their entitlement.

Family income support and labour supply

Family income support payments take various forms. They supplement family income when it falls below a proscribed level. This level is often adjusted relative to family status, family size, and other indicators of need. Cash income supplements may be augmented by benefits in kind such as food stamps, medical aid and housing subsidies. The debate surrounding family income support programmes is concerned with what is termed the "poverty trap". There is a fear that, although family income support benefits generally do not provide for a standard of living beyond the poverty level, increasing them will reduce further any incentive for people to work to raise themselves out of poverty. In theory, a high replacement rate and high effective marginal tax rates imposed by the reduction of support as income increases, discourage labour market participation and work activity and induce long-term reliance on benefits.

The relationship between the form of provision and indices of labour supply has only been examined empirically overseas. Findings of this work are reviewed below. We then turn to more suggestive and less empirically conclusive findings for New Zealand and Australia.

(a) Empirical findings

Beenstock et al. (1987) provide an up-to-date and comprehensive investigation of the impact of disincentives in the British tax-transfer system on work activity. They constructed profiles of the incentives and disincentives faced by different types of worker; the effective marginal tax rates imposed by the level of income support and its removal with increasing income, together with the income tax rate, varies with income, housing tenure status, family size and family status. They then compared the expected distribution of unemployment and work activity that would occur if individuals made rational decisions according to the incentives they faced, with the actual distribution of unemployment and work activity of 7000 household heads sampled in the 1978 and 1981 British Family Expenditure Survey. Finding no clear evidence that people's work patterns are influenced by the tax-transfer system, Beenstock et al. concluded that individuals do not, or cannot respond to labour supply incentives and disincentives.

These findings relate only to household heads who were predominantly male, although of the few solo mothers in the 1978 sample, a number chose to work hours which would have implied 100 percent effective marginal tax rates. While acknowledging several shortcomings with their analysis,1 Beenstock et al. raise several important possible explanations for their findings. First, the interplay of taxes and benefits, and their impact on net income, is complex. The assumption that individuals understand and act upon them may be unrealistic. Alternatively, other considerations such as social status, stimulation and self-worth may be more important than financial incentives in decisions.

1 Beenstock et al. (1987) concede that they did not control for interactions between leisure preferences and family status, housing tenure and earnings. They ignored the level of secondary income earner wages and also may have inappropriately imputed wage rates for the unemployed. Finally, the Chi-square test has been proved to be a blunt statistical instrument.
about whether to work and how much to work. Finally, individuals may be unable to achieve their desired mix of hours and earnings. The majority of workers have little choice over whether or when they work, and are constrained to choosing between unemployment, non-participation, and a 40 hour week. The main family income support programme in the United States is Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC), which guarantees a specified level of assistance to families with low or zero income, and proportionately lower benefits as income increases. Garfinkel and Orr (1974), Williams (1975) and Saks (1975) examined cross-sectional data for female AFDC recipients in the late 1960s. In response to a $50 increase in the annual income guarantee, Garfinkel and Orr estimated a resultant 2.4 percent reduction in the rate of employment of recipients, and Williams and Saks predicted a drop in participation rates of recipients of 5.8 percent and 1.7 percent respectively. Raising the rate of benefit abatement by 10 percent was predicted by Garfinkel and Orr to lower employment rates by 1.4 percent, while Williams estimated a 2.1 percent fall in participation rates. The reason for this effect is consistent with the operation of disincentive effects of the sort predicted by conventional economic theory. The size of the effects is not clear but appears small. The effect of changes in eligibility was not explored. Of five studies (Masters and Garfinkel, 1977; Levy, 1979; Barr and Hall, 1981; Haussman, 1981; Moffit, 1980) analysing the economic behaviour of both AFDC recipients and female heads of families, only one (Masters and Garfinkel, 1977) failed to find any effect on labour supply. Levy (1979), examining longitudinal Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID) data from 1968, and found that higher levels of guaranteed income reduced the supply of work, but that higher rates of benefit abatement actually increased work activity. Barr and Hall (1981), using a measure of welfare dependency as a proxy for labour supply, found evidence of work disincentive and income responsiveness consistent with Levy’s results. However, their analysis of Psid data from the 1975 PSID resulted in the observation of large negative impacts on hours worked from both the level of income guarantee and the rate of benefit abatement. He estimated that a $1000 increase in the annual income guarantee would reduce the average annual work activity of female heads by 120 hours. In a similar study, and using the same data source, Moffit (1980) estimated a response of 90 less hours worked, but found a very weak and statistically insignificant impact from the benefit abatement rate.2 Danziger et al. (1981) point to methodological weaknesses in both these later studies, and argue that neither of the analyses can be regarded as definitive. The only consensus on the effects of AFDC provision on female labour supply, is that higher levels of income guarantee reduce work activity by some amount.

Blau and Robins (1986a) examined the impact of AFDC benefits, food stamp benefits and general assistance benefits on the labour supply of men, youths and married women, as well as single woman household heads. Their study improved on the work of Haussman (1981) and Moffit (1980) because it utilised continuous work histories, from the newly established Employment Opportunities Pilot Project (EOPP), rather than the discrete annual changes in labour market status provided by PSID data. Calculating the differences in rates of transition from employment to unemployment, unemployment to employment, and to and from the labour force and employment or unemployment, disincentive effects were held to exist if differences in transition rates between welfare recipients and non-welfare recipients implied a reduction in the rate of employment, an increase in the rate of unemployment, or a decrease in the labour force participation rate for welfare recipients. Significant differences in transition rates for each group were found in almost all cases, even at the lowest differentials were for single woman household heads. Work disincentive effects were found to be associated only with a differential in the duration of unemployment and a lower rate of transition from unemployment to employment. There was no significant difference in the propensity to enter spells of unemployment and the difference in the rate of transition from unemployment to non-participation was not consistent with the operation of disincentive effects - unemployed welfare recipients were less likely to withdraw from the labour force when faced with unemployment than unemployed non-recipients. Blau and Robins found that, while income support reduced work activity of recipients through extended duration of unemployment, there was some increased participation. A suggested alternative to income support such as AFDC is a negative income tax (NIT). Instead of demanding taxes and then redistributing income through benefits, this operates by making direct cash payments to those who earn below a critical income threshold. New Zealand’s Guaranteed Minimum Family Income is of this type. Whilst switching from AFDC may reduce the high effective tax rate (benefit abatement as well as income tax) on the very poor, NIT payments may require an increase in tax rate for other workers. Concern about possible work disincentive effects from negative income taxes led to an unprecedented form of economic research. Between 1968 and 1978, the United States Federal Government sponsored four large scale experiments. In each, one group of low income families acted as a control and remained eligible for welfare, while economic benefits in a second group were given different income support levels but the same effective tax rates. The effective tax rates or benefit abatement, support levels but different tax rates. The overall change in effects of incentives was found to be relatively small. Married men in the experiments reduced their hours of work by between 1 and 7 percent. For married women the change was from a smaller base and the labour supply differentials were large with reductions of between 17 percent and 31 percent. The impact of changes in effective tax rates, imposed by abatement of the NIT payment with increasing income, was limited to the impact of the level of NIT support was more substantial (Whiteford, 1980). Results from the experiments, Betson et al. (1982) predict that the labour response to replacing the existing system with a NIT system would be a 0.4 to 0.8 percent increase in work activity, which is very small in relation to total labour supply. They conclude that economic efficiency in terms of labour supply should not weigh heavily in the choice of tax transfer systems. Other results of interest from the NIT experiments include the findings that search time when unemployed increased under NIT support, and in one experiment area (Indiana), that the birth weight of babies born to NIT parents was significantly higher than those born in the control group. In Seattle, providing women with an income guarantee independent of their husbands led to an increase in the birth of babies (Stiglitz, 1986, p.499). So female heads of families were only studied in one of the experiments and were found to reduce their hours worked by between 2 and 12 percent, much less than the 17 to 31 percent reduction in hours worked by married women. Results of the NIT experiments should be used with caution, however. Stiglitz (1986) notes that the behaviour of individuals may change if they are subjects of experiments, and that sample selection may have been biased with participation in the experiments being voluntary only. In addition, responses to short-term changes may be different from reactions to permanent changes. Institutional structures, such as the availability of part-time work and the length of the working week, which condition labour supply responses, would also take time to adjust to changes in policy. It would appear then that while disincentives may be inherent in income support systems, men do not generally act on them. Women do, but the impact of their response on total labour supply is small.

2 Moffit’s (1980) paper was unpublished. The other studies are reviewed in Danziger et al. (1981).
The only statistical testing of the relationship between income support provisions and labour supply in New Zealand is a study by Ross (1987) who found that, for married women, the probability of participation, but not the number of hours worked, is sensitive to the existence and level of household transfer income. Despite the lack of statistical testing there is much empirical work available, concerned mainly with the effects of income support for female solo parents. The proportion of solo parents in receipt of the Widows Benefit and Domestic Purposes Benefit (DPB) in New Zealand has risen from 58 percent in 1976 to 71 percent in 1984, while the number of solo parent families has increased markedly.

There is a fear that the existence and level of support provided by the DPB in New Zealand has contributed to rising rates of marital breakdown and growing numbers of single women keeping their children, and that this has, in turn, had implications for women's labour supply. Wylie (1980), however, notes that the tendency for single mothers to keep their children pre-dates the introduction of the DPB by about 50 years. Further, the DPB is not financially attractive to married women. Beneficiary families have depressed standards of living in comparison to two parent families. Introduction of the DPB was a response to changing social and economic behaviour, not the cause of changes.

Dominick et al. (1988) found no evidence of a narrowing of the difference between wages and benefits to account for the decrease in the rate of employment of solo parents. It would seem, however, that they compare the DPB with the average-ordinary-time female wage which is probably not representative of the wage attainable by DPB recipients. Their finding was that a stricter benefit income test in 1984 was the main cause of the reduced employment rate. Despite relaxation of the allowed earnings disregard in 1978, failure to index for inflation has reduced the real value of allowed earnings. They also find a relationship between the age of the youngest child and a solo mother's employment and argue that opportunity, provided by reasonable access to childcare, is as important as the existence of pre-school children and the introduction of the Unemployment Benefit.

Further, the DPB is not financially attractive to married women. Beneficiary families have depressed standards of living in comparison to two parent families. Introduction of the DPB was a response to changing social and economic behaviour, not the cause of changes.

It must be noted, however, that they compare the DPB with the average-ordinary-time female wage which is probably not representative of the wage attainable by DPB recipients. Their finding was that a stricter benefit income test in 1984 was the main cause of the reduced employment rate. Despite relaxation of the allowed earnings disregard in 1978, failure to index for inflation has reduced the real value of allowed earnings. They also find a relationship between the age of the youngest child and a solo mother's employment and argue that opportunity, provided by reasonable access to childcare, is as important as the existence of pre-school children and the introduction of the Unemployment Benefit.

Further, the DPB is not financially attractive to married women. Beneficiary families have depressed standards of living in comparison to two parent families. Introduction of the DPB was a response to changing social and economic behaviour, not the cause of changes.

The earnings capacity of women is also important in determining their labour supply behaviour. Dominick et al. (1988) suggest that work experience, skills and qualifications have a significant impact on the rate of movement off the DPB into employment. Wylie (1982), who interviewed a small sample of female DPB recipients, noted two main preferences among the women. They wanted either (1) a part-time job which would not affect their benefit, or, (2) a full-time job well enough paid to cope with the extra costs such as childcare incurred in working full-time. Wylie (1980) interviewed female solo parents who were not DPB recipients but worked to support themselves and their families. For these women, access to suitable accommodation was their biggest difficulty, whereas the DPB appeared to be only about childcare. Close friends and relatives would provide childcare at little cost. In Ross's (1987) study of the determinants of married women's labour supply the existence of pre-school children, and to a lesser extent primary school aged children, were the key explanatory variables. The level of transfer income was less important.

The earnings capacity of women is also important in determining their labour supply behaviour. Dominick et al. (1988) suggest that work experience, skills and qualifications have a significant impact on the rate of movement off the DPB into employment. Wylie (1982) noted that most of her respondents could find no work, or only work that would not support them better than the DPB. There is, perhaps, a case for arguing that the increase in usage of the DPB is linked to rising unemployment. Faced with unemployment, the DPB is more financially attractive than the Unemployment Benefit; some proportion of DPB recipients may represent hidden unemployed workers. Causality, however, cannot be extended any further than this. Under the Australian system, which is reasonably similar to that operating in New Zealand, there is no evidence of a causal relationship between rising unemployment and the increasing rate of ex-nuptial births, or that the availability of the Supporting Parent's Benefit influences the decision of teenagers to become pregnant (SSR Issues Paper No.3). Pregnancies were unplanned and the decision to mother the child generally reflected the lack of alternative social and economic options for teenage girls.

(c) Family income support and labour supply: a summary

There is no evidence that family income support has a discernable adverse impact on the labour supply of men. It would appear that the labour supply of married women and solo mothers is more sensitive to the level and terms of provision, but their response is very small in terms of total work activity. There is no consensus on the effect of the rate of removal of income support on labour supply.

Empirical observation in New Zealand suggests no relationship between women's labour supply and the level of the DPB, although there is some indication that the small size of the earnings disregard has a negative effect on part-time work activity. Increased usage of the DPB reflects social change which has contributed to growth in numbers of needy families, and growing unemployment, in the face of which the DPB is the most attractive income support alternative. The propensity for unemployed solo parents to claim the DPB instead of the Unemployment Benefit has no impact on work activity, although it may reduce participation, and reduces the measured incidence of unemployment.

Conclusion

This review of empirical findings on the labour supply effects of social security provision finds much continuing empirical debate. There is no consistent evidence that the level of unemployment benefits relative to wages has an influence on the probability of being unemployed or on the rate of unemployment. It is generally agreed that there is some impact on the duration of unemployment but there is little consensus on the length of extended duration. The significance of the effect, in terms of total labour supply, would appear trivial. Extended duration does not necessarily correspond with a higher rate of unemployment - where it facilitates incentives to job search, unemployment in the long run may be reduced. Limitation of the duration of benefit entitlement has an impact on the length of unemployment spells, but this may be more at the expense of participation in the labour force (and serve to disguise the true level of unemployment), than actual work activity.

The labour force participation and work activity of prime age men is least sensitive to benefit provision. Teenage men are the most sensitive to the level of benefits and the duration of eligibility. It would appear that extended duration reduces incentives to work for male adolescents, but not men, is sensitive to the level of family income support. Again, in terms of total work activity, the response is trivial. The response to the rate of income support withdrawal is not certain. Since the empirical link between disincentives in social security programs and labour supply is not strong, it does not follow that reduced disincentives to work will have a substantial effect on labour supply. Indeed financial considerations are but one element in decisions about how much labour to supply. The supposition that individuals calculate the financial incentives and disincentives they face and govern their behaviour by them is simplistic. Non-financial rewards from working such as stimulation, security, companionship and status are as, or not more important, and other economic, institutional, social and cultural factors very much bind any individual's decision set.

We perhaps need to step back and question the reason for the concern over the effects of social security provision on labour supply and the rationale for benefit reform. If the rationale is to ensure efficient and full use of labour power in the economy, then there is no need for concern over the impact of benefits on labour supply. If, on the other hand, labour supply is the real issue of concern then there are other, more effective ways to alter labour supply behaviour. Addressing the demand for labour in the economy and opportunities for workers, particularly married women, to
supply their labour is one way. Easing constraints imposed by the costs of occupational and geographical mobility and the cost and availability of childcare are others. If concern with labour supply is a means to an end - a means to reduce the number of benefit claimants and reduce the fiscal burden of rising unemployment and the rising incidence of low pay, then reducing benefits relative to wages will be largely ineffective.

If, however, the proposed benefit cuts are a means to cut costs in themselves, and not intended to have any impact on the work activity of income support recipients or the rate or duration of unemployment, then they will be effective. It must be recognised that the claimants and reduce the fiscal burden of rising unemployment and the rising incidence of unemployment, then proposed changes should be debated in this context.

In this paper we have shown that the assertion that disincentives in social security programs have substantial effects on actual labour supply appears to be an "economic myth." Accordingly, attempts to reduce unemployment or poverty by cutting benefits or limiting their duration to reduce labour supply disincentives are largely mistaken, and it is misleading to present reforms that are essentially cost cutting measures as the solution to increasing welfare dependency.
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